

No. 36

VOTES and PROCEEDINGS

OF THE

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

PROVINCE OF SASKATCHEWAN

SECOND SESSION—TWENTY-EIGHTH LEGISLATURE

Wednesday, March 28, 2018

1:30 p.m.

PRAYERS

STATEMENT BY THE SPEAKER (Imputing Motives)

I have a statement about the line of questions asked by the Member for Saskatoon Centre (Mr. Forbes) during yesterday's Question Period. The questions raised the spectre of a connection between the private interests of a Minister, political donations, conflict of interest, and the motivation of cabinet with the possible sale of government real estate. "These are serious questions about the motivations of the Sask Party government's front bench" the member said, before going on to link that statement with a statement referring to the Minister of Central Services' (Hon. Mr. Cheveldayoff) personal stake in commercial real estate and the political donations he took from real estate companies.

Beauchesne's *Parliamentary Rules and Forms*, 6th edition, paragraph 484(3) states in part "... a member will not be permitted by the Speaker to ... impute to any member or members unworthy motives." To impute is to assign by inference. After reviewing the *Hansard* verbatim it is clear to me that the questions insinuated that the Minister and Cabinet might be motivated by personal interest and political donations. The *House of Commons Procedure and Practice*, 3rd edition, page 619, states the following: "Remarks which question the integrity, honesty and character are not in order." I want to point out what Speaker Hagel said in this Assembly about Question Period on May 27, 1998: "...it is never, ever appropriate that, engaging in political cut and thrust of debate in this Assembly, that members would draw into question the character of another member..."

The questions asked yesterday by the Member for Saskatoon Centre imputed unworthy motives on the part of the Minister and questioned the character of cabinet ministers to make honourable decisions. For this reason I rule the questions to be out of order. I repeat what I said yesterday, "This House is honourable. I expect you to have your questions with that frame in mind, please."

I now ask the Member from Saskatoon Centre to rise, withdraw, and apologize for his statements he made in Question Period yesterday.

Thereupon, the Member for Saskatoon Centre apologized and withdrew his remarks.

PRESENTING PETITIONS

Petitions of citizens of the province of Saskatchewan were presented and laid upon the Table by the following members: Bradshaw, Beck, Forbes, Sarauer, and Chartier.

READING AND RECEIVING PETITIONS

According to order and pursuant to rule 16(7), petitions from residents of the province of Saskatchewan, requesting the following action, were read and received:

To stop the federal government from imposing a carbon tax on the province. (Addendum to sessional paper no. 17)

To overhaul Saskatchewan campaign finance laws.

To reverse cuts to education.

(Addendum to sessional paper no. 20)

(Addendum to sessional paper no. 18)

To make Orkambi available through the Saskatchewan Drug Plan for Cystic Fibrosis.

(Addendum to sessional paper no. 74)

To immediately appoint a seniors' advocate.

(Addendum to sessional paper no. 119)

REPORT OF THE STANDING COMMITTEE ON INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS AND JUSTICE RAPPORT DU COMITÉ PERMANENT DES AFFAIRES INTERGOUVERNEMENTALES ET DE LA JUSTICE

The following bills were reported without amendment and consideration in Committee of the Whole on Bills having been waived, by leave of the Assembly, they were read the third time and passed: Les projets de loi suivants sont rapportés sans amendement et avec la permission de l'Assemblée de passer outre au renvoi au Comité plénier sur les projets de loi, sont lus une troisième fois et adoptés:

Bill No. 72—The Privacy Amendment Act, 2017

Bill No. 75—The Electronic Communications Convention Implementation Act Projet de loi nº 75—Loi de mise en œuvre de la Convention sur les communications électroniques

Bill No. 87—The Data Matching Agreements Act

WRITTEN OUESTIONS

The order of the day being called for question nos. 182 to 185, and 187 to 190, they were answered. (see appendix)

The order of the day being called for question no. 186, pursuant to rule 21(6), the question was converted and an order for return was issued. (see appendix)

ADJOURNED DEBATES / DÉBATS AJOURNÉS

Bill No. 121—The Cannabis Control (Saskatchewan) Act

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Morgan: That Bill No. 121—The Cannabis Control (Saskatchewan) Act be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Chartier, adjourned.

Bill No. 122—The Cannabis Control (Saskatchewan) Consequential Amendments Act, 2018 Projet de loi nº 122-Loi de 2018 corrélative de la loi intitulée The Cannabis Control (Saskatchewan) Act

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Morgan: That Bill No. 122-The Cannabis Control (Saskatchewan) Consequential Amendments Act, 2018 be now read a second time.

L'Assemblée reprend le débat ajourné sur la motion de l'hon. M. Morgan: Que le projet de loi nº 122-Loi de 2018 corrélative de la loi intitulée The Cannabis Control (Saskatchewan) Act soit maintenant lu une deuxième fois.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Le débat se poursuit et sur motion de Mme Chartier, adjourned. Chartier, il est ajourné.

Bill No. 95—The Miscellaneous Statutes Repeal and Amendment Act, 2017

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Morgan: That Bill No. 95—The Miscellaneous Statutes Repeal and Amendment Act, 2017 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was, accordingly, read a second time.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Brkich, in accordance with rule 85, Bill No. 95-The Miscellaneous Statutes Repeal and Amendment Act, 2017 was committed to the Standing Committee on Intergovernmental Affairs and Justice.

Bill No. 97—The Arbitration (Family Dispute Resolution) Amendment Act, 2017

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Morgan: That Bill No. 97—The Arbitration (Family Dispute Resolution) Amendment Act, 2017 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was, accordingly, read a second time.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Brkich, in accordance with rule 85, Bill No. 97—The Arbitration (Family Dispute Resolution) Amendment Act, 2017 was committed to the Standing Committee on Intergovernmental Affairs and Justice.

Bill No. 98—The Miscellaneous Statutes (Family Dispute Resolution) Amendment Act, 2017 Projet de loi nº 98—Loi modificative diverse (résolution des conflits familiaux) de 2017

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Morgan: That Bill No. 98—The Miscellaneous Statutes (Family Dispute Resolution) Amendment Act, 2017 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was, accordingly, read a second time.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Brkich, in accordance with rule 85, Bill No. 98—The Miscellaneous Statutes (Family Dispute Resolution) Amendment Act, 2017 was committed to the Standing Committee on Intergovernmental Affairs and Justice. L'Assemblée reprend le débat ajourné sur la motion de l'hon. M. Morgan: Que le projet de loi n° 98—Loi modificative diverse (résolution des conflits familiaux) de 2017 soit maintenant lu une deuxième fois.

Le débat se poursuit et la motion, mise aux voix, est adoptée et, en conséquence, ledit projet de loi est lu une deuxième fois.

Selon la désignation de l'hon. M. Brkich, conformément au règlement 85, le projet de loi n° 98—Loi modificative diverse (résolution des conflits familiaux) de 2017 est renvoyé au Comité permanent des affaires intergouvernementales et de la justice.

Bill No. 107—The Provincial Emblems and Honours Amendment Act, 2017

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Makowsky: That Bill No. 107—The Provincial Emblems and Honours Amendment Act, 2017 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Rancourt, adjourned.

Bill No. 110—The Animal Protection Act, 2017

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Stewart: That Bill No. 110—The Animal Protection Act, 2017 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. McCall, adjourned.

Bill No. 111—The Municipal Tax Sharing (Potash) Amendment Act, 2017

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Doke: That Bill No. 111—The Municipal Tax Sharing (Potash) Amendment Act, 2017 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Mowat, adjourned.

Bill No. 112—The Miscellaneous Vehicle and Driving Statutes (Cannabis Legislation) Amendment Act, 2017

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Hargrave: That Bill No. 112—The Miscellaneous Vehicle and Driving Statutes (Cannabis Legislation) Amendment Act, 2017 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Sproule, adjourned.

Bill No. 113—The Planning and Development Amendment Act, 2017

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Doke: That Bill No. 113—The Planning and Development Amendment Act, 2017 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. McCall, adjourned.

Bill No. 114—The Vehicles for Hire Act

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Hargrave: That Bill No. 114—The Vehicles for Hire Act be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Rancourt, adjourned.

Bill No. 115—The Residential Tenancies Amendment Act, 2017

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Morgan: That Bill No. 115—The Residential Tenancies Amendment Act, 2017 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. Forbes, adjourned.

Pursuant to rule 6(7), the Deputy Speaker adjourned the Assembly.

The Assembly adjourned at 5:00 p.m. until Thursday at 10:00 a.m.

Hon. Mark Docherty Speaker

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

The following responses to written questions were tabled by the government:

Question no. 182 (Ms. Sproule):

To the Minister of Justice, (a) for each claim received by the Public and Private Rights Board related to the expropriating authority of the Ministry of Highways and Infrastructure in 2013, what was the name of the complainant, (b) what was the legal land description(s) related to the claim, (c) what was the basis of the complaint, and (d) was a settlement mediated by the board?

Answer:

- (a) The Public and Private Rights Board (PPRB) has discretion in how it chooses to proceed following receipt of an application. The PPRB's primary role is dispute resolution. Where appropriate and agreed to by the parties, the PPRB engages the parties in a confidential mediation process with the goal of negotiating resolution. In 2013 there were no public hearings. As such, it would not be appropriate to release the names or related legal descriptions for the applicants in question.
- (b) The PPRB has discretion in how it chooses to proceed following receipt of an application. The PPRB's primary role is dispute resolution. Where appropriate and agreed to by the parties, the PPRB engages the parties in a confidential mediation process with the goal of negotiating resolution. In 2013 there were no public hearings. As such, it would not be appropriate to release the names or related legal descriptions for the applicants in question.
- (c) The PPRB publishes an annual report in accordance with s.6 (3) of *The Expropriation Procedure Act*. Among other information, the reports provide details including the number of new applications received in relation to particular expropriating authorities, the number of applications carried over for particular authorities from the previous year, and the number of claims negotiated. In 2013, the PPRB received 13 new applications related to the Ministry of Highways and Infrastructure. Of the applications, 2 concerned route, situation or design and 11 concerned compensation.
- (d) In 2013, 3 files related to the Ministry of Highways and Infrastructure were negotiated successfully, 9 negotiated unsuccessfully, and 0 withdrawn.

Question no. 183 (Ms. Sproule):

To the Minister of Justice, (a) for each claim received by the Public and Private Rights Board related to the expropriating authority of the Ministry of Highways and Infrastructure in 2014, what was the name of the complainant, (b) what was the legal land description(s) related to the claim, (c) what was the basis of the complaint, and (d) was a settlement mediated by the board?

Answer:

- (a) The PPRB has discretion in how it chooses to proceed following receipt of an application. The PPRB's primary role is dispute resolution. Where appropriate and agreed to by the parties, the PPRB engages the parties in a confidential mediation process with the goal of negotiating resolution. In 2014 there were no public hearings. As such, it would not be appropriate to release the names or related legal descriptions for the applicants in question.
- (b) The PPRB has discretion in how it chooses to proceed following receipt of an application. The PPRB's primary role is dispute resolution. Where appropriate and agreed to by the parties, the PPRB engages the parties in a confidential mediation process with the goal of negotiating resolution. In 2014 there were no public hearings. As such, it would not be appropriate to release the names or related legal descriptions for the applicants in question.

- (c) The PPRB publishes an annual report in accordance with s.6 (3) of *The Expropriation Procedure Act.* Among other information, the reports provide details including the number of new applications received in relation to particular expropriating authorities, the number of applications carried over for particular authorities from the previous year, and the number of claims negotiated. In 2014, the PPRB received 21 new applications related to the Ministry of Highways and Infrastructure. Of the applications, 2 concerned route, situation or design and 11 concerned compensation.
- (d) In 2014, 2 files related to the Ministry of Highways and Infrastructure were negotiated successfully, 12 negotiated unsuccessfully, and 0 withdrawn.

Question no. 184 (Ms. Sproule):

To the Minister of Justice, (a) for each claim received by the Public and Private Rights Board related to the expropriating authority of the Ministry of Highways and Infrastructure in 2015, what was the name of the complainant, (b) what was the legal land description(s) related to the claim, (c) what was the basis of the complaint, and (d) was a settlement mediated by the board?

Answer:

- (a) The PPRB has discretion in how it chooses to proceed following receipt of an application. The PPRB's primary role is dispute resolution. Where appropriate and agreed to by the parties, the PPRB engages the parties in a confidential mediation process with the goal of negotiating resolution. In 2015 there were no public hearings. As such, it would not be appropriate to release the names or related legal descriptions for the applicants in question.
- (b) The PPRB has discretion in how it chooses to proceed following receipt of an application. The PPRB's primary role is dispute resolution. Where appropriate and agreed to by the parties, the PPRB engages the parties in a confidential mediation process with the goal of negotiating resolution. In 2015 there were no public hearings. As such, it would not be appropriate to release the names or related legal descriptions for the applicants in question.
- (c) The PPRB publishes an annual report in accordance with s.6 (3) of *The Expropriation Procedure Act.* Among other information, the reports provide details including the number of new applications received in relation to particular expropriating authorities, the number of applications carried over for particular authorities from the previous year, and the number of claims negotiated. In 2015, the PPRB received 17 new applications related to the Ministry of Highways and Infrastructure. Of the applications, 10 concerned route, situation or design and 7 concerned compensation.
- (d) In 2015, 8 files related to the Ministry of Highways and Infrastructure were negotiated successfully, 1 negotiated unsuccessfully, and 2 withdrawn.

Question no. 185 (Ms. Sproule):

To the Minister of Justice, (a) for each claim received by the Public and Private Rights Board related to the expropriating authority of the Ministry of Highways and Infrastructure in 2016, what was the name of the complainant, (b) what was the legal land description(s) related to the claim, (c) what was the basis of the complaint, and (d) was a settlement mediated by the board?

Answer:

- (a) The PPRB has discretion in how it chooses to proceed following receipt of an application. The PPRB's primary role is dispute resolution. Where appropriate and agreed to by the parties, the PPRB engages the parties in a confidential mediation process with the goal of negotiating resolution. In 2016 there were no public hearings. As such, it would not be appropriate to release the names or related legal descriptions for the applicants in question.
- (b) The PPRB has discretion in how it chooses to proceed following receipt of an application. The PPRB's primary role is dispute resolution. Where appropriate and agreed to by the parties, the PPRB engages the parties in a confidential mediation process with the goal of negotiating resolution. In 2016 there were no public hearings. As such, it would not be appropriate to release the names or related legal descriptions for the applicants in question.

- (c) The PPRB publishes an annual report in accordance with s.6 (3) of *The Expropriation Procedure Act.* Among other information, the reports provide details including the number of new applications received in relation to particular expropriating authorities, the number of applications carried over for particular authorities from the previous year, and the number of claims negotiated. In 2016, the PPRB received 8 new applications related to the Ministry of Highways and Infrastructure. Of the applications, none concerned route, situation or design and 8 concerned compensation.
- (d) In 2016, 4 files related to the Ministry of Highways and Infrastructure were negotiated successfully, 1 negotiated unsuccessfully, and 2 withdrawn.

Question no. 187 (Mr. McCall):

To the Minister of Central Services, how much was paid in severance resulting from the transition to private sector cleaning services in government-owned buildings?

Answer:

\$1,755,470.85 was paid in severance resulting from the transition to private sector cleaning services in government-owned buildings.

Question no. 188 (Mr. McCall):

To the Minister of Central Services, how much was paid in severance resulting from the transition to private cleaning services in the Legislative building?

Answer:

\$66,148.90 was paid in severance resulting from the transition to private sector cleaning services in the Legislative building.

Question no. 189 (Mr. McCall):

To the Minister of Central Services, how many severance packages were issued as a result of the transition to private sector cleaning services in government-owned buildings?

Answer:

158 severance packages were issued as a result of the transition to private sector cleaning services in government-owned buildings.

Question no. 190 (Mr. McCall):

To the Minister of Central Services, how many severance packages were issued as a result of the transition to private sector cleaning services in the Legislative building?

Answer:

Six severance packages were issued as a result of the transition to private sector cleaning services in the Legislative building.

QUESTIONS ORDERED FOR RETURN

The following written question was converted and an order for return was issued:

Return no. 83 (Mr. McCall):

To the Minister of Central Services, (a) for each the 660 government-owned buildings referenced by the Minister of Central Services in the Assembly on March 19, 2018, the name and location of the building, (b) the square footage, (c) the current vacancy rate, (d) the appraised value, (e) if the building is owned or leased, and (f) if leased, the term outstanding on the lease.

NOTICE OF WRITTEN QUESTIONS

The following questions were given notice on day no. 32 and are to be answered by day no. 37:

Question no. 191 (Ms. Sproule):

To the Government, how many pipelines crossing lakes, rivers, and tributaries to municipal water supplies have been identified in Saskatchewan?

Question no. 192 (Ms. Sproule):

To the Government, how many pipelines crossing lakes, rivers, and tributaries to municipal water supplies have been inspected since July 1, 2016?

Question no. 193 (Ms. Sproule):

To the Government, how many inspections of pipelines crossing lakes, rivers, and tributaries to municipal water supplies have led to penalties, fines, or recommendations requiring follow-up since July 1, 2016?

The following questions were given notice on day no. 34 and are to be answered by day no. 39:

Question no. 194 (Mr. McCall):

To the Government, how many pedestrian deaths were there on provincial highways in 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018 (to date)?

Question no. 195 (Mr. McCall):

To the Government, (a) in terms of each data hosting provider used by the Government of Saskatchewan to store government data, where is each server physically located, (b) what services are they providing, and (c) what is the value and terms of the contract?

Question no. 196 (Ms. Sproule):

To the Minister of Finance, what was the amount of corporate income tax revenue generated from the general corporate income tax rate in 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15, 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18 (to date)?

Question no. 197 (Ms. Sproule):

To the Minister of Finance, what was the value of the reduction of the small business tax rate in 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15, 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18 (to date)?

Question no. 198 (Ms. Sproule):

To the Minister of Finance, what was the value of the manufacturing and processing profits tax reduction in 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15, 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18 (to date)?

Question no. 199 (Ms. Sproule):

To the Minister of Finance, what was the value of the research and development tax credit in 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15, 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18 (to date)?

Question no. 200 (Ms. Sproule):

To the Minister of Finance, what was the value of the investment tax credit for manufacturing and processing acquisitions in 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15, 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18 (to date)?

Question no. 201 (Ms. Sproule):

To the Minister of Finance, what was the revenue for the diesel fuel component of the fuel tax revenue in 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15, 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18 (to date)?

Question no. 202 (Ms. Sproule):

To the Minister of Finance, what was the revenue for the locomotive component of the fuel tax revenue in 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15, 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18 (to date)?

Question no. 203 (Ms. Sproule):

To the Minister of Finance, what was the revenue for the propane and aviation component of the fuel tax revenue in 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15, 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18 (to date)?

Question no. 204 (Ms. Sproule):

To the Minister of Finance, what was the revenue for the gasoline competition assistance program in 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15, 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18 (to date)?

Question no. 205 (Ms. Sproule):

To the Minister of Finance, what was the rebate for fuel purchased on reserve by First Nations individuals in 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15, 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18 (to date)?

Question no. 206 (Ms. Sproule):

To the Minister of Finance, what was the revenue for commercial refunds component of the fuel tax revenue in 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15, 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18 (to date)?

Question no. 207 (Ms. Sproule):

To the Minister of Finance, what was the total fuel tax revenue in 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15, 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18 (to date)?

The following questions were given notice on day no. 35 and are to be answered by day no. 40:

Question no. 208 (Ms. Sproule):

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Power Corporation, how much was paid in penalties to Cenovus in 2014-15, 2015-16, 2016-17, and 2017-18 (to date)?

Question no. 209 (Ms. Sproule):

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Power Corporation, (a) in written question no. 109, it was asked what the OM&A (operating, maintenance, and administration) expenses were at the Boundary Dam Carbon Capture facility in 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018 (to date) and the answer provided combined figures for expenses the Boundary Dam Carbon Capture and Power Island facility for each fiscal year, do the figures provided include the OM&A costs for the Boundary Dam 3 Power Station, and (b) if not, what were the OM&A costs for the Boundary Dam 3 Power Station for 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018 (to date)?

Question no. 210 (Ms. Sproule):

To the Government, (a) how much has been spent on the oil processing investment incentive to date, (b) how many companies have applied for the incentive, and (c) which companies have been granted the incentive?

Question no. 211 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Government, how many notices of intention regarding home foreclosures did the Saskatchewan Provincial Mediation Board receive in 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018 (to date)?

The following questions were given notice on day no. 36 and are to be answered by day no. 41:

Question no. 212 (Ms. Chartier):

To the Minister of Health, how many autism spectrum disorder consultants are currently provincially funded?

Question no. 213 (Ms. Chartier):

To the Minister of Health, how many autism spectrum disorder consultants are currently employed and actively working?

Question no. 214 (Ms. Chartier):

To the Minister of Health, how many autism support workers are currently provincially funded?

Question no. 215 (Ms. Chartier):

To the Minister of Health, how many provincially funded autism support workers are employed and actively working?

Question no. 216 (Ms. Chartier):

To the Minister of Health, how many children are there in Saskatchewan that have been diagnosed with autism under the age of six?

Question no. 217 (Ms. Chartier):

To the Minister of Health, how many children are there in Saskatchewan who have been diagnosed with autism between 6 and 17?

Question no. 218 (Ms. Chartier):

To the Minister of Health, what was the total budget allocation for autism supports and services in 2007-08, 2008-09, 2009-10, 2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15, 2015-16, 2016-17, and 2017-18?

Question no. 219 (Ms. Chartier):

To the Minister of Health, what was the total amount spent for autism supports and services in the following fiscal years, 2007-08, 2008-09, 2009-10, 2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15, 2015-16, 2016-17, and 2017-18 (to date)?

Question no. 220 (Ms. Sproule):

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Power Corporation, what is the total cost of the Boundary dam carbon capture project to date (including retrofit costs)?