

No. 55

# **VOTES and PROCEEDINGS**

#### OF THE

## LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

#### PROVINCE OF SASKATCHEWAN

## THIRD SESSION – TWENTY-SIXTH LEGISLATURE

# **Tuesday, May 4, 2010**

1:30 p.m.

**PRAYERS** 

#### PRESENTING PETITIONS

Petitions of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan were presented and laid upon the Table by the following Members: Harper, Higgins, Junor, Atkinson, Forbes, Broten, Furber, Morin, Iwanchuk, Quennell, Wotherspoon and Chartier.

#### READING AND RECEIVING PETITIONS

According to Order, the Law Clerk and Parliamentary Counsel having favourably examined the same pursuant to Rule 16(7), the following Petitions were read and received.

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to address the shortage of affordable and accessible child care spaces.

(Sessional Paper No. 609)

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to immediately expand the Graduate Retention Program to include Masters and Ph.D. graduates.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 4)

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to financially assist Duck Lake residents with exorbitant water rates.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 5)

Of the citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to withdraw Bill No. 80 – The Construction Industrial Labour Relations Amendment Act. 2009.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 14)

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to commit to providing repairs to Highway 310.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 44)

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to commit to maintaining and repairing Highway 123.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 45)

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to direct marriage commissioners to uphold the law and the equality rights of all Saskatchewan couples.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 110)

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to exempt the Hamlet of Furdale from any water service cut-offs.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 111)

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to enact a Saskatchewan Seniors' Bill of Rights.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 112)

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to improve fiscal management.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 244)

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to recognize the importance of protection of wildlife habitat lands and consult stakeholders that will be affected by future changes to *The Wildlife Habitat Protection Act*.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 376)

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to develop an affordable housing program and to implement a process of rent review and rent control in Saskatoon and throughout Saskatchewan.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 603)

#### SPEAKER TABLES REPORT

The Speaker laid before the Assembly the following:

Report of the Provincial Auditor (Acting) on the 2009 Financial Statements of Crown Agencies, dated May 2010, in accordance with section 14 of *The Provincial Auditor Act* 2009.

(Sessional Paper No. 612)

## STATEMENT BY THE SPEAKER

(Privilege – May 4, 2010)

Yesterday, the Opposition House Leader (Mr. Yates) gave notice of a question of privilege. The notice concerned responses by the Minister of Health (Mr. McMorris) made during Question Period on April 12, 2010, about proposed regulations under *The Health Information Protection Act*. Members will recall yesterday I requested that the Opposition House Leader provide me with the details of his case in writing. Following adjournment of the Assembly I received written information for which I thank the Opposition House Leader. Details of the case were provided to the Government House Leader, in accordance with Rule 12(2). Both house leaders also provided comments about the case when the Opposition House Leader was invited to state his case, on Orders of the Day. I want to reiterate that I allowed the case to be made without notice given the circumstance of the extended sitting hours then in operation.

The Opposition House Leader's case is that the Minister of Health committed contempt by purposefully misleading the Assembly about consultations that were said to have taken place with the Information and Privacy Commissioner.

In Question Period on April 12, 2010, the Minister said, in responding to a question, "the Privacy Commissioner was consulted formally four different times on this very regulation, Mr. Speaker and yes we know his opinions on this regulation as did the former government know his opinions as they worked through this regulation over the last four years of their mandate." To refute this claim, the Opposition House Leader provided a letter from the Information and Privacy Commissioner that he argues demonstrates, "The Minister of Health clearly misled this Assembly."

The charge that a member has made deliberately misleading statements, if well-founded, has been treated as contempt by this Legislative Assembly and other parliaments. On November 3, 2009, I addressed another case of alleged contempt for misleading statements. In that case I referenced precedents that established differences in the way such cases are treated in Saskatchewan compared to other jurisdictions. I will not repeat those precedents except to say they are dated November 18, 1975 and July 13, 1982. These precedents established that in Saskatchewan, the threshold of proof of an offence is not restricted to an admission of guilt. Contempt has been found on the basis of evidence. In this situation the minister has not admitted to have misled the Assembly so the case must be reviewed on the documentary evidence provide by the Opposition House Leader.

The evidence provided by the Opposition House Leader is two letters: one addressed to the Leader of the Opposition and another addressed to all members of the Assembly. The letter to the Leader of the Opposition is in response to queries made on April 14, 2010, in regard to the exchange in Question Period about regulations two days earlier. In this letter the Commissioner states that rather than respond to him directly he has decided to respond to all members and to publish a report on the regulations. Of material importance to this case is the letter to all members, which is dated Monday May 3, 2010.

The letter begins with the following statement:

"On April 12, 2010, the Minister of Health (the Minister) made reference in the Legislative Assembly (the Assembly) to the Office of the Information and Privacy Commissioner (OIPC) in the course of discussing the new Health Information Protection Amendment Regulations 2010 (Order in Council 187/2010) (the Regulations). In addition, I have now received a request from a member of the Legislative Assembly (MLA) for written documentation on any verbal or written consultation I have conducted with the Minister, or his representatives, regarding the disclosure of patient's health information to a third party.

I have determined that the most appropriate way to respond to certain statements made by the Minister on April 12, 2010 and the query for information from another MLA, would be through this letter to all MLAs."

On page six of the letter the commissioner addresses the question about consultations he or his office has had with the minister or the Ministry of Health. For the record, I will quote the first four paragraphs of the letter because they are at the heart of this case:

"The new Regulation is similar to the 2007 iteration. There is a significant change, however, in the new Regulation in the substitution of "personal health information" for "any information about a client's health care or state of health" in subsection (7). The statutory definition of personal health information is much broader.

I had not seen the new Regulation until a copy was emailed to my office at my request on April 13, 2010 at 2:47 p.m. by Saskatchewan Health.

I have not received any draft documentation with respect to the type of contract that Saskatchewan Health will be introducing pursuant to subsection 7.1(1)(i) or (8) of the Regulation. In my view, Saskatchewan Health should have completed those pieces and made them available for public comment prior to proclamation of the subject Regulation.

In summary, for my office, there was only the public consultation in 2004, the exchange of correspondence in 2006 and a further exchange of correspondence in June 2007. I am not aware of any other formal consultation on the matter of a fundraising Regulation under HIPA. I also wish to stress that, in each of the three consultations, the text upon which I was commenting was different; none of the three consultations involved text identical to Order in Council 187/2010 although the 2007 consultation text was very similar but for one significant difference."

I repeat what the minister said in Question Period on April 12, 2010.

"The Privacy Commissioner was consulted formally four different times on this very regulation, Mr. Speaker and yes we know his opinions on this regulation as did the former government know his opinions as they worked through this regulation over the last four years of their mandate."

The Commissioner states in his letter that the regulations being proposed are significantly different than those proposed in the past. He states he only saw the regulations in question for the first time on April 13, 2010, one day after the exchange in Question Period. This is three years after the last consultation the Commissioner says he had with the Ministry.

It is not common for an Independent Officer of this Assembly to respond in such a direct and formal way to statements made in proceedings. It is apparent from the letter, that the Commissioner had been consulted but not about the regulations recently put into existence by the Order in Council noted. It is also apparent that the Commissioner was consulted but between 2004 and 2007, on regulations which had in his words significant differences.

If the regulations are indeed significantly different, the Speaker wonders how the Minister can claim the Commissioner was consulted. The distinct impression left by the comments by the Minister is that the regulations in question are, to use the Ministers words, the very regulations provided to the Commissioner. The Speaker also wonders why if consultations had taken place on these regulations the Commissioner states he first saw them on April 13, 2010.

Kirsch

Because of these troubling questions and inconsistencies, I find there is sufficient evidence and reason to warrant the Assembly taking up this question, and as such find that a prima facie case has been established.

I remind Members that it is not the role of the Speaker to decide if contempt has been committed. This is a question that only the Assembly can decide. It is the Speaker's role to decide whether a prima facie case has been established. I have determined that there is sufficient reason to merit setting aside the regular business of the Assembly to debate the matter. I now invite the Opposition House Leader to put forward his motion so that the Assembly can decide whether or not contempt has been committed.

Moved by Mr. Yates, pursuant to Rule 12(4):

That, be it resolved that the Standing Committee on Privileges be instructed to examine the issue of the statements made to this Assembly by the Minister of Health on April 12, 2010 and report back to the Assembly and that, until such time that the committee reports, the Minister of Health shall be removed from his position as a minister.

The Assembly recessed from 5:00 p.m. until 7:00 p.m.

McMillan

The debate continuing, the Speaker interrupted proceedings pursuant to Rule 12(5) and put the question on the motion, which was negatived on the following Recorded Division:

	YEAS-20			
Lingenfelter Higgins Vermette Iwanchuk	McCall Junor Broten Taylor	Belanger Atkinson Furber Quennell	Harper Nilson Morin Wotherspoon	Trew Forbes Yates Chartier
27, 41.01.02.	NAYS – 32			
Wall	Morgan	Bjornerud	Gantefoer	Draude
Krawetz	Boyd	Eagles	Cheveldayoff	Duncan
Huyghebaert	Heppner	Harpauer	D'Autremont	Harrison
Norris	Reiter	Hutchinson	Brkich	Elhard
Schriemer	Stewart	Allchurch	Weekes	Wilson
Michelson	Ottenbreit	Ross	Chisholm	Bradshaw

The Speaker adjourned the Assembly without question put, pursuant to Rule 6(6).

The Assembly adjourned at 10:30 p.m. until Wednesday at 1:30 p.m.

Hon. Don Toth Speaker

## NOTICE OF MOTION FOR A SEVENTY-FIVE MINUTE DEBATE

On Thursday:

Ms. Schriemer to move the following motion:

That this Assembly recognizes that our Saskatchewan Party government is committed to bringing a Children's Hospital to Saskatoon, and that the misinformation being spread throughout the province by the Opposition NDP is, in fact, hampering fundraising efforts of other organizations that are committed to the building of a new Children's Hospital.

# NOTICE OF PRIORITY ITEMS

On Thursday:

## No. 1 (Opposition)

Adjourned debate on **Motion No. 3** moved by Mr. Lingenfelter:

That this Assembly calls upon the Government of Canada, the Canadian Security Intelligence Service and the Royal Canadian Mounted Police to release the complete intelligence file on former Saskatchewan Premier Tommy Douglas, and further;

That in making this call, the Legislative Assembly of Saskatchewan asserts the following:

- 1. That public access to information is a core tenet of democracy;
- 2. That the Canadian Security Intelligence Service (CSIS) should be consistent in that it routinely releases intelligence files on individuals twenty years after their death;
- 3. That twenty-four years after Tommy Douglas' death, and several decades after the information on him was gathered, there is no legitimate security reason for keeping this information secret;
- 4. That the release of this information is important to the legacy of Tommy Douglas and to the people of Saskatchewan and Canada;
- 5. That this Assembly recognizes that Tommy Douglas served our province and our country with distinction, as the first social democratic leader in North America;
- 6. That this Assembly values Tommy Douglas' legacy to Saskatchewan and Canada, including medicare, old age pensions, family allowances, social programs, the Central Bank of Canada, civil liberties and rights legislation, and our Crown corporations;
- 7. That this Assembly recognizes the people of Canada voted Tommy Douglas as the Greatest Canadian to mark his accomplishments;
- 8. That this Assembly believes that Tommy Douglas' family, friends, and all Canadians deserve to know the information contained in the intelligence file.

Adjourned Once

# NOTICE OF WRITTEN QUESTIONS

The following Questions were given notice on day no. 52 and are to be answered by day no. 57:

Mr. Yates, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1590:

To the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing: With regard to the trip to Ontario taken by the Legislative Secretary to the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing, what were the dates of this trip?

Mr. Yates, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1591:

To the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing: With regard to the trip to Ontario taken by the Legislative Secretary to the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing, at which hotels did the Legislative Secretary stay? (Please provide specific dates for each).

Mr. Yates, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1592:

To the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing: With regard to the trip to Ontario taken by the Legislative Secretary to the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing, with whom did the Legislative Secretary meet?

Mr. Yates, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1593:

To the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing: With regard to the trip to Ontario taken by the Legislative Secretary to the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing, which correctional facilities did the Legislative Secretary tour?

Mr. Yates, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1594:

To the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing: With regard to the trip to Ontario taken by the Legislative Secretary to the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing, was the Legislative Secretary accompanied by anyone other than CPSP officials?

Mr. Yates, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1595:

To the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing: With regard to the trip to Alberta taken by the Legislative Secretary to the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing, what were the dates of this trip?

Mr. Yates, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1596:

To the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing: With regard to the trip to Alberta taken by the Legislative Secretary to the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing, at which hotels did the Legislative Secretary stay? (Please provide specific dates for each).

Mr. Yates, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1597:

To the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing: With regard to the trip to Alberta taken by the Legislative Secretary to the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing, with whom did the Legislative Secretary meet?

Mr. Yates, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1598:

To the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing: With regard to the trip to Alberta taken by the Legislative Secretary to the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing, which correctional facilities did the Legislative Secretary tour?

Mr. Yates, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1599:

To the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing: With regard to the trip to Alberta taken by the Legislative Secretary to the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing, was the Legislative Secretary accompanied by anyone other than CPSP officials?

Mr. Yates, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1600:

To the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing: With regard to the trip to Manitoba taken by the Legislative Secretary to the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing, what were the dates of this trip?

Mr. Yates, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1601:

To the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing: With regard to the trip to Manitoba taken by the Legislative Secretary to the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing, at which hotels did the Legislative Secretary stay? (Please provide specific dates for each).

Mr. Yates, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1602:

To the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing: With regard to the trip to Manitoba taken by the Legislative Secretary to the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing, with whom did the Legislative Secretary meet?

Mr. Yates, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1603:

To the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing: With regard to the trip to Manitoba taken by the Legislative Secretary to the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing, which correctional facilities did the Legislative Secretary tour?

Mr. Yates, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1604:

To the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing: With regard to the trip to Manitoba taken by the Legislative Secretary to the Minister of Corrections, Public Safety and Policing, was the Legislative Secretary accompanied by anyone other than CPSP officials?

Mr. Yates, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1605:

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Power Corporation: With regard to the hiring of the Vice President of Corporate Relations, was there any open competition or was Mr. Kevin Doherty recruited for this position?

Mr. Yates, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1606:

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Power Corporation: With regard to the Vice President of Corporate Relations, when was Mr. Kevin Doherty hired?

Mr. Yates, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1607:

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Power Corporation: With regard to the Vice President of Corporate Relations, were Mr. Kevin Doherty's moving expenses paid by SaskPower?

Mr. Yates, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1608:

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Power Corporation: With regard to the Vice President of Corporate Relations, how much were the moving expenses paid by SaskPower?

Mr. Yates, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1609:

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Power Corporation: With regard to the Vice President of Corporate Relations, did Mr. Kevin Doherty receive a signing bonus?

Mr. Yates, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1610:

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Power Corporation: With regard to the Vice President of Corporate Relations, what is the salary of Mr. Kevin Doherty?

Mr. McCall, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1611:

To the Minister of Advanced Education, Employment and Labour: (1) With regard to the Aboriginal Access Bursary, how many students have received the Aboriginal Access Bursary? (2) What was the average dollar value of each award? (3) What program will replace the Aboriginal Access Bursary after March 31, 2010?

Mr. McCall, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1612:

To the Minister of First Nations and Métis Relations: (1) With regard to the Northern Development Fund (NDF), how many primary production loans were made in the 2009/10 fiscal year? (2) What was the average dollar value of each of the aforementioned loans? (3) How many regional development corporations have been created in conjunction with the Northern Development Fund? (4) Where are those development corporations located? (5) How many NDF grants were made in 2009/10 under the NDF grant program? (6) What was the average dollar value of each NDF grant?

Mr. McCall, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1613:

To the Minister of First Nations and Métis Relations: (1) With regard to the Saskatchewan First Nations and Métis Economic Development Program, how many different entities were funded? (2) What was the average dollar amount of each grant? (3) When the program is terminated on March 31, 2010, what programming will be introduced to replace the FNMEDP? (4) If new programming is introduced, how will it effectively encourage First Nations and Métis involvement in the Saskatchewan economy?

Mr. McCall, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1614:

To the Minister of First Nations and Métis Relations: (1) With regard to the Saskatchewan First Nations and Métis Community Initiative, how many initiatives and events were funded by the First Nations and Métis Community Initiative? (2) What was the average dollar amount of each grant?

Mr. McCall, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1615:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) With regard to the Remote Housing Program, what is the difference between a "forgivable loan" and a grant, for the purposes of this program? (2) How many housing units have been built as a result of this program? (3) What was the average dollar value of the loans? (4) What percentage of these loans was made to self-identifying First Nations or Métis homebuilders?

Mr. McCall, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1616:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) With regard to the First Nations and Métis foster home recruitment program referenced in the 2009/10 ministry plan, when will this program be implemented? (2) What is the goal for the number of new First Nations and Métis foster homes as a result of this program?

Mr. McCall, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1617:

To the Minister of Social Services: In the 2009/10 ministry plan, the Ministry of Social Services promised to "partner with the Central Urban Métis Federation Incorporated to develop new spaces for child welfare in Saskatoon", how many new spaces for child welfare in Saskatoon have been created?

Mr. McCall, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1618:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) In the 2009/10 ministry plan, the Ministry of Social Services promised to "work with the First Nations organization to explore additional ways of delivery services", what changes have been made to the cultural composition of social service delivery as a result of this promise? (2) What changes have been made to staff recruitment policies as a result of this promise? (3) What First Nations organizations did the Ministry of Social Services work with during the implementation of this promise?

Mr. McCall, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1619:

To the Minister of Advanced Education, Employment and Labour: (1) How many First Nations and Métis workers received training and skill development under the auspices of the Northern Career Quest Partnership in 2009/10? (2) What was the cost to the province for its contribution to this partnership?

Mr. McCall, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1620:

To the Minister of Advanced Education, Employment and Labour: (1) On what date will the ministry's First Nations and Métis Involvement and Inclusion Strategy be made public? (2) What is the timeframe for the implementation of this strategy? (3) Which First Nations and Métis groups were consulted in the development of this strategy?

Mr. McCall, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1621:

To the Minister of Advanced Education, Employment and Labour: (1) Which employment initiatives of community-based organizations were funded by the \$5.9 million earmarked for "aboriginal workforce development" in 2009/10? (2) Which communities are these organizations based in? (3) How many jobs have been created as a result of these programs?

Mr. McCall, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1622:

To the Minister of First Nations and Métis Relations: Which First Nations communities are represented on the Northern Saskatchewan Environmental Quality Committee?

Mr. McCall, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1623:

To the Minister of First Nations and Métis Relations: (1) Does the ministry (in conjunction with the federal government) continue to fund Northern Development Agreement applications on a case-by-case basis? (2) If so, how many applications were funded? (3) What was the average dollar value of each grant? (4) Will this ad hoc funding arrangement be continued in 2010/11?

Mr. McCall, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1624:

To the Minister of Advanced Education, Employment and Labour: In 2008/09, the ministry negotiated agreements between Northlands College and Peter Ballantyne Cree Nation, SIAST and Kawacatoose First Nation, and between Southeast Regional College and Cowessess First Nation. (1) How many students from the Peter Ballantyne Cree nation are enrolled in the Practical Nursing program? (2) What is the maximum capacity of that program? (3) How many students from the Kawacatoose First Nation are enrolled in the Practical Nursing program? (4) What is the maximum capacity of that program? (5) How many students from the Cowessess First Nation are enrolled in the Practical Nursing program? (6) What is the maximum capacity of that program?

Mr. McCall, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1625:

To the Minister of Health: Whereas the Public Health Agency of Canada reported in *Tuberculosis in Canada 2008* that tuberculosis rate among aboriginals in Saskatchewan is 43 times higher than among non-aboriginals, what actions is the Ministry of Health taking to curb the prevalence of tuberculosis among the aboriginal population in this province?

Mr. McCall, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1626:

To the Minister of Health: Whereas tuberculosis rates are merely a symptom of the overall health gap between aboriginal and non-aboriginal residents of Saskatchewan, what steps has the government taken toward a healthier future for the First Nations and Métis people in Saskatchewan?

# The following Questions were given notice on day no. 55 and are to be answered by day no. 60:

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1627:

To the Minister of Agriculture: What is the percentage of hogs in Saskatchewan that were raised by the Hutterite Brethren in 2009?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1628:

To the Minister of Agriculture: What is the percentage of chickens in Saskatchewan that were raised by the Hutterite Brethren in 2009?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1629:

To the Minister of Agriculture: What is the percentage of wheat in Saskatchewan that was grown by the Hutterite Brethren in 2009?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1630:

To the Minister of Agriculture: What is the percentage of barley in Saskatchewan that was grown by the Hutterite Brethren in 2009?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1631:

To the Minister of Agriculture: What is the percentage of oats in Saskatchewan that was grown by the Hutterite Brethren in 2009?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1632:

To the Minister of Agriculture: What is the percentage of oil seed crops in Saskatchewan that was grown by the Hutterite Brethren in 2009?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1633:

To the Minister of Agriculture: How many producers applied for assistance under the AgriStability program in the 2009/10 crop year?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1634:

To the Minister of Agriculture: How many producers received assistance under the AgriStability program in the 2009/10 crop year?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1635:

To the Minister of Agriculture: How many producers applied for assistance under the AgriStability program in the 2008/09 crop year?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1636:

To the Minister of Agriculture: How many producers received assistance under the AgriStability program in the 2008/09 crop year?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1637:

To the Minister of Agriculture: How many producers applied for assistance under the AgriStability program in the 2007/08 crop year?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1638:

To the Minister of Agriculture: How many producers received assistance under the AgriStability program in the 2007/08 crop year?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1639:

To the Minister of Agriculture: Ninety three million dollars is being taken out of the business risk management programs in the 2010/11 agriculture budget, what projections is this based on?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1640:

To the Minister of Agriculture: Ninety three million dollars is being taken out of the business risk management programs in the 2010/11 agriculture budget, what data is being used to make these projections?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1641:

To the Minister of Agriculture: What is the total amount of money the federal government is contributing to the Sask. Crop Insurance program for the 2010/11 crop year?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1642:

To the Minister of Agriculture: What is the total amount of money the federal government is contributing to the AgriStability program for the 2010/11 crop year?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1643:

To the Minister of Agriculture: What is the total amount of money the federal government is contributing to the AgriInvest program for the 2010/11 crop year?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1644:

To the Minister of Agriculture: What is the percentage of cattle in Saskatchewan that were raised by the Hutterite Brethren in 2009?