

No. 69

VOTES and PROCEEDINGS

OF THE

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

PROVINCE OF SASKATCHEWAN

FIRST SESSION – TWENTY-EIGHTH LEGISLATURE

Tuesday, April 4, 2017

1:30 p.m.

PRAYERS

PRESENTING PETITIONS

Petitions of citizens of the province of Saskatchewan were presented and laid upon the Table by the following members: Chartier, Michelson, Sproule, Forbes, Rancourt, Beck, McCall, Sarauer, and Belanger.

READING AND RECEIVING PETITIONS

According to order and pursuant to rule 16(7), petitions from residents of the province of Saskatchewan, requesting the following action, were read and received:

To reinstate funding for pastoral care services in health region facilities.

(Sessional paper no. 334)

To stop the federal government from imposing a carbon tax on the province.

(Addendum to sessional paper no. 225)

To construct a second bridge for Prince Albert.

(Addendum to sessional paper no. 226)

To stop the passage of Bill No. 40 – The Interpretation Amendment Act, 2016.

(Addendum to sessional paper no. 318)

To ensure job security for victims of domestic violence.

(Addendum to sessional paper no. 320)

To restore the funding for public libraries.

(Addendum to sessional paper no. 331)

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

The following bills were introduced, read the first time, and ordered to be read a second time at the next sitting:

Bill No. 61 – The Saskatchewan Commercial Innovation Incentive (Patent Box) Act

(Hon. Mr. Harrison)

Bill No. 62 – The Labour-sponsored Venture Capital Corporations Amendment Act, 2017 (Hon. Mr. Harrison)

WRITTEN QUESTIONS

The order of the day being called for question nos. 285 and 287, they were answered. (see appendix)

The order of the day being called for question no. 286, pursuant to rule 21(6), the question was converted and an order for return was issued. (see appendix)

SECOND READINGS

Bill No. 59 – The Summary Offences Procedure Amendment Act, 2017

Moved by the Hon. Mr. Wyant: Bill No. 59 – The Summary Offences Procedure Amendment Act, 2017 be now read a second time.

A debate arising, it was on motion of Mr. Belanger, adjourned.

Bill No. 60 – The Legal Profession Amendment Act, 2017

Moved by the Hon. Mr. Wyant: Bill No. 60 – The Legal Profession Amendment Act, 2017 be now read a second time.

A debate arising, it was on motion of Mr. Belanger, adjourned.

ADJOURNED DEBATES / DÉBATS AJOURNÉS

Bill No. 57 – The Tobacco Tax Amendment Act, 2017

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Doherty: That Bill No. 57 – The Tobacco Tax Amendment Act, 2017 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was, accordingly, read a second time.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Merriman, in accordance with rule 85, Bill No. 57 – The Tobacco Tax Amendment Act, 2017 was committed to the Standing Committee on Crown and Central Agencies.

Bill No. 58 – The Corporation Capital Tax Amendment Act, 2017

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Doherty: That Bill No. 58 – The Corporation Capital Tax Amendment Act, 2017 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was, accordingly, read a second time.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Merriman, in accordance with rule 85, Bill No. 58 – The Corporation Capital Tax Amendment Act, 2017 was committed to the Standing Committee on Crown and Central Agencies.

Bill No. 48 – The Education Property Tax Act

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Ms. Harpauer: That Bill No. 48 – The Education Property Tax Act be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. Forbes, adjourned.

Bill No. 49 – The Education Property Tax Consequential Amendment Act, 2017 Projet de loi nº 49 – Loi de 2017 portant modifications corrélatives à la loi intitulée The Education Property Tax Act

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on	L'Assemblée reprend le débat ajourné sur la
the proposed motion of the Hon. Ms. Harpauer:	motion de l'hon. Mme Harpauer: Que le projet de
That Bill No. 49 – The Education Property Tax	loi nº 49 – Loi de 2017 portant modifications
Consequential Amendment Act, 2017 be now	corrélatives à la loi intitulée The Education
read a second time.	Property Tax Act soit maintenant lu une deuxième fois.
The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. Forbes, adjourned.	Le débat se poursuit et sur motion de M. Forbes, il est ajourné.

Bill No. 53 – The Provincial Health Authority Act

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Reiter: That Bill No. 53 – The Provincial Health Authority Act be now read a second time.

The debate continuing and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was, accordingly, read a second time.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Merriman, in accordance with rule 85, Bill No. 53 – The Provincial Health Authority Act was committed to the Standing Committee on Human Services.

Bill No. 54 – The Provincial Health Authority Consequential Amendment Act, 2017 Projet de loi nº 54 – Loi de 2017 portant modifications corrélatives à la loi intitulée The Provincial Health Authority Act

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Reiter: That Bill No. 54 – The Provincial Health Authority Consequential Amendment Act, 2017 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was, accordingly, read a second time.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Merriman, in accordance with rule 85, Bill No. 54 – The Provincial Health Authority Consequential Amendment Act, 2017 was committed to the Standing Committee on Human Services L'Assemblée reprend le débat ajourné sur la motion de l'hon. M. Reiter propose: Que le projet de loi n° 54 – Loi de 2017 portant modifications corrélatives à la loi intitulée The Provincial Health Authority Act soit maintenant lu une deuxième fois.

Le débat se poursuit et la motion, mise aux voix, est adoptée et, en conséquence, le dit projet de loi est lu une deuxième fois.

Selon la désignation de l'hon. M. Merriman, conformément au règlement 85, le projet de loi n° 54 – Loi de 2017 portant modifications corrélatives à la loi intitulée The Provincial Health Authority Act est renvoyé au Comité permanent des services à la personne.

Bill No. 50 – The Provincial Capital Commission Act

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Ms. Tell: That Bill No. 50 – The Provincial Capital Commission Act be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Sarauer, adjourned.

Bill No. 51 – The Saskatchewan Grain Car Corporation Repeal Act

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Marit: That Bill No. 51 – The Saskatchewan Grain Car Corporation Repeal Act be now read a second time.

The debate continuing and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was, accordingly, read a second time.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Merriman, in accordance with rule 85, Bill No. 51 – The Saskatchewan Grain Car Corporation Repeal Act was committed to the Standing Committee on the Economy.

Bill No. 52 – The Meewasin Valley Authority Amendment Act, 2017

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Cheveldayoff: That Bill No. 52 – The Meewasin Valley Authority Amendment Act, 2017 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Ms. Chartier, adjourned.

Bill No. 55 – The Miscellaneous Statutes (Economy – Audit Assessments) Amendment Act, 2017

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Duncan: That Bill No. 55 – The Miscellaneous Statutes (Economy – Audit Assessments) Amendment Act, 2017 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was, accordingly, read a second time.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Merriman, in accordance with rule 85, Bill No. 55 – The Miscellaneous Statutes (Economy – Audit Assessments) Amendment Act, 2017 was committed to the Standing Committee on the Economy.

Bill No. 56 – The Oil and Gas Conservation Amendment Act, 2017

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Duncan: That Bill No. 56 – The Oil and Gas Conservation Amendment Act, 2017 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was, accordingly, read a second time.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Merriman, in accordance with rule 85, Bill No. 56 – The Oil and Gas Conservation Amendment Act, 2017 was committed to the Standing Committee on the Economy.

On motion of the Hon. Mr. Merriman:

Ordered, That this Assembly do now adjourn.

The Assembly adjourned at 4:38 p.m. until Wednesday at 1:30 p.m.

Hon. Corey Tochor Speaker

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

The following responses to written questions were tabled by the government:

Question no. 285 (Ms. Sproule):

To the Premier: (1) What were the total costs associated with advertising, promoting, and distributing the 2017-18 provincial budget? (2) What firms were contracted for each of these services?

Answer:

(1) Zero.

(2) N/A

Question no. 287 (Ms. Sproule):

To the Minister of Finance: (1) What were the total costs associated with advertising, promoting, and distributing the 2017-18 provincial budget? (2) What firms were contracted for each of these services?

Answer:

The estimated costs are \$40,000 for print and \$86,000 for advertising, subject to receipt of final invoices. The two firms are Print It Centres Signature Graphics for printing and The Phoenix Group for advertising. The Phoenix Group is the Ministry of Finance's agency of record.

QUESTIONS ORDERED FOR RETURN

The following written question was converted and an order for return was issued:

Return no. 83 (Mr. Vermette):

To the Minister of Crown Investments: The total costs resulting from cancellation of bus routes on March 22, 2017 (including but not limited to ticket reimbursements, taxi fare, accommodation, etc.).

NOTICE OF MOTIONS FOR FIRST READING OF BILLS

On Thursday:

Hon. Mr. Hargrave to move first reading of Bill No. 65 - The Traffic Safety Amendment Act, 2017

NOTICE OF MOTION FOR A SEVENTY-FIVE MINUTE DEBATE

On Thursday:

Ms. Beck to move the following motion:

That this Assembly condemns the government for their senseless budget cuts to libraries across the province, and for crippling these vital community hubs that provide essential services and programming for children, seniors, and all Saskatchewan families.

NOTICE OF PRIORITY ITEMS

No. 1 (Government)

ADJOURNED DEBATES

On the proposed Motion No. 3 moved by Ms. Carr:

That this Assembly commends the Government of Saskatchewan for having very attractive mining policies which has led Saskatchewan to be ranked the most attractive jurisdiction for mining investment in the world.

(Mr. Kaeding) Adjourned once

No. 2 (Opposition)

Not submitted – Item of business determined pursuant to rule 24(4).

NOTICE OF WRITTEN QUESTIONS

The following questions were given notice on day no. 66 and are to be answered by day no. 71:

Question no. 288 (Ms. Rancourt):

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many funeral viewings and services were paid for by the ministry for the children of transitional employment allowance (TEA) clients in 2010? (2) 2011? (3) 2012? (4) 2013? (5) 2014? (6) 2015? (7) 2016? (8) 2017 (to date)?

Question no. 289 (Ms. Rancourt):

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many funeral viewings and services were paid for by the ministry for Saskatchewan Assured Income for Disability (SAID) clients in 2010? (2) 2011? (3) 2012? (4) 2013? (5) 2014? (6) 2015? (7) 2016? (8) 2017 (to date)?

Question no. 290 (Ms. Rancourt):

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many funeral viewings and services were paid for by the ministry for Saskatchewan Assistance Program (SAP) clients in 2010? (2) 2011? (3) 2012? (4) 2013? (5) 2014? (6) 2015? (7) 2016? (8) 2017 (to date)?

Question no. 291 (Ms. Rancourt):

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) What were the total costs associated with funeral viewings and services paid for by the ministry for SAP clients in 2010? (2) 2011? (3) 2012? (4) 2013? (5) 2014? (6) 2015? (7) 2016? (8) 2017 (to date)?

Question no. 292 (Ms. Rancourt):

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) What were the total costs associated with funeral viewings and services paid for by the ministry for SAID clients in 2010? (2) 2011? (3) 2012? (4) 2013? (5) 2014? (6) 2015? (7) 2016? (8) 2017 (to date)?

Question no. 293 (Ms. Rancourt):

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many funeral viewings and services for children under ministry supervision were paid for by the ministry in 2010? (2) 2011? (3) 2012? (4) 2013? (5) 2014? (6) 2015? (7) 2016? (8) 2017 (to date)?

Question no. 294 (Ms. Rancourt):

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many funeral viewings and services were paid for by the ministry for the children of SAID clients in 2010? (2) 2011? (3) 2012? (4) 2013? (5) 2014? (6) 2015? (7) 2016? (8) 2017 (to date)?

Question no. 295 (Ms. Rancourt):

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many funeral viewings and services were paid for by the ministry for the children of SAP clients in 2010? (2) 2011? (3) 2012? (4) 2013? (5) 2014? (6) 2015? (7) 2016? (8) 2017 (to date)?

Question no. 296 (Ms. Rancourt):

To the Minister of Social Services: (1) How many funeral viewings and services were paid for by the ministry for TEA clients in 2010? (2) 2011? (3) 2012? (4) 2013? (5) 2014? (6) 2015? (7) 2016? (8) 2017 (to date)?

Question no. 297 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Was the Humboldt convenience store robbery (as reported in the Saskatoon StarPhoenix on March 18, 2017) that occurred on or around March 16, 2017 investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

Question no. 298 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Were the robberies in Saskatoon (as reported in the Saskatoon StarPhoenix on March 6, 2017) that occurred on or around March 5, 2017 investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

Question no. 299 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Was the armed robbery in Saskatoon (as reported in the Saskatoon StarPhoenix, February 22, 2017) that occurred on March 21, 2017 investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

Question no. 300 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Were the armed robberies in the Battlefords and Saskatoon (as reported by the Battlefords News Optimist, February 14, 2017) committed by Dylan James Larose investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

Question no. 301 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Was the armed robbery on Pasqua Street in Regina (as reported by the Regina Leader-Post, December 27, 2016) committed by a female suspect with a hammer on December 24, 2016 investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

Question no. 302 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Were the armed restaurant robberies in Regina (as reported in the Regina Leader-Post, December 21, 2016) that occurred on December 19, 2016 around 1:14 a.m. and 1:37 a.m., respectively, investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

Question no. 303 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Was the armed robbery at Mac's in Moose Jaw (as reported in the Moose Jaw Times-Herald, September 9, 2016) that occurred on September 7, 2016 around 12:30 a.m. investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

Question no. 304 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Was the armed robbery on Dewdney Avenue in Regina (as reported by the Regina Leader-Post, September 3, 2016) committed on September 1, 2016 investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

Question no. 305 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Was the armed robbery in Swift Current (as reported by the Maple Creek and Southwest Advance Times, August 16, 2016) committed on August 11, 2016 investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

Question no. 306 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Was the armed robbery in Saskatoon (as reported in the Saskatoon StarPhoenix, August 11, 2016) that occurred on June 12, 2016 around 3:30 a.m. investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

Question no. 307 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Was the armed robbery in Regina (as reported by the Regina Leader-Post, August 5, 2016) committed on August 4, 2016 at around 1:53 a.m. investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

Question no. 308 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Was the armed pizza delivery robbery in Saskatoon (as reported in the Saskatoon StarPhoenix, July 19, 2016) that occurred on July 17, 2016 around 12:30 a.m. investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

Question no. 309 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Was the armed robbery in Regina (as reported by the Regina Leader-Post, July 4, 2016) committed on July 4, 2016 at around 1:47 a.m. investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

Question no. 310 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Was the armed robbery in Moose Jaw (as reported in the Moose Jaw Times-Herald, April 25, 2016) that occurred on April 23, 2016 around 9:00 p.m. investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

Question no. 311 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Were the armed robberies in Moose Jaw (as reported in the Moose Jaw Times-Herald, April 4, 2016) that occurred on April 3, 2016 around 3:00 a.m. and 4:00 a.m. investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

Question no. 312 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Was the armed robbery in Yorkton (as reported by Yorkton This Week, March 16, 2016) that occurred on March 15, 2016 around 2:30 a.m. investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

Question no. 313 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Was the Sutherland convenience store robbery in Saskatoon (as reported in the Saskatoon StarPhoenix, February 24, 2016) that occurred on February 23, 2016 around 6:00 a.m. investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

Question no. 314 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Was the armed robbery in Yorkton (as reported by Yorkton This Week, January 20, 2016) that occurred on December 17, 2015 around 3:00 a.m. investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

Question no. 315 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Was the armed robbery in Moose Jaw (as reported in the Moose Jaw Times-Herald, January 15, 2016) that occurred on December 17, 2015 around 3:00 a.m. investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

Question no. 316 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Was the armed robbery in Melfort (as reported in the Parkland Review, January 8, 2016) that occurred on December 30, 2015 around 9:31 p.m. investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

Question no. 317 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Was the armed robbery in Regina (as reported by the Regina Leader-Post, December 28, 2015) committed on December 27, 2015 at around 1:15 a.m. investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

Question no. 318 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Was the armed robbery in Saskatoon (as reported in the Saskatoon StarPhoenix, December 8, 2015) that occurred on December 4, 2015 around 5:30 a.m. investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

Question no. 319 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Was the armed robbery in Saskatoon (as reported in the Saskatoon StarPhoenix, December 7, 2015) that occurred on December 6, 2015 at 2:00 a.m. investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

Question no. 320 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Was the armed robbery in Moose Jaw (as reported in the Moose Jaw Times-Herald, December 2, 2015) that occurred on December 1, 2015 around 5:20 a.m. investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

Question no. 321 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Was the armed robbery in Saskatoon (as reported in the Saskatoon StarPhoenix, November 28, 2015) that occurred on December 5, 2015 at 1:30 a.m. investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

Question no. 322 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Were the ten armed robberies committed by a man in Saskatoon (as reported in the Saskatoon StarPhoenix, November 16, 2015) investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

Question no. 323 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Was the armed robbery in Moose Jaw (as reported in the Moose Jaw Times-Herald, November 6, 2015) that occurred on November 3, 2015 around 3:45 a.m. investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

Question no. 324 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Was the armed robbery in Prince Albert (as reported in the Prince Albert Daily Herald, October 31, 2015) that occurred on October 26, 2015 around 2:55 a.m. investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

Question no. 325 (Mr. Forbes):

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Was the armed robbery in West Hill, Prince Albert (as reported in the Prince Albert Daily Herald, October 21, 2015) that occurred on October 20, 2015 around 5:00 a.m. investigated by the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety branch for any infractions of the Saskatchewan occupational health and safety regulations or legislation? (2) If so, what were the findings and outcomes?

The following questions were given notice on day no. 67 and are to be answered by day no. 72:

Question no. 326 (Mr. Vermette):

To the Minister Responsible for the Saskatchewan Transportation Company: Did the company do any consultation with the Ministry of Health on the impact the shutdown of the company would have on healthcare before the 2017-18 budget was released?

Question no. 327 (Mr. Vermette):

To the Minister Responsible for the Saskatchewan Transportation Company: Did the company do any consultation with regional health authorities on the impact the shutdown of the company would have on healthcare before the 2017-18 budget was released?

Question no. 328 (Mr. Vermette):

To the Minister Responsible for the Saskatchewan Transportation Company: Did the company do any consultation with the Ministry of Justice on the impact the shutdown of the company would have on the justice system before the 2017-18 budget was released?

Question no. 329 (Mr. Vermette):

To the Minister Responsible for the Saskatchewan Transportation Company: Did the company do any consultation with the Ministry of Agriculture on the impact the shutdown of the company would have on agriculture before the 2017-18 budget was released?

Question no. 330 (Mr. Vermette):

To the Minister Responsible for the Saskatchewan Transportation Company: Did the company do any consultation with the Ministry of the Economy on the impact the shutdown of the company would have on the economy before the 2017-18 budget was released?

Question no. 331 (Mr. Vermette):

To the Minister Responsible for the Saskatchewan Transportation Company: Did the company do any consultation with the Ministry of Social Services on the impact the shutdown of the company would have on clients of the Ministry of Social Services before the 2017-18 budget was released?

Question no. 332 (Mr. Vermette):

To the Minister Responsible for the Saskatchewan Transportation Company: (1) Did the company do a risk assessment on the risks associated with winding the company down? (2) Who completed the assessment?

Question no. 333 (Mr. Vermette):

To the Minister Responsible for the Saskatchewan Transportation Company: (1) Did the company do an economic impact assessment on the economic impact associated with winding the company down? (2) Who completed the assessment?