



No. 89

VOTES and PROCEEDINGS

OF THE

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

PROVINCE OF SASKATCHEWAN

FIRST SESSION – TWENTY-EIGHTH LEGISLATURE

Tuesday, May 16, 2017

1:30 p.m.

PRAYERS

PRESENTING PETITIONS

Petitions of citizens of the province of Saskatchewan were presented and laid upon the Table by the following members: Sproule, Cox, Forbes, Beck, and Sarauer.

READING AND RECEIVING PETITIONS

According to order and pursuant to rule 16(7), petitions from residents of the province of Saskatchewan, requesting the following action, were read and received:

To develop and implement Erin's Law.

(Addendum to sessional paper no. 220)

To stop the federal government from imposing a carbon tax on the province.

(Addendum to sessional paper no. 225)

To construct a second bridge for Prince Albert.

(Addendum to sessional paper no. 226)

To increase funding to Prince Albert mobile crisis.

(Addendum to sessional paper no. 314)

To eliminate the wage gap between women and men.

(Addendum to sessional paper no. 315)

- To ensure job security for victims of domestic violence.
(Addendum to sessional paper no. 320)
- To stop the plan to sell off the Saskatchewan Transportation Company.
(Addendum to sessional paper no. 329)
- To restore funding to post-secondary institutions.
(Addendum to sessional paper no. 335)
- To stop the cuts to spiritual care programs in health region facilities.
(Addendum to sessional paper no. 340)

REPORT OF THE STANDING COMMITTEE ON INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS AND JUSTICE

The following bill was reported with amendment and consideration in Committee of the Whole on Bills having been waived, by leave of the Assembly, it was read the third time and passed:

Bill No. 30 – The Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Amendment Act, 2016

The following bills were reported without amendment and consideration in Committee of the Whole on Bills having been waived, by leave of the Assembly, they were read the third time and passed:

Bill No. 31 – The Local Authority Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Amendment Act, 2016

Bill No. 60 – The Legal Profession Amendment Act, 2017

The following bill was reported without amendment and consideration in Committee of the Whole on Bills having been waived, by leave of the Assembly, it was read the third time and passed, on division:

Bill No. 59 – The Summary Offences Procedure Amendment Act, 2017

REPORT OF THE STANDING COMMITTEE ON THE ECONOMY

The following bills were reported without amendment and consideration in Committee of the Whole on Bills having been waived, by leave of the Assembly, they were read the third time and passed:

Bill No. 44 – The Water Security Agency Amendment Act, 2016

Bill No. 61 – The Saskatchewan Commercial Innovation Incentive (Patent Box) Act

The following bill was reported with amendment and consideration in Committee of the Whole on Bills having been waived, by leave of the Assembly, it was read the third time and passed, on division:

Bill No. 62 – The Labour-sponsored Venture Capital Corporations Amendment Act, 2017

REPORT OF THE STANDING COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

Mr. Doke, Deputy Chair of the Standing Committee on Public Accounts, presented the First Report of the said committee.

(Sessional paper no. 421)

On motion of Mr. Doke:

Ordered, That the First Report of the Standing Committee on Public Accounts be now concurred in.

WRITTEN QUESTIONS

The order of the day being called for question nos. 385 to 411, they were answered. (see appendix)

COMMITTEE OF FINANCE

Summary of resolutions adopted:

GENERAL REVENUE FUND

MAIN ESTIMATES 2017-18

MINISTRIES AND AGENCIES

Resolved, That there be granted to Her Majesty for the twelve months ending March 31, 2018, the following sums:

BUDGETARY EXPENSES

1.	For Advanced Education	\$716,187,000
2.	For Agriculture	386,933,000
3.	For Central Services	203,554,000
4.	For Economy	256,563,000
5.	For Education	1,637,128,000
6.	For Environment.....	169,516,000
7.	For Executive Council.....	14,899,000
8.	For Finance.....	224,091,000
9.	For Government Relations	672,029,000
10.	For Health.....	5,205,289,000

11.	For Highways and Infrastructure.....	1,100,256,000
12.	For Innovation Saskatchewan.....	27,709,000
13.	For Justice.....	582,064,000
14.	For Labour Relations and Workplace Safety.....	19,644,000
15.	For Parks, Culture and Sport	67,314,000
16.	For Public Service Commission	33,945,000
17.	For Saskatchewan Research Council.....	21,118,000
18.	For SaskBuilds Corporation	5,073,000
19.	For Social Services	1,121,130,000
20.	For Tourism Saskatchewan	13,445,000
21.	For Water Security Agency	17,660,000

LENDING AND INVESTING ACTIVITIES

22.	For Advanced Education.....	\$70,000,000
-----	-----------------------------	--------------

LEGISLATIVE BRANCH OF GOVERNMENT

23.	For Advocate for Children and Youth.....	\$2,456,000
24.	For Conflict of Interest Commissioner.....	539,000
25.	For Information and Privacy Commissioner.....	1,451,000
26.	For Legislative Assembly	9,256,000
27.	For Ombudsman.....	3,753,000
28.	For Provincial Auditor	8,461,000

GENERAL REVENUE FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY ESTIMATES 2016-17

MINISTRIES AND AGENCIES

Resolved, That there be granted to Her Majesty for the twelve months ended March 31, 2017, the following sums:

BUDGETARY EXPENSES

1. For Advanced Education	\$4,468,000
2. For Agriculture	35,141,000
3. For Finance	3,700,000
4. For Health	16,000,000
5. For Highways and Infrastructure.....	70,000,000
6. For Justice.....	2,860,000

On motion of the Hon. Mr. Doherty:

Resolved, that towards making good the supply granted to Her Majesty on account of certain charges and expenses of the public service for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2017, the sum of one hundred thirty-two million, one hundred and sixty-nine thousand dollars be granted out of the general revenue fund.

On motion of the Hon. Mr. Doherty:

Resolved, that towards making good the supply granted to Her Majesty on account of certain charges and expenses of the public service for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2018, the sum of twelve billion, five hundred and ninety-one million, four hundred and sixty-three thousand dollars be granted out of the general revenue fund.

The said resolutions were reported, read twice, and agreed to, and the committee given leave to sit again.

THE APPROPRIATION ACT, 2017 (NO. 1)

Moved by the Hon. Mr. Doherty: That Bill No. 71 – The Appropriation Act, 2017 (No. 1) be introduced and read the first time.

The question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was, accordingly, read the first time.

By leave of the Assembly and pursuant to Rule 32(1)(e), the said bill was then read a second and third time and passed under its title.

On motion of the Hon. Mr. Merriman:

Ordered, That this Assembly do now adjourn.

The Assembly adjourned at 2:52 p.m. until Wednesday at 1:30 p.m.

*Hon. Corey Tochor
Speaker*

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

The following responses to written questions were tabled by the government:

Question no. 385 (Ms. Sproule):

To the Minister Responsible for Saskatchewan Power Corporation: On what day was the decision made by SaskPower officials to pursue the logistics warehouse complex at the Global Transportation Hub?

Answer:

October 17, 2013.

Question no. 386 (Ms. Chartier):

To the Minister of Health: What are the protocols around hearing tests for babies by health region public health nurses at immunization appointments?

Answer:

All public health nurses (PHN) follow guidelines for standard practice for assessment of the child's general health, addressing specific parental concerns, and determining if the child has reached age appropriate developmental skills. A number of factors can trigger further assessment at appointments: a parent expresses a concern about the child's hearing, a developmental skill for communication is not achieved at an age appropriate time, and/or the child has experienced an acute illness that would put them at risk for hearing loss. Follow-up questions are asked and parents are encouraged to follow up with their primary care provider and/or an audiologist for further assessment/investigation.

Question no. 387 (Ms. Chartier):

To the Minister of Health: What types of hearing tests are performed on babies by health region public health nurses at immunization appointments?

Answer:

Public health nurses conduct general infant health assessments at immunization appointments. If parents express concerns regarding their child's hearing, a developmental skill for communication is not achieved at an age appropriate time, and/or the child has experienced an acute illness that would put them at risk for hearing loss, the public health nurse will conduct additional general hearing related assessments and parents are encouraged to follow up with their primary care provider or audiologist for further testing and treatment.

Question no. 388 (Ms. Chartier):

To the Minister of Health: How many private audiologists are currently equipped to provide the full range of audiological services for children?

Answer:

There are 22 audiologists working in 16 private hearing clinics. Twelve of these clinics provide services for children.

Question no. 389 (Ms. Chartier):

To the Minister of Health: (1) How many children were fitted with hearing aids through the hearing aid plan in 2006? (2) 2007? (3) 2008? (4) 2009? (5) 2010? (6) 2011? (7) 2012? (8) 2013? (9) 2014? (10) 2015? (11) 2016? (12) 2017 (to date)?

Answer:

Year	Hearing aid sales - children Saskatoon Health Region	Hearing aid sales - children Regina Qu'Appelle Health Region	Total
2016	64 units	44 units	108
2015	100 units	42 units	142

2014	66 units	29 units	95
2013	36 units	27 units	63
2012	53 units	33 units	86

Note: Data presented represents number of hearing aids sold. Many children have bilateral hearing loss (which requires two hearing aids) therefore the number of children fitted would be less than the number of hearing aids sold. Data for the other years requested is not available.

Question no. 390 (Mr. Meili):

To the Government: What was the total cost of out-of-province travel on behalf of the government for each elected member for every year since 2007-08?

Answer:

2007-08	\$14,126.60
2008-09	\$1,878.76
2009-10	\$7,151.38
2010-11	\$17,640.70
2011-12	\$10,338.34
2012-13	\$28,234.99
2013-14	\$33,165.66
2014-15	\$16,856.12
2015-16	\$10,092.62
2016-17	\$11,684.28

These figures have not been audited.

Question no. 391 (Mr. Meili):

To the Government: What was the total cost of in-province travel on behalf of the government for each elected member for every year since 2007-08?

Answer:

2007-08	\$2,436.53
2008-09	\$0
2009-10	\$3,572.58
2010-11	\$0
2011-12	\$2,978.31
2012-13	\$17,174.01
2013-14	\$12,024.72
2014-15	\$5,625.50
2015-16	\$4,866.59
2016-17	\$6,024.52

These figured have not been audited.

Question no. 392 (Mr. Meili):

To the Government: What are the total in-province ministerial travel costs for each year since 2007-08?

Answer:

2007-08	\$769,551.86
2008-09	\$554,475.74
2009-10	\$529,322.49
2010-11	\$458,945.26
2011-12	\$378,168.54
2012-13	\$378,887.26
2013-14	\$324,066.04
2014-15	\$290,834.32

2015-16 \$285,025.04
2016-17 \$230,112.13
These figures have not been audited

Question no. 393 (Mr. Meili):

To the Government: What are the total out-of-province ministerial travel costs for each year since 2007-08?

Answer:

2007-08 \$127,477.16
2008-09 \$265,151.20
2009-10 \$147,457.75
2010-11 \$177,275.66
2011-12 \$147,993.55
2012-13 \$83,888.80
2013-14 \$207,297.45
2014-15 \$63,382.37
2015-16 \$77,490.79
2016-17 \$77,627.24

These figures have not been audited

Question no. 394 (Mr. Meili):

To the Minister of Central Services: What anti-spam software was purchased by the ministry in 2008-09?

Answer:

Barracuda anti-spam firewall hardware was purchased in 2008-09.

Question no. 395 (Mr. Meili):

To the Minister of Central Services: (1) For any anti-spam software purchased by the ministry in 2008-09, what was the tendering process? (2) Was the product tested through open source plagiarism software? (3) Is the tender publicly available?

Answer:

- (1) A request for proposals tendering process was used for the anti-spam hardware purchased.
- (2) The anti-spam hardware is a fully licensed and supported product and is one of the best in the industry.
- (3) The tender was posted publicly in 2008-09 on the SaskTenders website.

Question no. 396 (Mr. Meili):

To the Minister of Central Services: For each fiscal year from 2007-2008 to 2016-2017, which companies (including location) were Central Vehicle Agency (CVA) vehicles procured from?

Answer:

The Central Vehicle Agency works through the New West Partnership with Alberta and British Columbia to procure vehicles. This process allows for manufacturers to bid on a standardized set of vehicle specifications. Vehicle orders are placed directly with manufacturers, who work with local dealers to deliver the vehicle. The Central Vehicle Agency has procured the following vehicles from 2007-2008 to 2016-2017 from the manufacturers listed below:

- 2007-2008: Crestline Coach Ltd., Saskatoon, SK; FCA Canada Inc., Windsor, ON; Ford Motor Company of Canada Ltd., Oakville, ON; General Motors of Canada Company, Oshawa, ON.
- 2008-2009: Crestline Coach Ltd., Saskatoon, SK; FCA Canada Inc., Windsor, ON; Ford Motor Company of Canada Ltd., Oakville, ON; General Motors of Canada Company, Oshawa, ON; Regina Honda, Regina, SK; Regina Sport & Import Automotive Group Ltd., Regina, SK.

- 2009-2010: Crestline Coach Ltd., Saskatoon, SK; FCA Canada Inc., Windsor, ON; Ford Motor Company of Canada Ltd., Oakville, ON; General Motors of Canada Company, Oshawa, ON.
- 2010-2011: FCA Canada Inc., Windsor, ON; Ford Motor Company of Canada Ltd., Oakville, ON; Taylor Motor Sales Ltd., Regina, SK.
- 2011-2012: FCA Canada Inc., Windsor, ON; Ford Motor Company of Canada Ltd., Oakville, ON; General Motors of Canada Company, Oshawa, ON.
- 2012-2013: FCA Canada Inc., Windsor, ON; Ford Motor Company of Canada Ltd., Oakville, ON; General Motors of Canada Company, Oshawa, ON.
- 2013-2014: FCA Canada Inc., Windsor, ON; Ford Motor Company of Canada Ltd., Oakville, ON; General Motors of Canada Company, Oshawa, ON.
- 2014-2015: FCA Canada Inc., Windsor, ON; Ford Motor Company of Canada Ltd., Oakville, ON; General Motors of Canada Company, Oshawa, ON.
- 2015-2016: Capital Ford Lincoln Inc., Regina, SK; Driving Force Inc., Saskatoon, SK; FCA Canada Inc., Windsor, ON; Ford Motor Company of Canada Ltd., Oakville, ON; General Motors of Canada Company, Oshawa, ON; Nissan Canada Inc., Mississauga, ON.
- 2016-2017: FCA Canada Inc., Windsor, ON; Ford Motor Company of Canada Ltd., Oakville, ON; General Motors of Canada Company, Oshawa, ON; Nissan Canada Inc., Mississauga, ON.

Question no. 397 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Government: What is the response and actions to date to the first recommendation “Culture and Customer Service focuses on corporate culture and develops a customer-centric service delivery model” as made by the Committee of Review as released in November 2016?

Answer:

This recommendation is supported by the Workers’ Compensation Board (WCB) and is being addressed through its continuous process improvement work.

Question no. 398 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Government: What is the response and actions to date to the first recommendation “Culture and Customer Service” specific subsections as listed below as made by the Committee of Review released in November 2016?

1. Investing in time and training to meet customer service survey targets.
2. Developing service quality standards and ensure they are met.
3. Increasing WCB Claim Entitlement Services Unit hours beyond conventional business hours, including noon.
4. Improving operational decision-making in the claims adjudication process so as to reduce the number of appeals at the tribunal level.
5. Developing open and clearly written communication to provide to injured workers.
6. Minimizing the number of caseworkers per claim.
7. Shortening length of time from date of injury to initial payment by half.

Answer:

- (1) In progress.
- (2) In progress.
- (3) Partially complete: noon hour coverage has been implemented.
- (4) In progress.
- (5) In progress.
- (6) In progress.
- (7) In progress.

Question no. 399 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Government: What is the response and actions to date to the second recommendation “Board Structure and Governance – Modernize Board structure to reflect the diversity of skill sets required to guide the work of the WCB” as made by the Committee of Review as released in November 2016?

Answer:

This recommendation is under consideration. The government will be consulting on board governance prior to deciding how to proceed.

Question no. 400 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Government: What is the response and actions to date to the second recommendation “Board Structure and Governance” specific subsections listed below as made by the Committee of Review as released in November 2016?

1. Investing time in improving performance by gauging the effectiveness of WCB against its performance metrics.
2. Developing a formal skill set matrix and recruitment process for choosing board members.
3. Expanding the board structure to include seven members – three full-time members and four part-time members with equal representation from both employer and employee groups.

Answer:

This recommendation is under consideration. The government will be consulting on board governance and structure prior to deciding how to proceed.

Question no. 401 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Government: What is the response and actions to date to the third recommendation “Appeals – Significantly reduce the average number of days to a decision and communicate clearly with appellants throughout the process” as made by the Committee of Review as released in November 2016?

Answer:

The average number of days to a decision for both the appeals department and board appeal tribunal has seen significant improvement in the last year. Both the appeals department and the board appeal tribunal are communicating with appellants regarding the timeframe for consideration of an appeal.

Question no. 402 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Government: What is the response and actions to date to the third recommendation “Appeals” specific subsections listed below as made by the Committee of Review as released in November 2016?

1. Providing clear communication and explanation of appeal decisions including the explanation of medical information.
2. Ensuring board level appeals are to be decided within 30 days of the hearing.
3. Providing training for front line staff to alleviate the number of appeals at all levels of appeal.

Answer:

- (1) Done. The appeals department is applying a quality analysis to decisions.
- (2) Completed.
- (3) In progress. This is being addressed through the WCB’s continuous improvement process.

Question no. 403 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Government: What is the response and actions to date to the fourth recommendation “Psychological Injury – Strengthen the Psychological Injury Policy (POL 01/2009) regarding Post Trauma Stress Disorder (PTSD) and mental health coverage for Saskatchewan workers” as made by the Committee of Review as released in November 2016?

Answer:

Completed. In April, 2017 the Workers' Compensation Board adopted a new policy (Policy 02/2017) to establish the adjudication guidelines for psychological injury claims. This was done subsequent to the amendment to the WC Act that came into effect on December 20, 2016.

Question no. 404 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Government: What is the response and actions to date to the fourth recommendation "Psychological Injury" specific subsections listed below as made by the Committee of Review as released in November 2016?

1. Updating the policy to define coverage for PTSD and mental health.
2. Employing caseworkers dedicated specifically to psychological injury claims.

Answer:

- (1) Completed. See answer to written question no. 403.
- (2) At this time the WCB has not decided to have caseworkers who are specifically responsible for the adjudication and management of psychological injury claims, rather the focus is on making sure all staff are provided the training and supports required.

Question no. 405 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Government: What is the response and actions to date to the fifth recommendation "Fatality Service Specialists – assign fatality service specialists to assist individuals and families affected by workplace fatalities and minimize the number of caseworkers per claim" as made by the Committee of Review as released in November 2016?

Answer:

The WCB has established an extended services unit with very experienced staff to support individuals and families affected by workplace fatalities and very serious injuries.

Question no. 406 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Government: What is the response and actions to date to the sixth recommendation "Coordination of Benefits – coordinate benefits between the WCB and SGI to ensure that no worker is disadvantaged in the event of a work-related motor vehicle accident" as made by the Committee of Review as released in November 2016?

Answer:

Completed. SGI already provides for a top-up to WCB benefits.

Question no. 407 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Government: What is the response and actions to date to the seventh recommendation "Privacy Policy – Repeal sections 173 and 174 of The Workers' Compensation Act, 2013 to comply with the provisions of The Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act and update the WCB's privacy policy to align with the changes" as made by the Committee of Review as released in November 2016?

Answer:

A mutually agreed upon alternative has been reached between the WCB and the Information and Privacy Commissioner. The alternative would see amendments to *The Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act* to ensure that the provisions of the information and privacy legislation apply to *The Workers' Compensation Act, 2013*. This will enable workers to access their information while ensuring that their health information in the possession of the WCB is considered personal information and therefore maintained in confidence. Given this agreement, no further consultation will be undertaken on this recommendation.

Question no. 408 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Government: What is the response and actions to date to the eighth recommendation “Employer Resource Centre – establish an Employer Resource Centre, coordinated through the Office of the Workers’ Advocate, dedicated to helping employers navigate the workers’ compensation system” as made by the Committee of Review as released in November 2016?

Answer:

In reviewing the recommendations, the government has determined that the function of providing assistance to employers should remain within the WCB. To ensure that employers are receiving the assistance required, the WCB has directed its employer services and operations divisions to review and improve service delivery to these clients. As a result, no further consultation will occur on this recommendation.

Question no. 409 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Government: What is the response and actions to date to the ninth recommendation “Communication and Surplus – increase transparency and awareness so that the public understands the application of the surplus in the Funding Policy (POL 01/2014) through Consulting with stakeholders at the Annual General Meeting and consulting with stakeholders, specifically employers respecting the Funding Policy (POL 01/2014)” as made by the Committee of Review as released in November 2016?

Answer:

Completed. In December of 2016 the WCB issued a discussion paper – “The WCB's Funding Policy, Recommending Changes to the WCB's Funding Policy and Call for Feedback.” The WCB received input from nine stakeholder groups with respect to the considerations proposed in the paper as well as unsolicited recommendations not tied directly to the eight considerations outlined in the paper. The board approved changes to the policy in April of 2017 and these changes were communicated at this year’s annual general meeting (AGM). The policy changes are in development and will be applicable to the 2017 fiscal year.

Question no. 410 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Government: What is the response and actions to date to the tenth recommendation “Rate Review and Standard Discount – ensure best practices are fair and equitable for all employers and not excessively punitive for small employers. This can be achieved through educating and ensuring the Rate Review Committee has the skill sets necessary to conduct their review and analyzing changes to the standard discount to alleviate the potential for unintended consequences prior to implementation” as made by the Committee of Review as released in November 2016?

Answer:

Completed. The WCB supports this recommendation and will review the knowledge and skills required of the experience rating review committee (ERRC) members prior to the next ERRC.

Question no. 411 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Government: What is the response and actions to date to the eleventh recommendation “Review Implementation and Oversight – strengthen ongoing communication with stakeholders regarding Committee of Review recommendations and implementation by making the Committee of Review Report a more prominent agenda item at the Annual General Meeting and providing stakeholders with a rationale for Committee of Review recommendation decisions made by the WCB” as made by the Committee of Review as released in November 2016?

Answer:

Completed.

NOTICE OF MOTION FOR A SEVENTY-FIVE MINUTE DEBATE

On Thursday:

Ms. Heppner to move the following motion:

That this Assembly supports the government's actions to improve and expand education infrastructure for our province's students, filling the education infrastructure deficit left behind by previous governments, by opening 40 new schools, including 21 that are opening in September, and 25 major renovations across Saskatchewan.

NOTICE OF PRIORITY ITEMS

No. 1 (Opposition)

ADJOURNED DEBATES

On the proposed motion of Mr. Wotherspoon:

That *Bill No. 602 – The Election (Fairness and Accountability) Amendment Act* be now read a second time.

(Mr. Forbes)
Adjourned twice

No. 2 (Government)

Not submitted – Item of business determined pursuant to rule 24(4).

NOTICE OF WRITTEN QUESTIONS

The following questions were given notice on day no. 86 and are to be answered by day no. 91:

Question no. 436 (Ms. Sproule):

To the Government: How much did Loblaws Company Ltd. pay for their land purchased at the Global Transportation Hub?

Question no. 437 (Ms. Rancourt):

To the Minister of Justice: (1) Did the government engage in an open tendering process before negotiating a new contract for the provision of inmate telephone service with Telmate? (2) If so, how many companies presented a proposal or bid? (3) What analysis was done to determine that negotiating a new contract with Telmate would provide the best value?

Question no. 438 (Mr. McCall):

To the Minister of Parks, Culture and Sport: (1) Was there a production or productions from Minds Eye Entertainment filmed entirely in British Columbia that received Creative Saskatchewan funding in 2016? (2) If so, what were the amounts provided? (3) If so, what were the sources of the funding provided? (4) Was there an eligible production or productions to be filmed in Saskatchewan that was subsequently denied Creative Saskatchewan support because the available fund was depleted after Creative Saskatchewan provided support to the productions involved in the first question? (5) Will

the provincial government and Creative Saskatchewan change the funding guidelines to ensure that films which are to be made in Saskatchewan receive priority over co-productions with other jurisdictions?

Question no. 439 (Ms. Chartier):

To the Minister of Health: What is the total number of full-time equivalent positions (FTEs) lost as a result of the elimination of the hearing aid plan including audiology positions and support staff?

Question no. 440 (Ms. Chartier):

To the Minister of Health: What are the values of the individual categories (salaries, pensions, and benefits, etc.) making up the estimated annualized savings of \$2,950,000 from the elimination of the hearing aid plan as cited in Human Services committee on May 1, 2017?

Question no. 441 (Ms. Chartier):

To the Minister of Health: What was the value of client payments to the hearing aid plan for each year between 2011-2017?