



No. 85

VOTES and PROCEEDINGS

OF THE

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

PROVINCE OF SASKATCHEWAN

FIRST SESSION – TWENTY-FIFTH LEGISLATURE

Tuesday, April 5, 2005

1:30 p.m.

PRAYERS

PRESENTING PETITIONS

Petitions of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan were presented and laid upon the Table by the following Members: Elhard, Draude, McMorris, Brkich, Dearborn, Hart and Hermanson.

READING AND RECEIVING PETITIONS

According to Order, the Deputy Clerk having favourably reported on the same pursuant to Rule 14(7), the following Petitions were read and received:

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to ensure that the development of cabin lots in the area of Rafferty Dam proceeds.

(Sessional Paper No. 729)

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to install the technical equipment necessary to ensure that all rural areas of Saskatchewan are protected by reliable cellular phone coverage.

(Sessional Paper No. 730)

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to reconsider the decision to reduce funding to the Claybank Brick Plant.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 180)

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to reverse the decision to force the amalgamation of school divisions in Saskatchewan and continue re-organization of school divisions on a strictly voluntary basis.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 637)

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to take the necessary action to ensure that the CAIS program receives adequate provincial funding, the funding formula is changed to ensure equal access to compensation, and to contribute funds to the latest BSE assistance package released by the Federal Government.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 638)

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to immediately address the concerns of all individuals affected by the TransGas Asquith Natural Gas Storage Project, pay 100% of the costs involved to rectify disruptions to water supplies, produce an environment assessment study encompassing a larger area outside the scope of the project, disclose the project's long term effects on these areas and consider alternative sources of water for the project.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 640)

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to provide the funding required for additional residential spaces for Swift Current residents with lifelong disabilities.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 715)

Of citizens of the Province of Saskatchewan humbly praying that your Honourable Assembly may be pleased to cause the Government to implement a strategy that will deal with crystal methamphetamine education, prevention, enforcement and treatment.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 720)

REPORT OF THE STANDING COMMITTEE ON PRIVATE BILLS

Mr. Yates, Chair of the Standing Committee on Private Bills, presented the Third Report of the said committee which is as follows:

Your committee has duly examined the under-mentioned Petitions for Private Bills and finds that the provisions of Rules 75, 76 and 79 have been fully complied with.

Of the Concentra Financial Services Association, in the Province of Saskatchewan praying for an Act to appoint Concentra Trust as successor trustee to Concentra Financial Services Association

Of the Saskatoon Foundation, in the Province of Saskatchewan praying for an Act to change its name, to receive and distribute funds for environmental purposes in Saskatoon and area; and to distribute non-endowed funds to other charitable organizations

Of the Soeurs de la Charite de St. Louis, in the Province of Saskatchewan praying for an Act to repeal its Act of Incorporation and to transfer all rights, assets and liabilities to Sisters of Charity of St. Louis of Calgary, Alberta

(Sessional Paper No. 731)

On motion of Mr. Yates, seconded by Mr. Hart:

Ordered, That the Third Report of the Standing Committee on Private Bills be now concurred in.

Thereupon the Clerk laid upon the Table the following Bills:

Bill No. 304 - The Concentra Trust Act, 2005

(Mr. Addley)

Bill No. 305 - The Saskatoon Foundation Amendment Act, 2005

(Mr. Addley)

Bill No. 306 - The Soeurs de la Charite de St. Louis Repeal Act

(Mr. Hagel)

The said Bills were read the first time, and ordered for Second Reading at the next sitting pursuant to Rule 82.

WRITTEN QUESTIONS

The Order of the Day being called for Question Nos. 921 to 925, they were answered. (See Appendix)

SECOND READINGS

Bill No. 88 – The Health Labour Relations Reorganization Amendment Act, 2004

The Hon. Ms. Higgins, a member of the Executive Council, having acquainted the Assembly that Her Honour the Lieutenant Governor, having been informed of the subject matter of the Bill, recommends it to the consideration of the Assembly, moved: That Bill No. 88 – The Health Labour Relations Reorganization Amendment Act, 2004 – be now read a second time.

A debate arising, it was on motion of Mr. Gantefoer, adjourned.

Bill No. 94 – The Apiaries Act, 2005

The Hon. Mr. Wartman, a member of the Executive Council, having acquainted the Assembly that Her Honour the Lieutenant Governor, having been informed of the subject matter of the Bill, recommends it to the consideration of the Assembly, moved: That Bill No. 94 – The Apiaries Act, 2005 – be now read a second time.

A debate arising, it was on motion of Ms. Harpauer, adjourned.

Bill No. 95 – The Ecological Reserves Amendment Act, 2005

Moved by the Hon. Mr. Forbes: That Bill No. 95 – The Ecological Reserves Amendment Act, 2005 – be now read a second time.

A debate arising, it was on motion of Mr. Morgan, adjourned.

Bill No. 98 – The Prairie and Forest Fires Amendment Act, 2005

The Hon. Mr. Forbes, a member of the Executive Council, having acquainted the Assembly that Her Honour the Lieutenant Governor, having been informed of the subject matter of the Bill, recommends it to the consideration of the Assembly, moved: That Bill No. 98 – The Prairie and Forest Fires Amendment Act, 2005 – be now read a second time.

A debate arising, it was on motion of Mr. Brkich, adjourned.

ADJOURNED DEBATES / DÉBATS AJOURNÉS**Bill No. 59 – The Ambulance Amendment Act, 2004**

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Nilson: That Bill No. 59 – The Ambulance Amendment Act, 2004 - be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. Hermanson, adjourned.

Bill No. 86 – The Labour Standards Amendment Act, 2004 (No. 2)

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Ms. Higgins: That Bill No. 86 – The Labour Standards Amendment Act, 2004 (No. 2) - be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. Hart, adjourned.

Bill No. 87 – The Trade Union Amendment Act, 2004

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Ms. Higgins: That Bill No. 87 – The Trade Union Amendment Act, 2004 - be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. Stewart, adjourned.

Bill No. 80 – The Education Amendment Act, 2004**Projet de loi n° 80 – Loi de 2004 modifiant la Loi de 1995 sur l'éducation**

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Thomson: That Bill No. 80 – The Education Amendment Act, 2004 – be now read a second time.

L'Assemblée reprend le débat ajourné sur la motion de l'hon. M. Thomson: Que le projet de loi n° 80 – Loi de 2004 modifiant la Loi de 1995 sur l'éducation – soit maintenant lu une deuxième fois.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. Brkich, adjourned.

Le débat se poursuit et sur motion de M. Brkich, il est ajourné.

Bill No. 90 – The Adult Guardianship and Co-decision-making Amendment Act, 2005

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Quennell: That Bill No. 90 – The Adult Guardianship and Co-decision-making Amendment Act, 2005 - be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. Wakefield, adjourned.

Bill No. 91 – The Land Surveys Amendment Act, 2005

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Cline: That Bill No. 91 – The Land Surveys Amendment Act, 2005 - be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Eagles, adjourned.

Bill No. 92 – The International Protection of Adults (Hague Convention Implementation) Act
Projet de loi n° 92 – Loi de mise en oeuvre de la Convention de la Haye sur la protection
internationale des adultes

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Quennell: That Bill No. 92 – The International Protection of Adults (Hague Convention Implementation) Act – be now read a second time.

L'Assemblée reprend le débat ajourné sur la motion de l'hon. M. Quennell: Que le projet de loi n° 92 – Loi de mise en oeuvre de la Convention de la Haye sur la protection internationale des adultes – soit maintenant lu une deuxième fois.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. Wakefield, adjourned.

Le débat se poursuit et sur motion de M. Wakefield, il est ajourné.

Bill No. 93 - The Doukhobors of Canada C.C.U.B. Trust Fund Amendment Act, 2005

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Ms. Beatty: That Bill No. 93 - The Doukhobors of Canada C.C.U.B. Trust Fund Amendment Act, 2005 - be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said Bill was, accordingly, read a second time.

On motion of the Hon. Ms. Beatty:

Ordered, That Bill No. 93 - The Doukhobors of Canada C.C.U.B. Trust Fund Amendment Act, 2005 – be now referred to the Standing Committee on Human Services.

On motion of the Hon. Ms. Atkinson:

Ordered, That this Assembly do now adjourn.

The Assembly adjourned at 4:10 p.m. until Wednesday at 1:30 p.m.

Hon. P. Myron Kowalsky
Speaker

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Mr. Morgan asked the Government the following Question No. 921, which was answered by the Hon. Mr. Cline:

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Liquor and Gaming Authority: (1) How much did SLGA pay for veterinary services at Marquis Downs Race Track in Saskatoon in 2004, and to whom? (2) Please provide details of all veterinary services provided at Marquis Downs Race Track during 2004.

Answer:

- (1) In 2004-05, Saskatchewan Liquor and Gaming Authority (SLGA) paid \$15,430 to Bar S.T. Ranch & Vet Services P.C. Inc. for veterinary services.
- (2) Track Veterinarian Duties at Marquis Downs:
 - a) To ensure that horses entered to race are fit and sound (physically able to perform). The Authority veterinarian shall inspect, on the day of the race, all horses entered to race at Marquis Downs that day. Should the Authority veterinarian, in his/her opinion, find any horse(s) that are not fit, sound, or ready to race on that day, he/she must recommend to the Stewards that said horse should be scratched.
 - b) Supervising the collection of all samples of saliva and blood for drug control purposes.
 - c) Attending all searches, when requested to do so, under the authority of the rules of racing.
 - d) Attending meetings, hearings, appeals when requested to do so by the Authority.
 - e) File an annual report with the Manager of the Horse Racing Branch at the end of each racing season.

The role of the Authority veterinarian is to protect the safety of the participants in live horse racing. This includes not only the horses but also the jockeys that ride the horses in each race.

The Authority veterinarian is also protecting the betting public. Horses that are not fit or ready to race have to be scratched so that the integrity of the sport is upheld for the wagering public that support the industry.

Mr. Morgan asked the Government the following Question No. 922, which was answered by the Hon. Mr. Cline:

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Liquor and Gaming Authority: (1) How much did SLGA pay for veterinary services at Marquis Downs Race Track in Saskatoon in 2003, and to whom? (2) Please provide details of all veterinary services provided at Marquis Downs Race Track during 2003.

Answer:

- (1) In 2003-04, Saskatchewan Liquor and Gaming Authority (SLGA) paid \$15,730 to Bar S.T. Ranch & Vet Services P.C. Inc. for veterinary services.
- (2) Track Veterinarian Duties at Marquis Downs:
 - a) To ensure that horses entered to race are fit and sound (physically able to perform). The Authority veterinarian shall inspect, on the day of the race, all horses entered to race at Marquis Downs that day. Should the Authority veterinarian, in his/her opinion, find any horse(s) that are not fit, sound, or ready to race on that day, he/she must recommend to the Stewards that said horse should be scratched.
 - b) Supervising the collection of all samples of saliva and blood for drug control purposes.
 - c) Attending all searches, when requested to do so, under the authority of the rules of racing.
 - d) Attending meetings, hearings, appeals when requested to do so by the Authority.
 - e) File an annual report with the Manager of the Horse Racing Branch at the end of each racing season.

The role of the Authority veterinarian is to protect the safety of the participants in live horse racing. This includes not only the horses but also the jockeys that ride the horses in each race.

The Authority veterinarian is also protecting the betting public. Horses that are not fit or ready to race have to be scratched so that the integrity of the sport is upheld for the wagering public that support the industry.

Ms. Harpauer asked the Government the following Question No. 923, which was answered by the Hon. Mr. Thomson:

To the Minister of Learning: Is there a minimal standard of professional training that is required of any person employed within the K-12 school system in the capacity of a counsellor?

Answer:

No. *The Education Act, 1995* provides boards of education with the responsibility for the daily operations of schools within their jurisdiction. This includes the policy and procedures for program delivery and guidelines for staff who deliver the programs.

Ms. Harpauer asked the Government the following Question No. 924, which was answered by the Hon. Mr. Thomson:

To the Minister of Learning: In view that personal counselling could be considered as mental health treatment, are policies in place by the Department that determine: (1) The minimum age for which a child can receive counselling without parental permission or awareness; and (2) A minimum number of sessions for which a child may consult with a counsellor before parental consent and/or awareness is required?

Answer:

No. *The Education Act, 1995* provides boards of education with the responsibility for the daily operations of schools within their jurisdiction. This includes the policy and procedures for program delivery and guidelines for staff who deliver the programs.

Ms. Harpauer asked the Government the following Question No. 925, which was answered by the Hon. Mr. Thomson:

To the Minister of Learning: If it has been determined by a professional worker within the K-12 system that a child may harm him/herself or another student, what protocol does the Department have in place for that professional to follow to: (1) Inform the parents of the child; and (2) Seek professional help for the child?

Answer:

None. *The Education Act, 1995* provides boards of education with the responsibility for the daily operations of schools within their jurisdiction. This includes the policy and procedures for program delivery and guidelines for staff who deliver the programs.

NOTICE OF MOTIONS FOR FIRST READING OF BILLS

AVIS DE MOTION PORTANT PREMIÈRE LECTURE DE PROJETS DE LOI

On Thursday / jeudi:

Hon. Mr. Thomson to move First Reading of Bill No. 99 – The Canadian Information Processing Society of Saskatchewan Act

Hon. Mr. Quennell to move First Reading of Bill No. 101 – The Enforcement of Foreign Judgments Act

L'hon. M. Quennell proposera la première lecture du projet de loi n° 101 – Loi sur l'exécution des jugements étrangers

Hon. Mr. Quennell to move First Reading of Bill No. 103 – The Real Estate Amendment Act, 2005

NOTICE OF WRITTEN QUESTIONS

The following Questions were asked on day no. 82 and are to be answered by day no. 87:

Mr. D'Autremont, to ask the Government the following Question No. 927:

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Government Insurance: (1) Which investments held by SGI or any of its subsidiaries were subject to an independent third party review in 2003? (2) What were the results of the review?

Mr. D'Autremont, to ask the Government the following Question No. 928:

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Government Insurance: (1) Which investments held by SGI or any of its subsidiaries were subject to an independent third party review in 2004? (2) What were the results of the review?

Mr. D'Autremont, to ask the Government the following Question No. 929:

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Government Insurance: (1) Which investments held by SGI or any of its subsidiaries were subject to an independent third party review in 2005? (2) What were the results of the review?

Mr. Wall, to ask the Government the following Question No. 930:

To the Minister of Community Resources and Employment: How many new residential spaces for individuals in Swift Current with lifelong disabilities were funded in the 2005-2006 provincial budget?

Mr. Weekes, to ask the Government the following Question No. 931:

To the Minister of Agriculture and Food: What percentage of money allocated for the 2003 CAIS program has been paid out to Saskatchewan producers?

Ms. Draude, to ask the Government the following Question No. 932:

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Power Corporation: What is the cost charged by SaskPower to villages, towns and cities per street light in their jurisdiction?

Ms. Draude, to ask the Government the following Question No. 933:

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Power Corporation: (1) What is SaskPower's policy regarding dates for turning on Christmas lights and decorations? (2) What is the policy regarding any village, town or city that does not adhere to this policy?

Ms. Harpauer, to ask the Government the following Question No. 934:

To the Minister Responsible for SaskEnergy Incorporated: (1) Which investments held by SaskEnergy or any of its subsidiaries were subject to an independent third party review in 2003? (2) What were the results of the review?

Ms. Harpauer, to ask the Government the following Question No. 935:

To the Minister Responsible for SaskEnergy Incorporated: (1) Which investments held by SaskEnergy or any of its subsidiaries were subject to an independent third party review in 2004? (2) What were the results of the review?

Ms. Harpauer, to ask the Government the following Question No. 936:

To the Minister Responsible for SaskEnergy Incorporated: (1) Which investments held by SaskEnergy or any of its subsidiaries were subject to an independent third party review in 2005? (2) What were the results of the review?

Mr. Kerpan, to ask the Government the following Question No. 937:

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Telecommunications: (1) Was a third party review completed prior to DirectWest's purchase of Midwest Marketing? (2) Who was hired to conduct the review? (3) What fee was paid by SaskTel for the review? (4) Will the review be tabled, and if so, when?

Mr. Kerpan, to ask the Government the following Question No. 938:

To the Minister Responsible for Crown Management Board: (1) Which investments held by Crown Investments Corporation or any of its subsidiaries were subject to an independent third party review in 2003? (2) What were the results of the review?

Mr. Kerpan, to ask the Government the following Question No. 939:

To the Minister Responsible for Crown Management Board: (1) Which investments held by Crown Investments Corporation or any of its subsidiaries were subject to an independent third party review in 2004? (2) What were the results of the review?

Mr. Kerpan, to ask the Government the following Question No. 940:

To the Minister Responsible for Crown Management Board: (1) Which investments held by Crown Investments Corporation or any of its subsidiaries were subject to an independent third party review in 2005? (2) What were the results of the review?

Mr. Kerpan, to ask the Government the following Question No. 941:

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Telecommunications: (1) Which investments held by SaskTel or any of its subsidiaries were subject to an independent third party review in 2003? (2) What were the results of the review?

Mr. Kerpan, to ask the Government the following Question No. 942:

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Telecommunications: (1) Which investments held by SaskTel or any of its subsidiaries were subject to an independent third party review in 2004? (2) What were the results of the review?

Mr. Kerpan, to ask the Government the following Question No. 943:

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Telecommunications: (1) Which investments held by SaskTel or any of its subsidiaries were subject to an independent third party review in 2005? (2) What were the results of the review?

Mr. Kerpan, to ask the Government the following Question No. 944:

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Power Corporation: (1) Which investments held by SaskPower or any of its subsidiaries were subject to an independent third party review in 2003? (2) What were the results of the review?

Mr. Kerpan, to ask the Government the following Question No. 945:

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Power Corporation: (1) Which investments held by SaskPower or any of its subsidiaries were subject to an independent third party review in 2004? (2) What were the results of the review?

Mr. Kerpan, to ask the Government the following Question No. 946:

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Power Corporation: (1) Which investments held by SaskPower or any of its subsidiaries were subject to an independent third party review in 2005? (2) What were the results of the review?

The following Questions were asked on day no. 83 and are to be answered by day no. 88:

Mr. Weekes, to ask the Government the following Question No. 947:

To the Minister of Highways and Transportation: (1) Of the 57 km of road improvements to be done under forestry commitments, what is the cost per kilometre? (2) What is/are the location(s) of these kilometres of highway improvement? (3) How often are these Road Transportation Agreements under forestry commitments renegotiated? (4) What is the length of time that these agreements will exist?

Ms. Bakken, to ask the Government the following Question No. 948:

To the Minister of Health: (1) Were any facilities run by CBOs that provided addiction services closed in 2000? If so, please provide the name(s). (2) Have addictions programs previously provided by facilities closed in 2000 been cancelled? If so, please provide the name(s). (3) Are any addictions programs previously facilitated by CBOs that closed in 2000 now being provided by Regional Health Authorities? If so, please provide the name(s).

Ms. Bakken, to ask the Government the following Question No. 949:

To the Minister of Health: (1) Were any facilities run by CBOs that provided addiction services closed in 2001? If so, please provide the name(s). (2) Have addictions programs previously provided by facilities closed in 2001 been cancelled? If so, please provide the name(s). (3) Are any addictions programs previously facilitated by CBOs that closed in 2001 now being provided by Regional Health Authorities? If so, please provide the name(s).

Ms. Bakken, to ask the Government the following Question No. 950:

To the Minister of Health: (1) Were any facilities run by CBOs that provided addiction services closed in 2002? If so, please provide the name(s). (2) Have addictions programs previously provided by facilities closed in 2002 been cancelled? If so, please provide the name(s). (3) Are any addictions programs previously facilitated by CBOs that closed in 2002 now being provided by Regional Health Authorities? If so, please provide the name(s).

Ms. Bakken, to ask the Government the following Question No. 951:

To the Minister of Health: (1) Were any facilities run by CBOs that provided addiction services closed in 2003? If so, please provide the name(s). (2) Have addictions programs previously provided by facilities closed in 2003 been cancelled? If so, please provide the name(s). (3) Are any addictions programs previously facilitated by CBOs that closed in 2003 now being provided by Regional Health Authorities? If so, please provide the name(s).

Ms. Bakken, to ask the Government the following Question No. 952:

To the Minister of Health: (1) Were any facilities run by CBOs that provided addiction services closed in 2004? If so, please provide the name(s). (2) Have addictions programs previously provided by facilities closed in 2004 been cancelled? If so, please provide the name(s). (3) Are any addictions programs previously facilitated by CBOs that closed in 2004 now being provided by Regional Health Authorities? If so, please provide the name(s).

Ms. Draude, to ask the Government the following Question No. 953:

To the Minister of Health: Please provide a detailed breakdown of how funding for gambling addiction programs and services is spent.

The following Questions were asked on day no. 84 and are to be answered by day no. 89:

Ms. Harpauer, to ask the Government the following Question No. 954:

To the Minister of Agriculture and Food: (1) How many new egg production units were allocated to Saskatchewan in 2004? (2) How many of those new egg production units were sold to existing registered egg producers? When were they issued? (3) Of the new egg production units that were sold to registered egg producers, what amount of money was collected? (4) Of the remaining new egg production units that were not sold to existing registered egg producers, how many have been allocated to new producers? (5) When an egg production unit is sold, where does the money go? (6) What policies or guidelines are in place regarding the spending of the funds generated from the sale of egg production units? (7) If a public auction of egg production units is held, what happens to the units that do not receive bids? (8) When was the last time that an egg production unit was offered to a new producer who had his name on the Saskatchewan Egg Producers Marketing Board waiting list?

Ms. Harpauer, to ask the Government the following Question No. 955:

To the Minister of Agriculture and Food: (1) How many new egg production units were allocated to Saskatchewan in 2003? (2) How many of those new egg production units were sold to existing registered egg producers? When were they issued? (3) Of the new egg production units that were sold to registered egg producers, what amount of money was collected? (4) Of the remaining new egg production units that were not sold to existing registered egg producers, how many have been allocated to new producers? (5) When an egg production unit is sold, where does the money go? (6) What policies or guidelines are in place regarding the spending of the funds generated from the sale of egg production units? (7) If a public auction of egg

production units is held, what happens to the units that do not receive bids? (8) When was the last time that an egg production unit was offered to a new producer who had his name on the Saskatchewan Egg Producers Marketing Board waiting list?

Mr. Dearborn, to ask the Government the following Question No. 956:

To the Minister of Justice: How much were police services or crown prosecutors charged by SaskTel for wiretaps in 1997?

Mr. Dearborn, to ask the Government the following Question No. 957:

To the Minister of Justice: How much were police services or crown prosecutors charged by SaskTel for wiretaps in 1998?

Mr. Dearborn, to ask the Government the following Question No. 958:

To the Minister of Justice: How much were police services or crown prosecutors charged by SaskTel for wiretaps in 1999?

Mr. Dearborn, to ask the Government the following Question No. 959:

To the Minister of Justice: How much were police services or crown prosecutors charged by SaskTel for wiretaps in 2000?

Mr. Dearborn, to ask the Government the following Question No. 960:

To the Minister of Justice: How much were police services or crown prosecutors charged by SaskTel for wiretaps in 2001?

Mr. Dearborn, to ask the Government the following Question No. 961:

To the Minister of Justice: How much were police services or crown prosecutors charged by SaskTel for wiretaps in 2002?

Mr. Dearborn, to ask the Government the following Question No. 962:

To the Minister of Justice: How much were police services or crown prosecutors charged by SaskTel for wiretaps in 2003?

Mr. Dearborn, to ask the Government the following Question No. 963:

To the Minister of Justice: How much were police services or crown prosecutors charged by SaskTel for wiretaps in 2004?

Mr. Dearborn, to ask the Government the following Question No. 964:

To the Minister of Justice: How much did SaskTel charge the Regina and Saskatoon police services for wiretaps in 1997?

Mr. Dearborn, to ask the Government the following Question No. 965:

To the Minister of Justice: How much did SaskTel charge the Regina and Saskatoon police services for wiretaps in 1998?

Mr. Dearborn, to ask the Government the following Question No. 966:

To the Minister of Justice: How much did SaskTel charge the Regina and Saskatoon police services for wiretaps in 1999?

Mr. Dearborn, to ask the Government the following Question No. 967:

To the Minister of Justice: How much did SaskTel charge the Regina and Saskatoon police services for wiretaps in 2000?

Mr. Dearborn, to ask the Government the following Question No. 968:

To the Minister of Justice: How much did SaskTel charge the Regina and Saskatoon police services for wiretaps in 2001?

Mr. Dearborn, to ask the Government the following Question No. 969:

To the Minister of Justice: How much did SaskTel charge the Regina and Saskatoon police services for wiretaps in 2002?

Mr. Dearborn, to ask the Government the following Question No. 970:

To the Minister of Justice: How much did SaskTel charge the Regina and Saskatoon police services for wiretaps in 2003?

Mr. Dearborn, to ask the Government the following Question No. 971:

To the Minister of Justice: How much did SaskTel charge the Regina and Saskatoon police services for wiretaps in 2004?

Mr. Dearborn, to ask the Government the following Question No. 972:

To the Minister of Health: What steps is the Department of Health taking to provide hantavirus vaccines and raise public awareness of the deer mice infestation in the Heartland Health Authority?

The following Questions were asked on day no. 85 and are to be answered by day no. 90:

Mr. Chisholm, to ask the Government the following Question No. 973:

To the Minister of Learning: (1) Are the former offices of Saskatchewan Learning presently occupied? If so, by whom? (2) Was the asbestos problem addressed prior to the new tenant's occupancy?

Mr. Chisholm, to ask the Government the following Question No. 974:

To the Minister of Learning: What was the total cost, to date, of moving Saskatchewan Learning to its new facilities?

Mr. Hermanson, to ask the Government the following Question No. 975:

To the Minister of Agriculture and Food: What steps are you taking to control the outbreak of an unprecedented deer mouse infestation in west central Saskatchewan that threatens to destroy crops to an even larger extent than last year and which also worries residents about contact with the deadly hantavirus associated with deer mice?

NOTICE REGARDING PETITIONS FOR PRIVATE BILLS

Notice is hereby given, in accordance with an Order of the Legislative Assembly of Saskatchewan dated March 16, 2005, that the time for filing Petitions for Private Bills with the Clerk of the Legislative Assembly will expire on Monday, April 4, 2005, and that the time for presenting Petitions for Private Bills to the Legislative Assembly at its present Session will expire on Wednesday, April 6, 2005.

GWENN RONYK
Clerk of the Legislative Assembly
