



No. 20

VOTES and PROCEEDINGS

OF THE

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

PROVINCE OF SASKATCHEWAN

FOURTH SESSION—TWENTY-EIGHTH LEGISLATURE

Wednesday, November 27, 2019

1:30 p.m.

PRAYERS

PRESENTING PETITIONS

Petitions of citizens of the province of Saskatchewan were presented and laid upon the Table by the following members: Vermette, Fiaz, Wotherspoon, Forbes, Beck, and Sproule.

READING AND RECEIVING PETITIONS

According to order and pursuant to rule 16(7), petitions from residents of the province of Saskatchewan, requesting the following action, were read and received:

To reinstate PST exemption on construction.

(Addendum to sessional paper no. 4)

To stop the federal government from imposing a carbon tax on the province.

(Addendum to sessional paper no. 5)

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS / DÉPÔT DE PROJETS DE LOI

The following bills were introduced, read the first time, and ordered to be read a second time at the next sitting:

Les projets de loi suivants sont présentés, lus une première fois, et la deuxième lecture en est fixée à la prochaine séance:

Bill No. 200 — The Saskatchewan Employment Amendment Act, 2019

(Hon. Mr. Morgan)

Bill No. 201 — The Alcohol and Gaming Regulation Amendment Act, 2019
Projet de loi n° 201 — Loi modificative de 2019 sur la réglementation des boissons alcoolisées et des jeux de hasard

(Hon. Mr. / L'hon. Makowsky)

The following bill was introduced, read the first time, by leave of the Assembly and pursuant to rule 75(1), ordered to be read a second time later this day:

Bill No. 202 — The Election Amendment Act, 2019

(Hon. Mr. Harrison)

The following bill was introduced, read the first time, and ordered to be read a second time at the next sitting:

Bill No. 619 — The Public Interest Disclosure (Provincial Health Authority) Amendment Act, 2019

(Ms. Mowat)

STATEMENT BY THE SPEAKER (Standards of Debate)

On Tuesday, November 26, 2019, the Opposition House Leader (Ms. Sproule) raised a point of order to say the Minister of the Environment (Hon. Mr. Duncan) mischaracterized the position of the opposition on pipelines during question period. The Opposition House Leader said she thought the remark was unparliamentary. The Government House Leader (Hon. Mr. Harrison) gave his opinion on the facts, to which the Opposition House Leader responded with a second point of order. In that point of order, she took exception to the remarks of the Government House Leader and provided a list of instances when the opposition put its support for pipelines on the record. I committed to review the record on the original point of order.

I could only find one instance when the minister referred to pipelines in his responses to the questions put by the Member for Regina Northeast (Mr. Pedersen). He said, “When it comes to an opportunity to support the federal government, Mr. Speaker, on the carbon tax or on certainly issues around pipelines, we know which side they stand on.” The minister did not explicitly assert the opposition’s position on pipelines but certainly the Government House Leader did in his response to the point of order. That takes me to the broader issue of this incident.

In essence, what happened yesterday was the use of a point of order to air a grievance over how public policy positions are depicted in debate. There is no doubt in my mind that this is a very sensitive issue for members on both sides of the Chamber. Yesterday, the situation quickly escalated into mayhem when members on both sides started hurling insults and accusations across the floor. It led to a third point of order about unparliamentary language.

This is not the first time I’ve been asked to rule on this kind of situation. I refer you to my statement of April 23, 2018, which was made in response to a similar incident. This is not a matter that can be resolved by the Speaker. It is a matter for all members to pause and give careful introspection on the standards they want for debate in this chamber. There will always be disputes over the facts of any matter. As I previously stated, it is not unparliamentary to criticize statements made by members as contrary to the facts. This is the raw material of debate and this place is a deliberative body. But I ask you, what standards do you want for this place? Yesterday brought to a head a matter that I think has been festering for some time. I sensed this through the language that both sides have used in question period. Of particular concern is the way you

have characterized each other when putting forward the issues of the day. I implore members to reflect on our code of conduct and in particular commitment to have respect for differences and fairness in political dealings.

I will now respond to the point of order raised by the Government Deputy House Leader (Hon. Mr. Merriman). He alleged that the Member from Athabasca (Mr. Belanger) “shouted across for everybody in the Assembly to hear that the Government House Leader was lying.” Upon review, the Member from Athabasca was clearly heard to have used unparliamentary language. The language used by the member has consistently been ruled out of order. I now ask the Member from Athabasca to withdraw the comment and apologize.

Thereupon, the Member from Athabasca withdrew his comments and apologized.

STATEMENT BY THE SPEAKER (Deferred Ruling)

Yesterday, Tuesday, November 26, 2019 the Opposition House Leader (Ms. Sproule) raised a question of privilege under the provisions of rule 12 of *The Rules and Procedures of the Legislative Assembly of Saskatchewan*. I received the notice at 2:58 p.m. Upon receipt of this notice, in accordance with the rules, the Government House Leader (Hon. Mr. Harrison) has been informed of the details of the case and the proposed question of privilege.

It is the responsibility of the Speaker to determine if a prima facie case of privilege has been established. Contempt of the Assembly is a serious charge, which requires careful examination of the case and this Assembly’s practices and precedents. However, I have not had sufficient time to carefully consider all matters related to this question, so for this reason I shall defer my ruling.

SPEAKER TABLES REPORTS

The Speaker laid before the Assembly the following:

Provincial Auditor of Saskatchewan: Business and financial plan for the year ended March 31, 2021.
(Sessional paper no. 46)

WRITTEN QUESTIONS

The order of the day being called for question nos. 54 to 63, pursuant to rule 21(6), the questions were converted and orders for return were issued. (see appendix)

SECOND READINGS

Bill No. 202 — The Election Amendment Act, 2019

The Hon. Mr. Harrison, a member of the Executive Council, having acquainted the Assembly that His Honour the Lieutenant Governor, having been informed of the subject matter of the bill, recommends it to the consideration of the Assembly, moved: That Bill No. 202 — The Election Amendment Act, 2019 be now read a second time.

The question being put, it was agreed to and leave having been granted, the said bill was accordingly, read a second time and referred to a Committee of the Whole on Bills immediately.

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE ON BILLS

The Assembly, according to order, resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole on Bills.

The following bill was reported without amendment, by leave of the Assembly and pursuant to rule 75(1), read the third time and passed:

Bill No. 202 — The Election Amendment Act, 2019

The committee was given leave to sit again.

SECOND READINGS

Bill No. 197 — The Automobile Accident Insurance Amendment Act, 2019

Moved by the Hon. Mr. Hargrave: That Bill No. 197 — The Automobile Accident Insurance Amendment Act, 2019 be now read a second time.

A debate arising, it was on motion of Mr. Belanger, adjourned.

Bill No. 198 — The Traffic Safety Amendment Act, 2019

Moved by the Hon. Mr. Hargrave: That Bill No. 198 — The Traffic Safety Amendment Act, 2019 be now read a second time.

A debate arising, it was on motion of Mr. Belanger, adjourned.

Bill No. 199 — The Opioid Damages and Health Care Costs Recovery Act

Moved by the Hon. Mr. Reiter: That Bill No. 199 — The Opioid Damages and Health Care Costs Recovery Act be now read a second time.

A debate arising, it was on motion of Mr. Belanger, adjourned.

ADJOURNED DEBATES / DÉBATS AJOURNÉS

Bill No. 190 — The Expropriation Procedure Amendment Act, 2019

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Morgan: That Bill No. 190 — The Expropriation Procedure Amendment Act, 2019 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was, accordingly, read a second time.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Harrison, in accordance with rule 85, Bill No. 190 — The Expropriation Procedure Amendment Act, 2019 was committed to the Standing Committee on Intergovernmental Affairs and Justice.

Bill No. 191 — The Business Corporations Amendment Act, 2019

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Morgan: That Bill No. 191 — The Business Corporations Amendment Act, 2019 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was, accordingly, read a second time.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Harrison, in accordance with rule 85, Bill No. 191 — The Business Corporations Amendment Act, 2019 was committed to the Standing Committee on Intergovernmental Affairs and Justice.

Bill No. 192 — The Legal Profession (Law Foundation) Amendment Act, 2019

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Morgan: That Bill No. 192 — The Legal Profession (Law Foundation) Amendment Act, 2019 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was, accordingly, read a second time.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Harrison, in accordance with rule 85, Bill No. 192 — The Legal Profession (Law Foundation) Amendment Act, 2019 was committed to the Standing Committee on Intergovernmental Affairs and Justice.

Bill No. 193 — The Statute Law Amendment Act, 2019 (No. 2)

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Morgan: That Bill No. 193 — The Statute Law Amendment Act, 2019 (No. 2) be now read a second time.

The debate continuing and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was, accordingly, read a second time.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Harrison, in accordance with rule 85, Bill No. 193 — The Statute Law Amendment Act, 2019 (No. 2) was committed to the Standing Committee on Intergovernmental Affairs and Justice.

Bill No. 179 — The Apiaries Amendment Act, 2019

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Marit: That Bill No. 179 — The Apiaries Amendment Act, 2019 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Mowat, adjourned.

Bill No. 180 — The Miscellaneous Statutes (Government Relations – Transfer of Gas, Electrical and Plumbing Functions) Amendment Act, 2019

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Ms. Carr: That Bill No. 180 — The Miscellaneous Statutes (Government Relations – Transfer of Gas, Electrical and Plumbing Functions) Amendment Act, 2019 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. McCall, adjourned.

Bill No. 181 — The Mineral Taxation (Modernization) Amendment Act, 2019

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Ms. Eyre: That Bill No. 181 — The Mineral Taxation (Modernization) Amendment Act, 2019 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. McCall, adjourned.

Bill No. 175 — The Marriage Amendment Act, 2019
Projet de loi n° 175 — Loi modificative de 2019 sur le mariage

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Morgan: That Bill No. 175 — The Marriage Amendment Act, 2019 be now read a second time.

L'Assemblée reprend le débat ajourné sur la motion de l'hon. M. Morgan: Que le projet de loi n° 175 — Loi modificative de 2019 sur le mariage soit maintenant lu une deuxième fois.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Mowat, adjourned.

Le débat se poursuit et sur motion de Mme Mowat, il est ajourné.

Bill No. 183 — The Fisheries (Saskatchewan) Act, 2019

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Duncan: That Bill No. 183 — The Fisheries (Saskatchewan) Act, 2019 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Beck, adjourned.

Bill No. 184 — The Fisheries (Saskatchewan) Consequential Amendments Act, 2019
Projet de loi n° 184 — Loi de 2019 corrélative de la loi intitulée The Fisheries (Saskatchewan) Act, 2019

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Duncan: That Bill No. 184 — The Fisheries (Saskatchewan) Consequential Amendments Act, 2019 be now read a second time.

L'Assemblée reprend le débat ajourné sur la motion de l'hon. M. Duncan: Que le projet de loi n° 184 — Loi de 2019 corrélative de la loi intitulée The Fisheries (Saskatchewan) Act, 2019 soit maintenant lu une deuxième fois.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Beck, adjourned.

Le débat se poursuit et sur motion de Mme Beck, il est ajourné.

Bill No. 187 — The Administration of Estates Amendment Act, 2019
Projet de loi n° 187 — Loi modificative de 2019 sur l'administration des successions

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Morgan: That Bill No. 187 — The Administration of Estates Amendment Act, 2019 be now read a second time.

L'Assemblée reprend le débat ajourné sur la motion de l'hon. M. Morgan: Que le projet de loi n° 187 — Loi modificative de 2019 sur l'administration des successions soit maintenant lu une deuxième fois.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. Wotherspoon, adjourned.

Le débat se poursuit et sur motion de M. Wotherspoon, il est ajourné.

Bill No. 188 — The Public Guardian and Trustee Amendment Act, 2019

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Morgan: That Bill No. 188 — The Public Guardian and Trustee Amendment Act, 2019 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. Pedersen, adjourned.

Bill No. 189 — The Coroners Amendment Act, 2019

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Morgan: That Bill No. 189 — The Coroners Amendment Act, 2019 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Beck, adjourned.

Bill No. 194 — The Miscellaneous Municipal Statutes Amendment Act, 2019

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Ms. Carr: That Bill No. 194 — The Miscellaneous Municipal Statutes Amendment Act, 2019 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Mowat, adjourned.

Bill No. 195 — The Lobbyists Amendment Act, 2019

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Morgan: That Bill No. 195 — The Lobbyists Amendment Act, 2019 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. Pedersen, adjourned.

Bill No. 196 — The Members' Conflict of Interest Amendment Act, 2019

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Morgan: That Bill No. 196 — The Members' Conflict of Interest Amendment Act, 2019 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. Wotherspoon, adjourned.

On motion of the Hon. Mr. Harrison:

Ordered, That this Assembly do now adjourn.

The Assembly adjourned at 4:46 p.m. until Thursday at 10:00 a.m.

Hon. Mark Docherty
Speaker

QUESTIONS ORDERED FOR RETURN

The following written questions were converted and orders for return were issued:

Return no. 42 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety, (a) the number of people under the age of 16 that filed a Workers Compensation Board claim in 2019, 2018, 2017, 2016, 2015, 2014, 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010, and 2009, and (b) in which rate code description these occurred.

Return no. 43 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety, (a) the number of modified work arrangements (*The Saskatchewan Employment Act* sections 2–19) that were applied for in 2019, 2018, 2017, 2016, 2015, 2014, 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010, and 2009, (b) the number that were granted, and (c) in which employment sectors these came from.

Return no. 44 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety, (a) the percentage of Saskatchewan workers that belonged to a union in 2019, 2018, 2017, 2016, 2015, 2014, 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010, and 2009, and (b) the percentage that were in the public sector.

Return no. 45 (Mr. Wotherspoon):

To the Minister of Finance, for each tax measure introduced or announced in the 2017–18 budget, the fiscal impact of each in each fiscal year since implementation (2019–20 to date).

Return no. 46 (Mr. Wotherspoon):

To the Minister of Finance, for each tax measure introduced or announced in the 2018–19 budget, the fiscal impact of each in each fiscal year since implementation (2019–20 to date).

Return no. 47 (Mr. Wotherspoon):

To the Minister of Finance, for each tax measure introduced or announced in the 2019–20 budget, the fiscal impact of each to date.

Return no. 48 (Mr. Pedersen):

To the Minister of Justice and Attorney General, in terms of probate fees, (a) where the funds are paid for probate of estates reflected in the budget and public accounts, and (b) for the last five fiscal years, the amount of revenue that was generated from probate fees.

Return no. 49 (Mr. Pedersen):

To the Government, the number of marriage licences that were issued to persons under the age of 18 in Saskatchewan in each year since 2009.

Return no. 50 (Mr. Pedersen):

To the Government, the number of marriage licences that were issued to same sex couples in Saskatchewan in each year since 2009.

Return no. 51 (Mr. Pedersen):

To the Government, for each of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission's final report recommendations that apply to provincial jurisdiction, the progress on implementation to date.

NOTICE OF MOTIONS FOR FIRST READING OF BILLS AVIS DE MOTIONS PORTANT PREMIÈRE LECTURE DE PROJETS DE LOI

On Monday / lundi:

Hon. Mr. Morgan to move first reading of Bill No. 203 — The Financial Planners and Financial Advisors Act

Hon. Mr. Morgan to move first reading of Bill No. 204 — The Jury Amendment Act, 2019
L'hon M. Morgan proposera la première lecture du projet de loi n° 204 — Loi modificative de 2019 sur le jury

NOTICE OF WRITTEN QUESTIONS

The following questions were given notice on day no. 16 and are to be answered by day no. 21:

Question no. 64 (Ms. Chartier):

To the Minister of Health, (a) what is the average wait time from emergency department decision to admit to admission to Dubé Centre in Saskatoon, and the inpatient mental health beds at the Regina General Hospital, for adults and youth in 2018/2019, and (b) 2019/2020 year-to-date?

Question no. 65 (Ms. Chartier):

To the Minister of Health, what is the wait time for publicly funded addictions treatment facilities as of Oct. 31, 2019 for (a) inpatient adult and youth, and (b) detox for adult and youth?

Question no. 66 (Ms. Chartier):

To the Minister of Health, (a) what is the current function as of Oct. 31, 2019 of the former mental health assessment unit at the Royal University hospital, and (b) what other uses has it provided the health region in the last 3 months?

Question no. 67 (Ms. Chartier):

To the Minister of Health, what is the percentage of admissions in to addictions inpatient treatment where the individual identified crystal meth as the issue that brought them to addictions treatment centres in 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 to date?

Question no. 68 (Ms. Chartier):

To the Minister of Health, what is the percentage of admissions in to addictions inpatient treatment where the individual identified opioids as the issue that brought them to addictions treatment centres in 2013/2014, 2014/2015, 2015/2016, 2016/2017, 2017/2018, 2018/2019, and 2019/2020 to date?

Question no. 69 (Ms. Chartier):

To the Minister of Health, what is the percentage of patients seen by physicians in emergency departments where the individual identified opioids as the issue that brought them there in 2013/2014, 2014/2015, 2015/2016, 2016/2017, 2017/2018, 2018/2019, and 2019/2020 to date?

Question no. 70 (Ms. Chartier):

To the Minister of Health, what is the percentage of patients seen by physicians in emergency departments where the individual identified crystal meth as the issue that brought them there in 2013/2014, 2014/2015, 2015/2016, 2016/2017, 2017/2018, 2018/2019, and 2019/2020 to date?

Question no. 71 (Ms. Chartier):

To the Minister of Health, for each month since January 2019, (a) how many days was the Dubé Centre at Royal University hospital over census, (b) what was the maximum number of people who required admission but had no available bed to the Dubé Centre, and (c) what spaces were used to accommodate patients who were admitted but had no bed in the Dubé Centre?

Question no. 72 (Ms. Chartier):

To the Minister of Health, how many children and youth, in the mild and moderate categories, are waiting for an initial outpatient mental health appointment as of October 31, 2019 in the former health regions of Sun Country, Five Hills, Cypress, Regina Qu'Appelle, Sunrise, Saskatoon, Prince Albert Parkland, and Prairie North?

Question no. 73 (Ms. Mowat):

To the Minister of Health, how many midwives are currently practicing in each centre?

Question no. 74 (Ms. Mowat):

To the Minister of Health, for each fiscal year since 2013–14, how much did the province spend on midwifery?

Question no. 75 (Ms. Mowat):

To the Minister of Health, in terms of the community health centre at market mall in Saskatoon, (a) what is the current referral process to obtain an appointment, (b) what information related to a patient's medical history is shared with the health centre (i.e. physician visits, emergency room visits), (c) will there be a MRI (magnetic resonance imaging) in the health centre, and if so, who will be operating it, and (d) for each month since it opened, how many visits did the health centre receive?

Question no. 76 (Mr. Pedersen):

To the Minister of Finance, what sources of revenue are included in the "miscellaneous" category of other own-source revenue in the 2019–20 schedule of revenue?

Question no. 77 (Mr. Pedersen):

To the Minister of Finance, what sources of revenue are included in the "fees" category of other own-source revenue in the 2019–20 schedule of revenue?

Question no. 78 (Mr. Pedersen):

To the Minister of Finance, what are the sources of revenue included in the "other" category of taxation in the 2019–20 schedule of revenue?

The following questions were given notice on day no. 17 and are to be answered by day no. 22:

Question no. 79 (Mr. Pedersen):

To the Government, in the throne speech, the government claims there has been an annual savings of \$1 million per year through the elimination of Executive Air, how was this figure arrived at?

Question no. 80 (Mr. Pedersen):

To the Minister of Agriculture, in the throne speech, the provincial government claims that the agriculture industry sequestered nearly 12 million tonnes of carbon in recent years, (a) what are the government's calculations in how it arrived at this number, (b) is it the government's claim that agriculture has had a net sequestration of 12 million tonnes of carbon, and (c) if so, how was this figure arrived at?

The following questions were given notice on day no. 19 and are to be answered by day no. 24:

Question no. 81 (Mr. Wotherspoon):

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Telecommunications, for each year since 2007, (a) what was the total volume of in-home installations, (b) what was the total volume of in-home service calls, (c) what was the total volume of in-home installations performed by outside contractors, (d) what was the total volume of in-home service calls performed by outside contractors, (e) what was the total volume of mobile device and contract sales, (f) what was the total volume of mobile device and contract sales executed by authorized dealers, (g) what was the total expenditures on third party contracts, and (h) what was the total expenditure for contracting authorized dealers?

Question no. 82 (Mr. Wotherspoon):

To the Government, how many in-scope FTEs (full-time equivalent) were employed directly in each year since 2014–15 by SaskTel, SaskPower, SaskEnergy, and Saskatchewan Liquor and Gaming Authority?

Question no. 83 (Mr. Wotherspoon):

To the Government, how many out-of-scope FTEs were employed directly in each year since 2014–15 by SaskTel, SaskPower, SaskEnergy, and Saskatchewan Liquor and Gaming Authority?

Question no. 84 (Mr. Wotherspoon):

To the Minister of Health, for each year since 2014–15, how many in-scope employees were employed by the Saskatchewan Health Authority (or former health regions)?

Question no. 85 (Mr. Wotherspoon):

To the Minister of Health, for each year since 2014–15, how many out-of-scope employees were employed by the Saskatchewan Health Authority (or former health regions)?

Question no. 86 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety, for each year since 2014–15, for each school division, (a) how many incidents of violence have been reported to occupational health and safety, (b) how many inspections resulted from incidents of violence, (c) how many investigations resulted from incidents of violence, and (d) how many safety plans related to violence have been filed?

Question no. 87 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Health, how many long-term care clients are there in each of the following age categories: 0–5 years, 5–10 years, 10–15 years, 15–20 years, 20–25 years, 25–30 years, 30–35 years, and 35–40 years?

The following questions were given notice on day no. 20 and are to be answered by day no. 25:

Question no. 88 (Mr. Pedersen):

To the Minister of Finance, for each year since 2009, how many Canadian-controlled private corporations reported net income in Saskatchewan of (a) less than \$100,000, (b) between \$100,000 and \$200,000, and (c) over \$200,000?

Question no. 89 (Mr. Pedersen):

To the Minister of Finance, for each year since 2009, how many Canadian-controlled private corporations had income in Saskatchewan that was ineligible for the small business deduction?

Question no. 90 (Mr. Pedersen):

To the Minister of Environment, have all discharges reported to the ministry in the past 6 years pursuant to section 9 of *The Environmental Management and Protection Act, 2010* been recorded in Sask Spills?

Question no. 91 (Mr. Pedersen):

To the Minister of Environment, in each of the past 6 years, how many discharges reported pursuant to section 9 of *The Environmental Management and Protection Act, 2010* relate to hazardous substances discharged into a domestic sewer system?

Question no. 92 (Mr. Pedersen):

To the Government, does the Government of Saskatchewan have an inventory or records of how much asbestos cement pipe is used in municipal water main systems in the province?

Question no. 93 (Mr. Pedersen):

To the Government, which municipalities use asbestos cement for water mains in the province?

Question no. 94 (Mr. Pedersen):

To the Government, (a) what is the total number of asbestos cement water main breaks in the province this year (2019 to date), (b) what was the location of the break, (c) does the government test for asbestos levels in water anywhere in the province, (d) does the Government of Saskatchewan require that municipal governments test for asbestos levels in water and, (e) if so, what is the process?

Question no. 95 (Mr. Pedersen):

To the Government, (a) what studies has the government conducted or commissioned on the health impacts of ingested asbestos, (b) what studies has the government conducted or commissioned on the health impacts of asbestos in municipal water supplies, and (c) what studies on the health impacts of ingested asbestos is the government aware of?

Question no. 96 (Mr. Pedersen):

To the Minister of Health, (a) does the government track the incidence of benign intestinal polyps in Saskatchewan, (b) does the government track the incidence of mesothelioma, (c) does the government track the incidence of mesothelioma that does not appear to be related to known asbestos exposure, (d) is the ministry aware the United States regulates asbestos in water because ingested asbestos has been linked to cancer, and (e) if so, how has this information been incorporated into policy?

Question no. 97 (Mr. Pedersen):

To the Minister of Environment, with respect to the Ministry of Environment's revenue listed under other own source revenue in public accounts, what categories or types of fees and charges make up the other fees and charges and the miscellaneous subcategories?

Question no. 98 (Mr. Pedersen):

To the Minister of Agriculture, with respect to the Ministry of Agriculture's revenue listed under other own source revenue in public accounts, what categories or types of fees and charges make up the other fees and charges and the miscellaneous subcategories?