



THIRD SESSION — TWENTY-NINTH LEGISLATURE

of the

## Legislative Assembly of Saskatchewan

---

# VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS

---

No. 15

Monday, November 21, 2022

1:30 p.m.

### *PRAYERS*

### PRESENTING PETITIONS

Petitions of citizens of the province of Saskatchewan were presented and laid upon the Table by the following members: Mowat, Lambert, Love, Teed, and Bowes.

### READING AND RECEIVING PETITIONS

According to order and pursuant to rule 16(7), petitions from residents of the province of Saskatchewan, requesting the following action, were read and received:

To address the affordability crisis.

(Sessional paper no. 50)

To fund In Vitro Fertilization (IVF) treatments in Saskatchewan.

(Addendum to sessional paper no. 23)

To implement Saskatchewan First policies and legislation.

(Addendum to sessional paper no. 24)

To ban the practice of conversion therapy.

(Addendum to sessional paper no. 31)

### INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

The minister, in each case, having acquainted the Assembly that His Honour the Lieutenant Governor, having been informed of the subject matter of the bills, recommends them to the consideration of the Assembly, the following bills were introduced, read the first time, and ordered to be read a second time at the next sitting:

Bill No. 106 — The Police Amendment Act, 2022

(Hon. Ms. Tell)

Bill No. 107 — The Provincial Protective Services Act

(Hon. Ms. Tell)

### SECOND READINGS

#### **Bill No. 104 — The Local Improvements Amendment Act, 2022**

Moved by the Hon. Mr. McMorris: That Bill No. 104 — The Local Improvements Amendment Act, 2022 be now read a second time.

A debate arising, it was on motion of Ms. Conway adjourned.

#### **Bill No. 105 — The Local Government Election Amendment Act, 2022**

Moved by the Hon. Mr. McMorris: That Bill No. 105 — The Local Government Election Amendment Act, 2022 be now read a second time.

A debate arising, it was on motion of Ms. Conway adjourned.

### ADJOURNED DEBATES / DÉBATS AJOURNÉS

#### **Bill No. 96 — The Leafcutting Beekeepers Registration Repeal Act**

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Marit: That Bill No. 96 — The Leafcutting Beekeepers Registration Repeal Act be now read a second time.

The debate continuing and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was accordingly read a second time.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Harrison (Meadow Lake), in accordance with rule 85, Bill No. 96 — The Leafcutting Beekeepers Registration Repeal Act was committed to the Standing Committee on the Economy.

**Bill No. 100 — The Miscellaneous Statutes (Accretion) Amendment Act, 2022**

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Marit: That Bill No. 100 — The Miscellaneous Statutes (Accretion) Amendment Act, 2022 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was accordingly read a second time.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Harrison (Meadow Lake), in accordance with rule 85, Bill No. 100 — The Miscellaneous Statutes (Accretion) Amendment Act, 2022 was committed to the Standing Committee on the Economy.

**Bill No. 88 — The Saskatchewan First Act**

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Ms. Eyre: That Bill No. 88 — The Saskatchewan First Act be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Bowes adjourned.

**Bill No. 94 — The Public Pension and Benefits Administration Corporation Act**

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Ms. Harpauer: That Bill No. 94 — The Public Pension and Benefits Administration Corporation Act be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Nippi-Albright adjourned.

**Bill No. 95 — The Surface Rights Acquisition and Compensation Amendment Act, 2022**

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Reiter: That Bill No. 95 — The Surface Rights Acquisition and Compensation Amendment Act, 2022 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. Vermette adjourned.

**Bill No. 97 — The Architects Amendment Act, 2022**

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Ms. Carr: That Bill No. 97 — The Architects Amendment Act, 2022 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Nippi-Albright adjourned.

**Bill No. 98 — The Saskatchewan Public Safety Agency Amendment Act, 2022**

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Ms. Tell: That Bill No. 98 — The Saskatchewan Public Safety Agency Amendment Act, 2022 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Bowes adjourned.

**Bill No. 99 — The Emergency 911 System Amendment Act, 2022**

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Ms. Tell: That Bill No. 99 — The Emergency 911 System Amendment Act, 2022 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. Teed adjourned.

**Bill No. 101 — The Child and Family Services Amendment Act, 2022**

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Makowsky: That Bill No. 101 — The Child and Family Services Amendment Act, 2022 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Ritchie adjourned.

**Bill No. 102 — The Constitutional Questions Amendment Act, 2022**  
**Projet de loi n° 102 — Loi modificative de 2022 sur les questions constitutionnelles**

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Ms. Eyre: That Bill No. 102 — The Constitutional Questions Amendment Act, 2022 be now read a second time.

L'Assemblée reprend le débat ajourné sur la motion de l'hon. Mme Eyre: Que le projet de loi n° 102 — Loi modificative de 2022 sur les questions constitutionnelles soit maintenant lu une deuxième fois.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. Teed adjourned.

Le débat se poursuit et sur motion de M. Teed, il est ajourné.

**Bill No. 103 — The Accessible Saskatchewan Act**

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Makowsky: That Bill No. 103 — The Accessible Saskatchewan Act be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Bowes adjourned.

On motion of the Hon. Mr. Harrison (Meadow Lake):

Ordered, That this Assembly do now adjourn.

The Assembly adjourned at 4:02 p.m. until Tuesday at 1:30 p.m.

*Hon. Randy Weekes*  
*Speaker*

---

## NOTICE OF MOTIONS FOR FIRST READING OF BILLS

On Wednesday:

Hon. Ms. Eyre to move first reading of Bill No. 109 — The Trespass to Property Amendment Act, 2022 (No. 2)

## NOTICE OF WRITTEN QUESTIONS

**The following question was given notice on day no. 11 and is to be answered by day no. 16:**

**Question no. 65 (Ms. Wilson):**

To the Minister of Social Services and the Minister of Seniors, re: Saskatchewan Assured Income for Disability program, the Saskatchewan Assured Income for Disability, or SAID, is a program that was welcomed by the disabled community because it took them off the welfare role and assured them that they would have a consistent income through their lifetime. However, according to some recipients, they have been forced to apply for Canada Pension Plan benefits at age 60. This means their CPP benefits will pay approximately 30 per cent less when they reach the age of 65 and no longer qualify for SAID; (a) will the government verify that SAID recipients are forced to apply for their federal Canada Pension Plan benefits at age 60; if yes, (b) why; (c) has the government tested the legality of forcing disabled people to apply for Canada Pension Plan early, thereby affecting their future income for life after they become seniors at age 65; (d) has the government tested whether the practice of forcing disabled people to apply for benefits early is discriminatory; and (e) will the government stop this practice so that disabled citizens can enjoy the full benefits that should be available to them through CPP once they turn 65?

**The following question was given notice on day no. 12 and is to be answered by day no. 17:**

**Question no. 66 (Ms. Wilson):**

To the Minister of Health and the Minister of Mental Health and Addictions, Seniors and Rural and Remote Health, in its annual report, the Saskatchewan Health Authority reports that it spent \$386,009.00 on Pacific Fresh Fish, a company that imports exotic fresh fish from around the world and retails at premium prices: (a) in detail, what was purchased from Pacific Fresh Fish in the fiscal year 2021-22, (b) what was the purpose of the purchases from Pacific Fresh Fish, (c) who was (were) the recipient(s) of the Pacific Fresh Fish purchases, (d) in a time when the SHA claimed it was struggling to provide adequate health care to Saskatchewan citizens, what is the justification to spend almost \$400,000 dollars on Pacific Fresh Fish, and (e) will the ministry investigate these expenditures and hold the administration of Saskatchewan Health Authority to account for how it spends taxpayer money?

**The following question was given notice on day no. 13 and is to be answered by day no. 18:**

**Question no. 67 (Ms. Wilson):**

To the Minister of Health and the Minister of Mental Health and Addictions, Seniors and Rural and Remote Health, in its annual report, the Saskatchewan Health Authority spent \$627,898.00 on Starbucks Coffee Canada, (a) in detail, what was purchased from Starbucks in the fiscal year 2021-22, (b) what was the purpose of the purchases from Starbucks, (c) who was (were) the recipient(s) of the Starbucks purchases, (d) in a time when the SHA claimed it was struggling to provide adequate health

care to Saskatchewan people, what is the justification to spend well over half a million dollars on Starbucks, and (e) will the Ministry investigate these expenditures and hold the administration of Saskatchewan Health Authority to account for how it spends taxpayer money?