



No. 37

VOTES and PROCEEDINGS

OF THE

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

PROVINCE OF SASKATCHEWAN

FIRST SESSION – TWENTY-EIGHTH LEGISLATURE

Monday, November 7, 2016

1:30 p.m.

PRAYERS

PRESENTING PETITIONS

Petitions of citizens of the province of Saskatchewan were presented and laid upon the Table by the following Members: Ottenbreit, Chartier, Sproule, Forbes, Rancourt, Beck, Sarauer, and Vermette.

READING AND RECEIVING PETITIONS

According to Order, the following petitions were favourably examined and pursuant to Rule 16(7) read and received:

Residents of the province of Saskatchewan request that the Legislative Assembly cause the government to protect wetlands by increasing funding to do proper inventory work, putting Saskatchewan in a better position to manage the resource, speed up the evaluation of high risk watersheds where there is a significant damage annually from flooding, and create a sound and transparent mitigation process.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 47)

Residents of the province of Saskatchewan request that the Legislative Assembly cause the government to take immediate concrete action to develop and implement Erin's Law which would ensure a comprehensive health education program on sexual abuse.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 220)

Residents of the province of Saskatchewan request that the Legislative Assembly cause the government to take the necessary steps and actions to stop the federal government from imposing a carbon tax on the province.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 225)

Residents of the province of Saskatchewan request that the Legislative Assembly cause the government to stop the plan to sell SaskTel and keep our Crown corporations of the people of Saskatchewan.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 227)

Residents of the province of Saskatchewan request that the Legislative Assembly cause the government to immediately restore the agreement to fund the Northern Teacher Education Program Council Inc. and continue to fund NORTEP/NORPAC programs in La Ronge.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 228)

Residents of the province of Saskatchewan request that the Legislative Assembly cause the government to immediately repeal *The Wakamow Valley Authority Amendment Act, 2016* and reinstate statutory funding to the Wakamow Valley Authority.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 229)

Residents of the province of Saskatchewan request that the Legislative Assembly cause the government to stop the plan to cut the Saskatchewan Assured Income for Disability (SAID) funding and restore funding for those living with disability, ensure shelter allowance is reflective with current rental costs, and implement the recommendations of the advisory group on poverty reduction.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 230)

Residents of the province of Saskatchewan request that the Legislative Assembly cause the government to reverse its short-sighted and counterproductive cuts to the Aboriginal court worker program.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 231)

Residents of the province of Saskatchewan request that the Legislative Assembly cause the government to immediately reverse their cuts to funding to the Lighthouse Stabilization unit in Saskatoon, revisit the definition of “homelessness”, and take immediate steps to ensure homeless people in Saskatchewan have emergency shelter, clothing, and food.

(Addendum to Sessional Paper No. 233)

STATEMENT BY THE SPEAKER
(Unparliamentary Language)

On the first point of order that was raised by the Government House Leader (Hon. Mr. Merriman) he alleged that the Member from Saskatoon Nutana (Ms. Sproule) used the phrase “making stuff up.” In response, the Opposition House Leader (Mr. McCall) indicated that there is no list of words or phrases that are not able to be said, rather a question around what motive is imputed with the phrase itself.

I have reviewed *Hansard* and on page 1124 the Member from Saskatoon Nutana stated, “they’re making it up as they go along.” Language similar to this has been found to be unparliamentary on numerous occasions in recent years.

This comment is part of what I think has been a steady erosion of decorum in recent days because of inflammatory and provocative comments hurled across the floor, both on and off the record. I was asked to review the record and in doing so I am sorry to say I found many instances of language that are of concern. On Thursday, I found that Members have accused each other of misrepresentations and mischaracterizations. Members have come very close to questioning the truthfulness or forthrightness of each other. On the very point the Government House Leader raised with respect to the language of the Member for Nutana in Question Period, a government Member accused an opposition Member of “they’re making this up in their pretended mind” during the Seventy-five minute debate.

Members, this has to stop. I am asking all Members to tone it down. The Member for Nutana isn’t the only Member who has contributed to the erosion in decorum, so on this occasion, I will not single her out to withdraw and apologize.

I would strongly urge Members to choose their words wisely as it is possible to effectively debate opposing positions, criticize statements made by Members as being contrary to the facts, or offer alternative versions of the facts. This can be done without calling into question the integrity of other Members.

Further, I also want to bring to the attention of all Members that in recent days Members are not following the rules of debate as outlined in Rule 51(c) which states that Members should be referred to by title, position, or constituency name. As an example, on Thursday, Members referred to other Members as “scandal plagued former Minister,” “the junior minister from Meadow Lake,” and “the old grim reaper.” Members should follow their own rules.

STATEMENT BY THE SPEAKER (Relevance of Questions)

In this second point of order the Government House Leader (Hon. Mr. Merriman) raised the issue that questions asked during question period relating to Saskatchewan Party political donations should be found out of order. To support his point of order, the Government House Leader quoted Rule 20(2) which states:

Questions relating to any matter within the administrative competence of the government or on matters related to individual ministerial responsibility may be asked of a Minister of the Crown. Questions on issues not officially connected with the government, of a private nature, related to Board of Internal Economy, caucus, party, or political responsibilities are prohibited.

The Opposition House Leader (Mr. McCall) indicated that questions relating to electoral finance law are a matter of responsibility and competence for the Government of Saskatchewan and should be found in order.

I have considered the matter and reviewed the record. The exchange in question can be found on page 1125 of *Hansard* where the Member asked a question about political funding and in turn a response was given relating to political campaign expenses. Everything the Opposition House Leader said is true but the problem is the Member did not directly connect his question to electoral finance laws or any other matter within the government’s responsibility. The previous day the Member asked a similar question but on that occasion he did connect it to campaign finance laws.

I find that the question on Thursday was out of order. Members need to directly connect their question to the administration of the government in accordance with Rule 20(2). I find that the point of order is well taken.

**STATEMENT BY THE SPEAKER
(Unparliamentary Language)**

The third point of order raised in the Assembly on November 3, 2016 was made by the Opposition House Leader (Mr. McCall). He stated “at the start of question period the Minister of the Economy, while the Premier was speaking, shouted over that, quote: ‘That’s an absolute lie.’” The Government House Leader (Hon. Mr. Merriman) responded that he did not think there was anything on the record and that he did not hear the comment.

When the Speaker must consult both the written and video record before a matter is addressed illustrates the difficulty caused by excessive noise and Members shouting across the floor. It also prevents me from dealing promptly with questionable language.

I have reviewed the *Hansard* and listened to the video recording. I did not find, nor did I hear the comments alleged to have been said by the Minister. Accordingly, I am not able to rule on this particular instance. However, I would like to clarify that unparliamentary remarks are out of order whether they are on the record or not.

This is consistent with the ruling made by Speaker Kowalsky on April 11, 2007 when he stated:

I wish to conclude by cautioning all Members to be temperate in their remarks, both while recognized to speak and while speaking from their seat. Many of these unofficial comments or heckles are intentionally provocative and inflammatory. The course of last Thursday’s debate is illustrative of how such remarks are unhelpful. The fact *Hansard* may not be able to attribute them to a particular Member does not make them acceptable language.

ADJOURNED DEBATES / DÉBATS AJOURNÉS

Bill No. 6 – The Statute Law Amendment Act, 2016

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Wyant: That Bill No. 6 – The Statute Law Amendment Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was, accordingly, read a second time.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Merriman, in accordance with Rule 85, Bill No. 6 – The Statute Law Amendment Act, 2016 was committed to the Standing Committee on Intergovernmental Affairs and Justice.

Bill No. 7 – The Statute Law Amendment Act, 2016 (No. 2)
Projet de loi n° 7 – Loi n° 2 de 2016 modifiant le droit législatif

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Wyant: That Bill No. 7 – The Statute Law Amendment Act, 2016 (No. 2) be now read a second time.

The debate continuing and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was, accordingly, read a second time.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Merriman, in accordance with Rule 85, Bill No. 7 – The Statute Law Amendment Act, 2016 (No. 2) was committed to the Standing Committee on Intergovernmental Affairs and Justice.

L'Assemblée reprend le débat ajourné sur la motion de l'hon. M. Wyant: Que le projet de loi n° 7 – Loi n° 2 de 2016 modifiant le droit législatif soit maintenant lu une deuxième fois.

Le débat se poursuit et la motion, mise aux voix, est adoptée et, en conséquence, le dit projet de loi est lu une deuxième fois.

Selon la désignation de l'hon. M. Merriman, conformément au règlement 85, le projet de loi n° 7 – Loi n° 2 de 2016 modifiant le droit législatif est renvoyé au Comité permanent des affaires intergouvernementales et de la justice.

Bill No. 10 – The Forest Resources Management Amendment Act, 2016

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Cox: That Bill No. 10 – The Forest Resources Management Amendment Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was, accordingly, read a second time.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Merriman, in accordance with Rule 85, Bill No. 10 – The Forest Resources Management Amendment Act, 2016 was committed to the Standing Committee on the Economy.

Bill No. 11 – The Forestry Professions Amendment Act, 2016

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Cox: That Bill No. 11 – The Forestry Professions Amendment Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was, accordingly, read a second time.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Merriman, in accordance with Rule 85, Bill No. 11 – The Forestry Professions Amendment Act, 2016 was committed to the Standing Committee on the Economy.

Bill No. 14 – The Horned Cattle Purchases Repeal Act, 2016

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Stewart: That Bill No. 14 – The Horned Cattle Purchases Repeal Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was, accordingly, read a second time.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Merriman, in accordance with Rule 85, Bill No. 14 – The Horned Cattle Purchases Repeal Act, 2016 was committed to the Standing Committee on the Economy.

Bill No. 40 – The Interpretation Amendment Act, 2016
Projet de loi n° 40 – Loi modificative de 2016 sur l'interprétation

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Wyant: That Bill No. 40 – The Interpretation Amendment Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. Forbes, adjourned.

L'Assemblée reprend le débat ajourné sur la motion de l'hon. M. Wyant: Que le projet de loi n° 40 – Loi modificative de 2016 sur l'interprétation soit maintenant lu une deuxième fois.

Le débat se poursuit et sur motion de M. Forbes, il est ajourné.

Bill No. 2 – The Miscellaneous Statutes (Crown Corporations' Fiscal Year End Standardization) Amendment Act, 2016

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. McMorris: That Bill No. 2 – The Miscellaneous Statutes (Crown Corporations' Fiscal Year End Standardization) Amendment Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was, accordingly, read a second time.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Merriman, in accordance with Rule 85, Bill No. 2 – The Miscellaneous Statutes (Crown Corporations' Fiscal Year End Standardization) Amendment Act, 2016 was committed to the Standing Committee on Crown and Central Agencies.

Bill No. 4 – The Queen's Bench Amendment Act, 2016
Projet de loi n° 4 – Loi modificative de 2016 sur la Cour du Banc de la Reine

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Wyant: That Bill No. 4 – The Queen's Bench Amendment Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Chartier, adjourned.

L'Assemblée reprend le débat ajourné sur la motion de l'hon. M. Wyant: Que le projet de loi n° 4 – Loi modificative de 2016 sur la Cour du Banc de la Reine soit maintenant lu une deuxième fois.

Le débat se poursuit et sur motion de Mme Chartier, il est ajourné.

Bill No. 5 – The Electronic Information and Documents Amendment Act, 2016

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Wyant: That Bill No. 5 – The Electronic Information and Documents Amendment Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Rancourt, adjourned.

Bill No. 8 – The Summary Offences Procedure Amendment Act, 2016

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Wyant: That Bill No. 8 – The Summary Offences Procedure Amendment Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, the Assembly recessed from 5:00 p.m. until 7:00 p.m.

The debate being resumed on Second Reading of Bill No. 8 – The Summary Offences Procedure Amendment Act, 2016, it was on motion of Mr. Vermette, adjourned.

Bill No. 9 – The Enforcement of Canadian Judgments Amendment Act, 2016 Projet de loi n° 9 – Loi modificative de 2016 sur l'exécution des jugements canadiens

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Wyant: That Bill No. 9 – The Enforcement of Canadian Judgments Amendment Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Beck, adjourned.

L'Assemblée reprend le débat ajourné sur la motion de l'hon. M. Wyant: Que le projet de loi n° 9 – Loi modificative de 2016 sur l'exécution des jugements canadiens soit maintenant lu une deuxième fois.

Le débat se poursuit et sur motion de Mme Beck, il est ajourné.

Bill No. 12 – The Public Health (Miscellaneous) Amendment Act, 2016

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Duncan: That Bill No. 12 – The Public Health (Miscellaneous) Amendment Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Sarauer, adjourned.

Bill No. 13 – The Cancer Agency Amendment Act, 2016

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Duncan: That Bill No. 13 – The Cancer Agency Amendment Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. Vermette, adjourned.

Bill No. 15 – The Provincial Court Amendment Act, 2016

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Wyant: That Bill No. 15 – The Provincial Court Amendment Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Rancourt, adjourned.

Bill No. 16 – The Adoption Amendment Act, 2016
Projet de loi n° 16 – Loi modificative de 2016 sur l'adoption

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Ms. Harpauer: That Bill No. 16 – The Adoption Amendment Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Chartier, adjourned.

L'Assemblée reprend le débat ajourné sur la motion de l'hon. Mme Harpauer: Que le projet de loi n° 16 – Loi modificative de 2016 sur l'adoption soit maintenant lu une deuxième fois.

Le débat se poursuit et sur motion de Mme Chartier, il est ajourné.

Bill No. 17 – The Power Corporation Amendment Act, 2016

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Boyd: That Bill No. 17 – The Power Corporation Amendment Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Sarauer, adjourned.

Bill No. 19 – The Film and Video Classification Act, 2016

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Wyant: That Bill No. 19 – The Film and Video Classification Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. Forbes, adjourned.

Bill No. 26 – The Patient Choice Medical Imaging Act

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Duncan: That Bill No. 26 – The Patient Choice Medical Imaging Act be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Beck, adjourned.

Bill No. 28 – The Extension of Compassionate Care Act, 2016

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Morgan: That Bill No. 28 – The Extension of Compassionate Care Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Sarauer, adjourned.

Bill No. 29 – The Justices of the Peace Amendment Act, 2016
Projet de loi n° 29 – Loi modificative de 2016 sur les juges de paix

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Wyant: That Bill No. 29 – The Justices of the Peace Amendment Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. Forbes, adjourned.

L'Assemblée reprend le débat ajourné sur la motion de l'hon. M. Wyant: Que le projet de loi n° 29 – Loi modificative de 2016 sur les juges de paix soit maintenant lu une deuxième fois.

Le débat se poursuit et sur motion de M. Forbes, il est adjourné.

Bill No. 30 – The Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Amendment Act, 2016

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Wyant: That Bill No. 30 – The Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Amendment Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. Vermette, adjourned.

Bill No. 31 – The Local Authority Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Amendment Act, 2016

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Wyant: That Bill No. 31 – The Local Authority Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Amendment Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Chartier, adjourned.

Bill No. 1 – The Crown Corporations Public Ownership Amendment Act, 2016

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. McMorris: That Bill No. 1 – The Crown Corporations Public Ownership Amendment Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Rancourt, adjourned.

Bill No. 32 – The Automobile Accident Insurance (Benefits) Amendment Act, 2016

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. McMorris: That Bill No. 32 – The Automobile Accident Insurance (Benefits) Amendment Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Sarauer, adjourned.

Bill No. 33 – The Child and Family Services Amendment Act, 2016

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Ms. Harpauer: That Bill No. 33 – The Child and Family Services Amendment Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Chartier, adjourned.

Bill No. 34 – The Provincial Lands Act, 2016

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Stewart: That Bill No. 34 – The Provincial Lands Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. Forbes, adjourned.

Bill No. 35 – The Small Claims Act, 2016
Projet de loi n° 35 – Loi de 2016 sur les petites créances

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Wyant: That Bill No. 35 – The Small Claims Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Beck, adjourned.

L'Assemblée reprend le débat ajourné sur la motion de l'hon. M. Wyant: Que le projet de loi n° 35 – Loi de 2016 sur les petites créances soit maintenant lu une deuxième fois.

Le débat se poursuit et sur motion de Mme Beck, il est ajourné.

Bill No. 36 – The Small Claims Consequential Amendments Act, 2016

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Wyant: That Bill No. 36 – The Small Claims Consequential Amendments Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Beck, adjourned.

Bill No. 37 – The Traffic Safety Amendment Act, 2016

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. McMorris: That Bill No. 37 – The Traffic Safety Amendment Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Sarauer, adjourned.

On motion of the Hon. Mr. Harrison:

Ordered, That this Assembly do now adjourn.

The Assembly adjourned at 10:22 p.m. until Tuesday at 1:30 p.m.

*Hon. Corey Tochor
Speaker*

NOTICE OF WRITTEN QUESTIONS

The following questions were given notice on day no. 34 and are to be answered by day no. 39:

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 146:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many individual were receiving Saskatchewan Assistance Program (SAP) in May 2016? (2) June 2016? (3) July 2016? (4) August 2016? (5) September 2016?

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 147:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many children in families were receiving SAP in May 2016? (2) June 2016? (3) July 2016? (4) August 2016? (5) September 2016?

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 148:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many children in families were receiving SAP, Transitional Employment Assistance (TEA), or Saskatchewan Assured Income for Disability (SAID) in May 2016? (2) June 2016? (3) July 2016? (4) August 2016? (5) September 2016?

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 149:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many SAP cases were open May 2016? (2) June 2016? (3) July 2016? (4) August 2016? (5) September 2016?

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 150:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many TEA cases were open in May 2016? (2) June 2016? (3) July 2016? (4) August 2016? (5) September 2016?

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 151:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many SAID cases were open in May 2016? (2) June 2016? (3) July 2016? (4) August 2016? (5) September 2016?

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 152:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many new SAP cases were opened in May 2016? (2) June 2016? (3) July 2016? (4) August 2016? (5) September 2016?

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 153:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many new TEA cases were opened in May 2016? (2) June 2016? (3) July 2016? (4) August 2016? (5) September 2016?

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 154:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many new SAID cases were opened in May 2016? (2) June 2016? (3) July 2016? (4) August 2016? (5) September 2016?

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 155:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many SAP cases involved families with children were open in May 2016? (2) June 2016? (3) July 2016? (4) August 2016? (5) September 2016?

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 156:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many SAP cases involved people living with disabilities were open in May 2016? (2) June 2016? (3) July 2016? (4) August 2016? (5) September 2016?

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 157:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many SAP cases involved people who were employable were open in May 2016? (2) June 2016? (3) July 2016? (4) August 2016? (5) September 2016?

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 158:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many single individuals received the Seniors Income Plan (SIP) in May 2016? (2) June 2016? (3) July 2016? (4) August 2016? (5) September 2016?

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 159:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many single individuals were cut off from the SIP in May 2016? (2) June 2016? (3) July 2016? (4) August 2016? (5) September 2016?

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 160:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many single individuals had their SIP benefits reduced in May 2016? (2) June 2016? (3) July 2016? (4) August 2016? (5) September 2016?

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 161:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many new single individuals started to receive the SIP in May 2016? (2) June 2016? (3) July 2016? (4) August 2016? (5) September 2016?

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 162:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many couples received the SIP in May 2016? (2) June 2016? (3) July 2016? (4) August 2016? (5) September 2016?

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 163:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many new couples started to receive the SIP in May 2016? (2) June 2016? (3) July 2016? (4) August 2016? (5) September 2016?

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 164:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many couples were cut off from the SIP in May 2016? (2) June 2016? (3) July 2016? (4) August 2016? (5) September 2016?

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 165:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many couples had their SIP benefits reduced in May 2016? (2) June 2016? (3) July 2016? (4) August 2016? (5) September 2016?

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 166:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many people in total were receiving SIP in May 2016? (2) June 2016? (3) July 2016? (4) August 2016? (5) September 2016?

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 167:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many families qualified for the Saskatchewan Employment Supplement (SES) in May 2016? (2) June 2016? (3) July 2016? (4) August 2016? (5) September 2016?

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 168:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many recipients for rental housing supplements were there in May 2016? (2) June 2016? (3) July 2016? (4) August 2016? (5) September 2016?

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 169:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many heads of families personally qualified for SAID benefits in May 2016? (2) June 2016? (3) July 2016? (4) August 2016? (5) September 2016?

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 170:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many heads of single parent families personally qualified for SAID benefits in May 2016? (2) June 2016? (3) July 2016? (4) August 2016? (5) September 2016?

Mr. Forbes, to ask the government the following Question No. 171:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many people qualified for SAID benefits are living independently in May 2016? (2) June 2016? (3) July 2016? (4) August 2016? (5) September 2016?

The following questions were given notice on day no. 35 and are to be answered by day no. 40:

Ms. Rancourt, to ask the government the following Question No. 172:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How much money was spent placing clients in hotels in 2013? (2) 2014? (3) 2015? (4) 2016 (to date)?

Ms. Rancourt, to ask the government the following Question No. 173:

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) What were the hotel usage numbers for the five major centres in 2013? (2) 2014? (3) 2015? (4) 2016 (to date)?

The following questions were given notice on day no. 37 and are to be answered by day no. 42:

Mr. Vermette, to ask the government the following Question No. 174:

To the Minister of Highways and Infrastructure: How many contractors based in the northern administrative district are currently working on Ministry of Highways contracts?

Mr. Vermette, to ask the government the following Question No. 175:

To the Minister of Highways and Infrastructure: (1) What company was awarded the contract for the most recent repairs to the Pelican Narrows bridge? (2) Where is this company located? (3) What was the total cost of these repairs? (4) How many of the workers for this project are residents of the northern village of Pelican Narrows? (5) How many of the workers for this project are residents of the Pelican Narrows reserve of the Peter Ballantyne Cree Nation?

Mr. Vermette, to ask the government the following Question No. 176:

To the Minister of Highways and Infrastructure: (1) What company was awarded the contract for hauling silica sand near the Hanson Lake road? (2) Where is this company located? (3) What was the value of this contract?