



No. 32

VOTES and PROCEEDINGS

OF THE

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

PROVINCE OF SASKATCHEWAN

SECOND SESSION – TWENTY-SEVENTH LEGISLATURE

Wednesday, March 13, 2013

1:30 p.m.

PRAYERS

PRESENTING PETITIONS

Petitions of citizens of the province of Saskatchewan were presented and laid upon the Table by the following Members: Belanger and Forbes.

PRESENTING PETITIONS FOR PRIVATE BILLS

The following petitions were presented and laid upon the Table:

By Mr. Hart Of the Orange Benevolent Society, in the province of Saskatchewan

By Mr. Kirsch Of the Lutheran Sunset Home of Saskatoon, in the province of Saskatchewan

READING AND RECEIVING PETITIONS

A According to Order, the Law Clerk and Parliamentary Counsel having favourably examined the same pursuant to Rule 16(7), the following petitions were read and received:

Of citizens of the province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the government to undertake to ensure SaskTel delivers cellular service to the Canoe Lake First Nation.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 7)

Of citizens of the province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the government to not pass Bill No. 85 – The Saskatchewan Employment Act in this current session.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 46)

WRITTEN QUESTIONS

The Order of the Day being called for Question Nos. 206 to 208, they were answered. (See Appendix)

ADJOURNED DEBATES / DÉBATS AJOURNÉS

Bill No. 85 – The Saskatchewan Employment Act

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Morgan: That Bill No. 85 – The Saskatchewan Employment Act – be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. Broten, adjourned.

Bill No. 55 – The Consumer Protection and Business Practices Act

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Wyant: That Bill No. 55 – The Consumer Protection and Business Practices Act – be now read a second time.

The debate continuing and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was, accordingly, read a second time.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Harrison, in accordance with Rule 81, Bill No. 55 – The Consumer Protection and Business Practices Act – was committed to the Standing Committee on Intergovernmental Affairs and Justice.

Bill No. 56 – The Court of Appeal Amendment Act, 2012 Projet de loi n° 56 – Loi de 2012 modifiant la Loi de 2000 sur la Cour d'appel

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Wyant: That Bill No. 56 – The Court of Appeal Amendment Act, 2012 – be now read a second time.

The debate continuing and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was, accordingly, read a second time.

L'Assemblée reprend le débat ajourné sur la motion de l'hon. M. Wyant: Que le projet de loi n° 56 – Loi de 2012 modifiant la Loi de 2000 sur la Cour d'appel – soit maintenant lu une deuxième fois.

Le débat se poursuit et la motion, mise aux voix, est adoptée et, en conséquence, ledit projet de loi est lu une deuxième fois.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Harrison, in accordance with Rule 81, Bill No. 56 – The Court of Appeal Amendment Act, 2012 – was committed to the Standing Committee on Intergovernmental Affairs and Justice.

Selon la désignation de l'hon. M. Harrison, conformément au règlement 81, le projet de loi n° 56 – Loi de 2012 modifiant la Loi de 2000 sur la Cour d'appel – est renvoyé au Comité permanent des affaires intergouvernementales et de la justice.

Bill No. 57 – The Condominium Property Amendment Act, 2012

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Wyant: That Bill No. 57 – The Condominium Property Amendment Act, 2012 – be now read a second time.

The debate continuing and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was, accordingly, read a second time.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Harrison, in accordance with Rule 81, Bill No. 57 – The Condominium Property Amendment Act, 2012 – was committed to the Standing Committee on Intergovernmental Affairs and Justice.

Bill No. 58 – The Workers' Compensation Act, 2012

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Wyant: That Bill No. 58 – The Workers' Compensation Act, 2012 – be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. Nilson, adjourned.

Bill No. 59 – The Animal Identification Amendment Act, 2012

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Stewart: That Bill No. 59 – The Animal Identification Amendment Act, 2012 – be now read a second time.

The debate continuing and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was, accordingly, read a second time.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Harrison, in accordance with Rule 81, Bill No. 59 – The Animal Identification Amendment Act, 2012 – was committed to the Standing Committee on the Economy.

Bill No. 60 – The Animal Products Amendment Act, 2012

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Stewart: That Bill No. 60 – The Animal Products Amendment Act, 2012 – be now read a second time.

The debate continuing and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was, accordingly, read a second time.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Harrison, in accordance with Rule 81, Bill No. 60 – The Animal Products Amendment Act, 2012 – was committed to the Standing Committee on the Economy.

Bill No. 61 – The Railway Amendment Act, 2012

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. McMorris: That Bill No. 61 – The Railway Amendment Act, 2012 – be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. Nilson, adjourned.

Bill No. 62 – The Parks Amendment Act, 2012 (No. 2)

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Doherty: That Bill No. 62 – The Parks Amendment Act, 2012 (No. 2) – be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. Nilson, adjourned.

Bill No. 63 – The Regional Parks Act, 2012

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Doherty: That Bill No. 63 – The Regional Parks Act, 2012 – be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Sproule, adjourned.

The Speaker adjourned the Assembly without question put, pursuant to Rule 6(6).

The Assembly adjourned at 5:00 p.m. until Thursday at 10:00 a.m.

Hon. Dan D'Autremont
Speaker

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Ms. Sproule asked the government the following Question No. 206, which was answered by the Hon. Mr. McMillan:

To the Minister Responsible for Energy and Resources: What is the government's position on storing nuclear waste in Saskatchewan?

Answer:

While not a priority for the province of Saskatchewan, allowing communities to explore the potential for a repository is consistent with the mandate of the Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO) and the Government of Saskatchewan's response to the Uranium Development Partnership (UDP) report. The Government of Saskatchewan will not make a decision as to whether or not to support any proposal by a willing host community until a proposal has been developed and put forward within a regulatory process. Any decision will be based on the merits of the proposal, as well as the support of provincial residents.

Ms. Sproule asked the government the following Question No. 207, which was answered by the Hon. Mr. McMillan:

To the Minister Responsible for Energy and Resources: What is the Government of Saskatchewan's position regarding transporting nuclear waste to a reserve within Saskatchewan?

Answer:

Saskatchewan cannot make an informed decision until the community proposals are evaluated in a regulatory framework. This includes any plans for the transportation of used nuclear fuel.

Ms. Sproule asked the government the following Question No. 208, which was answered by the Hon. Mr. McMillan:

To the Minister Responsible for Energy and Resources: Has the Government of Saskatchewan provided any financial, economic or business incentives or advice to the Nuclear Waste Management Organization regarding storing nuclear waste in Saskatchewan?

Answer:

No.