



No. 29

VOTES and PROCEEDINGS

OF THE

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

PROVINCE OF SASKATCHEWAN

SECOND SESSION – TWENTY-SEVENTH LEGISLATURE

Thursday, March 7, 2013

10:00 a.m.

PRAYERS

PRESENTING PETITIONS

A petition of citizens of the province of Saskatchewan was presented and laid upon the Table by Mr. Belanger.

READING AND RECEIVING PETITIONS

According to Order, the Law Clerk and Parliamentary Counsel having favourably examined the same pursuant to Rule 16(7), the following petitions were read and received:

Of citizens of the province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the government to undertake to ensure SaskTel delivers cellular service to the Canoe Lake First Nation.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 7)

Of citizens of the province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the government to not pass Bill No. 85 – The Saskatchewan Employment Act in this current session.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 46)

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

The following bill was received, read the first time, and ordered to be read a second time at the next sitting:

Bill No. 86 – The Regulatory Modernization and Accountability Act

(Hon. Mr. Boyd)

SEVENTY-FIVE MINUTE DEBATE

The Order of the Day being called for the following motion for a Seventy-Five Minute Debate, it was moved by Mr. Forbes:

That this Assembly expresses its disagreement with the government over the pace, scope, and necessity of rewriting the workplace laws of the province, and calls on the government to delay the passage of Bill No. 85 until the fall sitting.

A debate arising and the period of seventy-five minutes having expired, pursuant to Rule 24(4) the Deputy Speaker interrupted proceedings.

PRIVATE MEMBERS' MOTIONS

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed **Motion No. 1** moved by Mr. Hickie:

That this Assembly expresses its disagreement with NDP Leader Thomas Mulcair's contention that the western Canadian energy and resource sector is responsible for the decline in central Canada's manufacturing sector which Mr. Mulcair has referred to as Dutch disease.

The debate continuing, and pursuant to Rule 26(4), the question was put and it was agreed to on the following Recorded Division:

YEAS – 43

Morgan	Stewart	Duncan	Krawetz	Boyd
Eagles	McMorris	Cheveldayoff	Harpauer	Toth
Huyghebaert	Doherty	Marchuk	Reiter	McMillan
Heppner	Harrison	Wyant	Tell	Elhard
Hart	Bradshaw	Bjornerud	Brkich	Hutchinson
Makowsky	Ottenbreit	Campeau	Wilson	Norris
Ross	Kirsch	Michelson	Doke	Jurgens
Steinley	Hickie	Lawrence	Tochor	Moe
Parent	Phillips	Docherty		

NAYS – 2

Nilson	McCall
--------	--------

On motion of the Hon. Mr. Harrison:

Ordered, That this Assembly do now adjourn.

The Assembly adjourned at 12:21 p.m. until Monday at 1:30 p.m.

Hon. Dan D'Autremont
Speaker

RETURNS, REPORTS, AND PAPERS TABLED

The following paper was laid upon the Table:

By the Hon. Mr. Reiter:

Technical Safety Authority of Saskatchewan – Remuneration of Members, Bylaw No. 2, enacted August 18, 2010.

(Sessional Paper No. 48)

NOTICE OF WRITTEN QUESTIONS

The following questions were given notice on day no. 27 and are to be answered by day no. 32:

Ms. Sproule, to ask the government the following Question No. 206:

To the Minister Responsible for Energy and Resources: What is the government's position on storing nuclear waste in Saskatchewan?

Ms. Sproule, to ask the government the following Question No. 207:

To the Minister Responsible for Energy and Resources: What is the Government of Saskatchewan's position regarding transporting nuclear waste to a reserve within Saskatchewan?

Ms. Sproule, to ask the government the following Question No. 208:

To the Minister Responsible for Energy and Resources: Has the Government of Saskatchewan provided any financial, economic or business incentives or advice to the Nuclear Waste Management Organization regarding storing nuclear waste in Saskatchewan?

The following questions were given notice on day no. 28 and are to be answered by day no. 33:

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 209:

To the Minister of Social Services: How many applications have been received to date for the incentive program of the 10% reduction in the general corporation income tax rate that was announced in the 2012/13 budget?

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 210:

To the Minister of Social Services: How many new apartment units are a part of the applications that have been received to date for the incentive program of the 10% reduction in the general corporation income tax rate that was announced in the 2012/13 budget?

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 211:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many new apartment units are part of the applications that have been received to date for the incentive program of the 10% reduction in the general corporation income tax rate that was announced in the 2012/13 budget? (2) In which communities will these apartment units be located? (3) How many new apartment units will be in each community?

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 212:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many new apartment units will be built as part of the applications that have been received to date for the incentive program of the 10% reduction in the general corporation income tax rate that was announced in the 2012/13 budget? (2) Which companies will build these apartment units? (3) How many new apartment units will be built by each company?