



No. 50

VOTES and PROCEEDINGS

OF THE

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

PROVINCE OF SASKATCHEWAN

THIRD SESSION – TWENTY-SIXTH LEGISLATURE

Monday, April 26, 2010

1:30 p.m.

PRAYERS

PRESENTING PETITIONS

Petitions of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan were presented and laid upon the Table by the following Members: Harper, Higgins, Atkinson, Forbes, Furber, Morin, Taylor and Chartier.

READING AND RECEIVING PETITIONS

According to Order, the Law Clerk and Parliamentary Counsel having favourably examined the same pursuant to Rule 16(7), the following Petitions were read and received.

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to recognize the importance of safe and healthy working environments by supporting Occupational Health and Safety officers, upholding the highest standards of Occupational Health and Safety and by honouring those workers who have been killed on the workplace in Saskatchewan.

(Sessional Paper No. 455)

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to immediately expand the Graduate Retention Program to include Masters and Ph.D. graduates.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 4)

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to commit to providing repairs to Highway 310.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 44)

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to enact some form of rent control to protect Saskatchewan renters from unreasonable increases in rent.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 51)

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to enact a Saskatchewan Seniors' Bill of Rights.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 112)

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to make changes to the Film Employment Tax Credit and to reverse its decision to shut down Saskatchewan Communications Network (SCN).

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 243)

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to improve fiscal management.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 244)

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to recognize the impacts of climate change and to take the necessary actions to address this issue.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 247)

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to address the burden placed by high post-secondary tuition fees on Saskatchewan students.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 375)

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to recognize the importance of protection of wildlife habitat lands and consult stakeholders that will be affected by future changes to *The Wildlife Habitat Protection Act*.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 376)

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS / DÉPÔT DE PROJETS DE LOI

The following Bills were received, read the first time, and ordered to be read a second time at the next sitting:

Les projets de loi suivants sont reçus, lus une première fois, et la deuxième lecture en est fixée à la prochaine séance:

Bill No. 139 – The Miscellaneous Statutes (Streamlining Government) Amendment Act, 2010
(Hon. Mr. Morgan)

Bill No. 140 – The Miscellaneous Statutes (Streamlining Government) Amendment Act, 2010 (No. 2)
Projet de loi n° 140 – Loi corrective (rationalisation administrative) n° 2 de 2010
(Hon. Mr. / L'hon. M. Morgan)

Bill No. 141 – The Business Statutes Administration Transfer Act
(Hon. Ms. Draude)

Bill No. 142 – The Business Statutes Administration Transfer Consequential Amendments Act, 2010
Projet de loi n° 142 – Loi de 2010 portant modifications corrélatives à la loi intitulée The Business
Statutes Administration Transfer Act

(Hon. Ms. / L'hon. Mme Draude)

Bill No. 143 – The Tobacco Tax Amendment Act, 2010

(Hon. Mr. Gantefoer)

The Assembly recessed from 2:38 p.m. until 3:07 p.m. to consider a point of order.

STATEMENT BY THE SPEAKER
(Closure Motion)

I would like to thank the Opposition House Leader for providing a copy of his point of order. The member is correct, it is a question of order. The question of order is: has the minister followed and complied with the terms of the rule? In addressing the point of order, the rules do not require consultation. The member raises the point that there has not been sufficient debate. Our rules do not specify that there is a specific number of hours required before closure can be moved. The Opposition House Leader states and I quote: “The Speaker can rule this closure motion out of order on the grounds that insufficient debate has occurred and that the procedures simply being sued to violate the rights of the minority”.

On pages 561 and 562 of Marleau and Monpetit, I quote:

“The Speaker has at times been asked to use discretionary authority to refuse to put a closure motion to the House on the ground that a measure had not yet been given enough debating time. Invariably, he or she has declined to interfere with the application of the rule, deciding in each case that the Chair has no authority to intervene in the process when the closure rule is applied properly ... Speaker Fraser ruled that the timing of closure in a debate is not a procedural matter and that the Chair has no discretionary power to refuse the motion and is without authority to intervene when a Standing Order is used according to the House’s rules and practices.”

I find the point of order not well taken. Before closing I would like to point out that in some jurisdictions there are rules which attaches to the Speaker a very clear discretion whether or not sufficient hours have been spent in a debate before closure can be moved. Saskatchewan, like the House of Commons, has no such rule. If the member believes this should be part of the rule, I invite him to raise it with the House Services Committee.

CLOSURE MOTION

Before the Order of the Day was called for resuming debate on the Government Motion to revise sitting times, it was moved by the Hon. Mr. Harrison:

That debate on the motion regarding the revision of sessional sitting times, and on any amendment or sub-amendments proposed thereto, be not further adjourned.

The question being put, it was agreed to on the following Recorded Division:

YEAS – 32

Morgan	Bjornerud	Gantefoer	Draude	Boyd
Eagles	Cheveldayoff	Duncan	Huyghebaert	Heppner
Harpauer	D'Autremont	Harrison	Norris	Reiter
Hutchinson	Brkich	Elhard	Hart	Schriemer
Stewart	Allchurch	Weekes	Wilson	Hickie
Michelson	Ottenbreit	Ross	Chisholm	Bradshaw
Kirsch	McMillan			

NAYS – 15

McCall	Belanger	Harper	Trew	Higgins
Junor	Atkinson	Forbes	Vermette	Furber
Morin	Yates	Taylor	Quennell	Chartier

GOVERNMENT MOTION

Pursuant to Rule 66(1), the Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Harrison:

That the *Rules and Procedures* for the sitting times of the Assembly and the sitting times for standing committees shall be varied on an interim basis for the remainder of the Spring Period of the Third Session of the Twenty-sixth Legislature as follows:

1. Notwithstanding Rule 6(1), the ordinary times for the daily meetings and adjournment of the sittings of the Assembly on Mondays, Tuesdays and Wednesdays shall be at 8:00 a.m. and adjourn at 12:00 midnight, with a recess from 12:00 noon to 1:30 p.m. and 5:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.;
2. Notwithstanding Rule 6(1), the ordinary times for the daily meetings and adjournment of the sittings of the Assembly on Thursdays shall be at 8:00 a.m. and adjourn at 12:00 midnight, with a recess from 1:00 p.m. to 2:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.;
3. Notwithstanding Rule 14, the order of business considered by the Assembly on Thursdays shall be as follows:
 - a. Government Orders from 8:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m.;
 - b. Routine Proceedings commencing at 10:00 a.m. to be followed by Private Members' Public Bills and Orders and Private Bills in order of precedence specified by Rule 14(3) concluding at 1:00 p.m.;
 - c. Government Orders resuming at 2:00 p.m. until the adjournment of the sitting;
4. Standing committees shall meet and adjourn at the following times when convened:
 - a. Mondays, Tuesdays and Wednesdays shall be at 8:00 a.m. and adjourn at 12:00 midnight, with a recess from 12:00 noon to 1:30 p.m. and 5:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.;
 - b. On Thursday shall be at 8:00 a.m. and adjourn at 12:00 midnight; with a recess from 1:00 p.m. to 2:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.;

5. Standing committees may convene and meet at other times in accordance with the regular provisions of the *Rules and Procedures*;
6. By order, the Assembly and standing committees may adjourn earlier than the adjournment times specified by the Sessional order and further;

That the provisions of this Sessional order shall come into effect the sitting day of its adoption and shall expire upon the adjournment of the Assembly on the sitting day preceding the “Completion Day” of the Third Session of the Twenty-sixth Legislature.

The debate continuing, it was moved by Mr. Taylor in amendment thereto:

That all the words in clauses 1 through 4 be deleted and replaced with the following:

- “1. Notwithstanding Rule 6(1), the ordinary times for the daily meetings and adjournment of the sittings of the Assembly on Mondays, Tuesdays and Wednesdays shall be 10:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m., with a recess from 12:00 p.m. to 1:30 p.m. and from 5:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. and on Thursdays the Assembly shall sit from 10:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. Routine proceedings under Rule 14(2) be at 1:30 p.m. on Mondays, Tuesdays and Wednesdays and at 10:00 a.m. on Thursdays.”

The debate continuing, the Government House Leader raised a point of order that the amendment was inoperable. The Speaker ruled that the point was well taken and pursuant to *Beauchesne's*, 6th edition, para. 566(4), the Speaker modified the form of the motion and made it operable.

The debate being resumed on the motion and the amendment and the question being put on the amendment, it was negatived on the following Recorded Division:

YEAS – 20

Lingenfelter	McCall	Belanger	Harper	Trew
Higgins	Junor	Atkinson	Nilson	Forbes
Vermette	Broten	Furber	Morin	Yates
Iwanchuk	Taylor	Quennell	Wotherspoon	Chartier

NAYS – 27

Morgan	Krawetz	McMorris	Cheveldayoff	Duncan
Huyghebaert	Heppner	D'Autremont	Harrison	Norris
Reiter	Hutchinson	Brkich	Hart	Schriemer
Stewart	Allchurch	Weekes	Tell	Wilson
Hickie	Michelson	Ottenbreit	Ross	Chisholm
Kirsch	McMillan			

The debate continuing, it was moved by Mr. D'Autremont in amendment thereto:

That the motion be amended by deleting clauses 1 through 6 and the following be substituted in its place:

- “1. Notwithstanding Rule 6(1), the ordinary times for the daily meetings and adjournment of the sittings of the Assembly on Mondays, Tuesdays and Wednesdays shall be at 8:00 a.m. and adjourn at 12:00 midnight, with a recess from 12:00 noon to 1:30 p.m. and 6:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.;
2. Notwithstanding Rule 6(1), the ordinary times for the daily meetings and adjournment of the sittings of the Assembly on Thursdays shall be at 8:00 a.m. and adjourn at 12:00 midnight, with a recess from 12:00 noon to 1:30 p.m. and 4:30 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.;
3. Notwithstanding Rule 14, the order of business considered by the Assembly on Monday, Tuesdays and Wednesdays shall be as follows:
 - a. Government Orders from 8:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon;
 - b. Routine Proceedings commencing at 1:30 p.m. to be followed by the order of business as specified by Rule 14(3) until the adjournment of the sitting;
4. Notwithstanding Rule 14, the order of business considered by the Assembly on Thursdays shall be as follows:
 - a. Government Orders from 8:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon;
 - b. Routine Proceedings commencing at 1:30 p.m. to be followed by the order of business as specified by Rule 14(3) for a Thursday, concluding at 4:30 p.m.
 - c. Government Orders resuming at 6:00 p.m. until the adjournment of the sitting;
5. Standing committees shall meet and adjourn at the following times when convened:
 - a. Mondays, Tuesdays and Wednesdays shall be at 8:00 a.m. and adjourn at 12:00 midnight, with a recess from 12:00 noon to 1:30 p.m. and 6:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.;
 - b. On Thursday shall be at 8:00 a.m. and adjourn at 12:00 midnight; with a recess from 12:00 noon. to 1:30 p.m. and 4:30 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.;
 - c. On Friday, shall be at 8:00 a.m. and adjourn at 12:00 midnight; with a recess from 12:00 noon to 1:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.;
6. Standing committees may convene and meet at other times in accordance with the regular provisions of the *Rules and Procedures*;
7. By order, the Assembly and standing committees may adjourn earlier than the adjournment times specified by the Sessional order and further;”

The question being put on the amendment, it was agreed to on the following Recorded Division:

YEAS – 27

Morgan	Krawetz	McMorris	Cheveldayoff	Duncan
Huyghebaert	Heppner	D'Autremont	Harrison	Norris
Reiter	Hutchinson	Brkich	Hart	Schriemer
Stewart	Allchurch	Weekes	Tell	Wilson
Hickie	Michelson	Ottenbreit	Ross	Chisholm
Kirsch	McMillan			

NAYS – 20

Lingenfelter	McCall	Belanger	Harper	Trew
Higgins	Junor	Atkinson	Nilson	Forbes
Vermette	Brotten	Furber	Morin	Yates
Iwanchuk	Taylor	Quennell	Wotherspoon	Chartier

The question being put on the motion as amended, it was agreed to on the following Recorded Division:

YEAS – 27

Morgan	Krawetz	McMorris	Cheveldayoff	Duncan
Huyghebaert	Heppner	D'Autremont	Harrison	Norris
Reiter	Hutchinson	Brkich	Hart	Schriemer
Stewart	Allchurch	Weekes	Tell	Wilson
Hickie	Michelson	Ottenbreit	Ross	Chisholm
Kirsch	McMillan			

NAYS – 20

Lingenfelter	McCall	Belanger	Harper	Trew
Higgins	Junor	Atkinson	Nilson	Forbes
Vermette	Brotten	Furber	Morin	Yates
Iwanchuk	Taylor	Quennell	Wotherspoon	Chartier

Moved by Mr. D'Autremont: "That this Assembly do now adjourn."

The question being put, it was agreed to on the following Recorded Division:

YEAS – 27

Morgan	Krawetz	McMorris	Cheveldayoff	Duncan
Huyghebaert	Heppner	D'Autremont	Harrison	Norris
Reiter	Hutchinson	Brkich	Hart	Schriemer
Stewart	Allchurch	Weekes	Tell	Wilson
Hickie	Michelson	Ottenbreit	Ross	Chisholm
Kirsch	McMillan			

NAYS – 20

Lingenfelter	McCall	Belanger	Harper	Trew
Higgins	Junor	Atkinson	Nilson	Forbes
Vermette	Brotten	Furber	Morin	Yates
Iwanchuk	Taylor	Quennell	Wotherspoon	Chartier

The Assembly adjourned at 11:49 p.m. until Tuesday at 8:00 a.m.

Hon. Don Toth
Speaker

RETURNS, REPORTS AND PAPERS TABLED

By the Hon. Mr. Gantefoer:

Annual Report and Financial Statements for SaskEnergy Retiring Allowance Plan for the year ended December 31, 2009.

(Sessional Paper No. 462)

Annual Report and Financial Statements for Saskatchewan Government Insurance Service Recognition Plan for the year ended December 31, 2009.

(Sessional Paper No. 463)

Annual Report and Financial Statements for Public Employees Group Life Insurance Fund for the year ended December 31, 2009.

(Sessional Paper No. 464)

Annual Report and Financial Statements for Pension Plan for the Employees of the Saskatchewan Workers' Compensation Board for the year ended December 31, 2009.

(Sessional Paper No. 465)

By the Hon. Ms. Draude:

Annual Report and Financial Statements for Saskatchewan Government Insurance Superannuation Plan for the year ended December 31, 2009.

(Sessional Paper No. 466)

Annual Report and Financial Statements for SGI Canada for the year ended December 31, 2009.

(Sessional Paper No. 467)

Annual Report and Financial Statements for Saskatchewan Auto Fund for the year ended December 31, 2009.

(Sessional Paper No. 468)

Annual Report and Financial Statements for SGI Canada Insurance Services Ltd. for the year ended December 31, 2009.

(Sessional Paper No. 469)

Annual Report and Financial Statements for Insurance Company of Prince Edward Island for the year ended December 31, 2009.

(Sessional Paper No. 470)

Annual Report and Financial Statements for Coachman Insurance Company for the year ended December 31, 2009.

(Sessional Paper No. 471)

The following Returns which were Ordered by the Assembly on November 2, 2009, were laid upon the Table:

Return Nos. 3 to 11 by Mr. Lingenfelter

(Sessional Paper Nos. 472 to 480)

The following Returns which were Ordered by the Assembly on November 3, 2009, were laid upon the Table:

Return Nos. 24 to 27 by Mr. Lingenfelter

(Sessional Paper Nos. 481 to 484)

The following Returns which were Ordered by the Assembly on November 16, 2009, were laid upon the Table:

Return Nos. 37, 38 and 40 by Mr. Yates

(Sessional Paper Nos. 485 to 487)

The following Return which was Ordered by the Assembly on November 25, 2009, was laid upon the Table:

Return No. 47 by Mr. Yates

(Sessional Paper No. 488)

The following Returns which were Ordered by the Assembly on March 29, 2010, were laid upon the Table:

Return Nos. 341 and 342 by Ms. Junor

(Sessional Paper Nos. 489 and 490)

The following Returns which were Ordered by the Assembly on March 30, 2010, were laid upon the Table:

Return Nos. 367 to 387 by Ms. Higgins

(Sessional Paper Nos. 491 to 511)

The following Returns which were Ordered by the Assembly on April 1, 2010, were laid upon the Table:

Return Nos. 388 to 396 by Ms. Junor

(Sessional Paper Nos. 512 to 520)

Return Nos. 397 to 405 by Mr. Broten

(Sessional Paper Nos. 521 to 529)

Return Nos. 406 to 434 by Mr. Yates

(Sessional Paper Nos. 530 to 558)

Return Nos. 435 to 443 by Ms. Morin

(Sessional Paper Nos. 559 to 567)

Return Nos. 444 to 452 by Mr. Trew

(Sessional Paper Nos. 568 to 576)

The following Returns which were Ordered by the Assembly on April 12, 2010, were laid upon the Table:

Return Nos. 453 to 460 by Mr. Furber

(Sessional Paper Nos. 577 to 584)

NOTICE OF WRITTEN QUESTIONS

The following Questions were given notice on day no. 47 and are to be answered by day no. 52:

Ms. Higgins, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1551:

To the Minister of Health: (1) With regard to the Moose Jaw Union Hospital expansion project, where is the Moose Jaw Union Hospital expansion project on the capital funding queue for the ministry's capital projects? (2) What remains of the allocation of planning dollars for the Moose Jaw Hospital expansion?

Ms. Higgins, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1552:

To the Minister of Environment: Whom did the government consult about the proposed amendments to *The Wildlife Habitat Protection (Land Designation) Amendment Act*? (Please provide the names, the dates and locations of these consultations).

Ms. Higgins, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1553:

To the Minister of Environment: The explanatory notes for *Bill No. 132 – The Wildlife Habitat Protection (Land Designation) Amendment Act*, indicate that regulations will be adopted that designate lands protected under *The Wildlife Habitat Protection Act*, and that ecological values will be assigned to each parcel of land to determine which lands may be sold, presumably without conditions, which lands may be sold with conservation easements, and which lands will be retained as Crown land. The explanatory notes also indicate that regulations will be prepared setting out lands designated as protected. (1) What process will be adopted to assess the ecological value of each parcel of land? (2) What criteria will be used to assess the ecological value of land? (3) What relative weight will be given to each of these criteria? (4) Will lands simply be designated as lands eligible to be sold without conditions, eligible to be sold with conservation easements attached, or retained as Crown lands, or will some alternative rating system be used?

Ms. Higgins, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1554:

To the Minister of Environment: How are each of the parcels of land currently listed in the schedule in *The Wildlife Habitat Protection Act*, designated or rated, under the new ecological assessment?

The following Questions were given notice on day no. 49 and are to be answered by day no. 54:

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1555:

To the Minister of Justice: (1) Did the provincial government get any legal advice as to whether or not they could lose a lawsuit if they continue to violate the March 24, 2010 ILO ruling? (2) Could the province be financially liable?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1556:

To the Minister of Advanced Education, Employment and Labour: (1) Did the provincial government get any legal advice as to whether or not they could lose a lawsuit if they continue to violate the March 24, 2010 ILO ruling? (2) Could the province be financially liable?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1557:

To the Minister of Agriculture: (1) How many tons of fertilizer was purchased by Saskatchewan agriculture producers in the 2009/10 crop year? (2) 2008/09? (3) 2007/08? (4) 2006/07? (5) 2005/06?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1558:

To the Minister of Agriculture: (1) What was the average price per ton of fertilizer paid by producers in the 2009/10 crop year? (2) 2008/09? (3) 2007/08? (4) 2006/07? (5) 2005/06?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1559:

To the Minister of Agriculture: What was the total cost of providing Fish and Wildlife Development lands program that was made available to livestock producers that had been affected by drought in 2009?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1560:

To the Minister of Agriculture: How many livestock producers accessed Fish and Wildlife Development lands that were made available to them due to drought conditions in 2009?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1561:

To the Minister of Agriculture: What was the total cost to livestock producers of providing temporary fencing on Fish and Wildlife Development lands that had been opened up for grazing due to drought conditions in 2009?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1562:

To the Minister of Agriculture: What was the average cost per livestock producers of providing temporary fencing on Fish and Wildlife Development lands that had been opened up for grazing due to drought conditions in 2009?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1563:

To the Minister of Agriculture: What was the total cost to livestock producers of providing any water improvements on Fish and Wildlife Development lands that had been opened up for grazing due to drought conditions in 2009?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1564:

To the Minister of Agriculture: What was the average cost per livestock producers of providing any water improvements on Fish and Wildlife Development lands program that had been opened up for grazing due to drought conditions in 2009?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1565:

To the Minister of Agriculture: How many head of livestock that were pastured on Fish and Wildlife Development lands due to drought conditions were lost during 2009?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1566:

To the Minister of Agriculture: How many pasture riders looked after the livestock that were pastured on Fish and Wildlife lands that were opened up due to drought in 2009?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1567:

To the Minister of Agriculture: What were the pasture riders paid that worked on the Fish and Wildlife lands that were opened up due to drought in 2009?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1568:

To the Minister of Agriculture: Who paid the wages of the pasture riders that worked on the Fish and Wildlife lands that were opened up due to drought in 2009?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1569:

To the Minister of Agriculture: What was the cost of grazing lease fees, in dollars and cents, that was charged to livestock producers who pastured cattle on Fish and Wildlife lands due to drought in 2009?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1570:

To the Minister of Agriculture: (1) How much money did the federal government put into the 2009 Saskatchewan Farm and Ranch Water Infrastructure Program? (2) What percentage of the program does this amount to?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1571:

To the Minister of Agriculture: (1) How much money did the provincial government put into the 2009 Farm and Ranch Water Infrastructure Program? (2) What percentage of the program does this amount to?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1572:

To the Minister of Agriculture: What was the total cost of the 2009 Farm and Ranch Water Infrastructure Program?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1573:

To the Minister of Agriculture: How many agriculture producers accessed assistance from the Farm and Ranch Water Infrastructure Program in 2009?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1574:

To the Minister of Agriculture: What percentage of the total cost of an on-farm well did an agriculture producer have to pay to get a well drilled under the 2009 Farm and Ranch Water Infrastructure Program?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1575:

To the Minister of Agriculture: (1) How many municipalities accessed the 2009 Farm and Ranch Water Infrastructure Program? (2) Which municipalities were they?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1576:

To the Minister of Agriculture: What percentage of the total cost of a well did a municipality have to pay to get a well drilled under the 2009 Farm and Ranch Water Infrastructure Program?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1577:

To the Minister of Agriculture: (1) How many communities access the 2009 Farm and Ranch Water Infrastructure Program? (2) Which communities were they?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1578:

To the Minister of Agriculture: What percentage of the total cost of a well did a community have to pay to get a well drilled under the 2009 Farm and Ranch Water Infrastructure Program?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1579:

To the Minister of Agriculture: (1) How many First Nations band, reserves or communities accessed the 2009 Farm and Ranch Water Infrastructure Program? (2) Which First Nations bands, reserves or communities were they?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1580:

To the Minister of Agriculture: What percentage of the total cost of a well did First Nations bands, reserves or communities have to pay to get a well drilled under the 2009 Farm and Ranch Water Infrastructure Program?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1581:

To the Minister of Agriculture: What was the total number of on-farm wells drilled in 2009 as a result of the Farm and Ranch Water Infrastructure Program?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1582:

To the Minister of Agriculture: What was the total number of on-farm water wells drilled in Saskatchewan in 2006? (2) 2007? (3) 2008?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1583:

To the Minister of Agriculture: What was the total number of community wells drilled in 2009 as a result of the Farm and Ranch Water Infrastructure Program?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1584:

To the Minister of Agriculture: What was the total number of community water wells drilled in Saskatchewan in 2006? (2) 2007? (3) 2008?

Mr. Lingenfelter, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1585:

To the Minister of Agriculture: What is the percentage of cattle in Saskatchewan that were raised by Hutterites in 2009?

The following Questions were given notice on day no. 50 and are to be answered by day no. 55:

Mr. Harper, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1586:

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Transportation Company: How many new employees were hired by the Saskatchewan Transportation Company in 2009?

Mr. Harper, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1587:

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Transportation Company: How many medical passes were issued by the Saskatchewan Transportation Company in 2009?

Mr. Harper, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1588:

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Transportation Company: How many medical passes were issued by the Saskatchewan Transportation Company in 2008?

Mr. Harper, to ask the Government the following Question No. 1589:

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Transportation Company: How many medical passes were issued by the Saskatchewan Transportation Company in 2007?