



No. 61

# VOTES and PROCEEDINGS

OF THE

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

PROVINCE OF SASKATCHEWAN

FIRST SESSION – TWENTY-EIGHTH LEGISLATURE

---

Tuesday, March 21, 2017

1:30 p.m.

*PRAYERS*

## PRESENTING PETITIONS

Petitions of citizens of the province of Saskatchewan were presented and laid upon the Table by the following members: Fiaz, Chartier, Belanger, Sproule, Forbes, Rancourt, Beck, McCall, Sarauer, and Meili.

## READING AND RECEIVING PETITIONS

According to order and pursuant to rule 16(7), petitions from residents of the province of Saskatchewan, requesting the following action, were read and received:

To enact legislation requiring parental consent for abortion.

(Addendum to sessional paper no. 65)

To stop the federal government from imposing a carbon tax on the province.

(Addendum to sessional paper no. 225)

To construct a second bridge for Prince Albert.

(Addendum to sessional paper no. 226)

To fund the Northern Teacher Education Program (NORTEP) Council Inc.

(Addendum to sessional paper no. 228)

- To reverse cuts to the Aboriginal court worker program.  
(Addendum to sessional paper no. 231)
- To reverse funding cuts to the Lighthouse stabilization unit.  
(Addendum to sessional paper no. 233)
- To exempt all licensed, non-profit childcare centres from property tax.  
(Addendum to sessional paper no. 234)
- To increase funding to Prince Albert mobile crisis.  
(Addendum to sessional paper no. 314)
- To eliminate the wage gap between women and men.  
(Addendum to sessional paper no. 315)
- To stop the passage of Bill No. 40 – The Interpretation Amendment Act, 2016.  
(Addendum to sessional paper no. 318)
- To ensure job security for victims of domestic violence.  
(Addendum to sessional paper no. 320)

#### WRITTEN QUESTIONS

The order of the day being called for question no. 279, it was answered. (see appendix)

#### SECOND READINGS / DEUXIÈME LECTURE

**Bill No. 47 – An Act to Reduce Salaries of Members of the Legislative Assembly, 2017**  
**Projet de loi n° 47 – Loi de 2017 réduisant les traitements à verser aux membres de l'Assemblée législative**

Moved by the Hon. Mr. Harrison: That Bill No. 47 – An Act to Reduce Salaries of Members of the Legislative Assembly, 2017 be now read a second time.

L'hon. M. Harrison propose: Que le projet de loi n° 47 – Loi de 2017 réduisant les traitements à verser aux membres de l'Assemblée législative soit maintenant lu une deuxième fois.

A debate arising and the question being put, it was agreed to and the said bill was, accordingly, read a second time.

Il s'élève un débat et la motion, mise aux voix, est adoptée et, en conséquence, ledit projet de loi est lu une deuxième fois.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Merriman, in accordance with Rule 85, Bill No. 47 – An Act to Reduce Salaries of Members of the Legislative Assembly, 2017 was committed to the Standing Committee on Intergovernmental Affairs and Justice.

Selon la désignation de l'hon. M. Merriman, conformément au règlement 85, le projet de loi n° 47 – Loi de 2017 réduisant les traitements à verser aux membres de l'Assemblée législative est renvoyé au Comité permanent des affaires intergouvernementales et de la justice.

## ADJOURNED DEBATES / DÉBATS AJOURNÉS

**Bill No. 40 – The Interpretation Amendment Act, 2016**  
**Projet de loi n° 40 – Loi modificative de 2016 sur l’interprétation**

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Wyant: That Bill No. 40 – The Interpretation Amendment Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

L’Assemblée reprend le débat ajourné sur la motion de l’hon. M. Wyant: Que le Projet de loi n° 40 – Loi modificative de 2016 sur l’interprétation soit maintenant lu une deuxième fois.

and the proposed amendment thereto moved by Ms. Sarauer:

That all the words after the word “That” be deleted and the following substituted:

“this House declines to give second reading to Bill No. 40 – The Interpretation Amendment Act, 2016 because the bill creates a new definition for privatization that allows government to wind down, dissolve, or sell up to 49 per cent of the shares of a Crown corporation without holding a referendum; and

That the bill risks sending millions of dollars of Crown dividends to Ottawa, rather than to the people of Saskatchewan, because under section 149 of *The Income Tax Act* of Canada, Crown corporations are exempt from corporate income tax provided not less than 90 per cent of the shares are held by a government or a province.”

The debate continuing on the motion and the amendment, and the question being put on the amendment, it was negatived on the following recorded division:

Le débat se poursuit et sur motion et sur l’amendement, et l’amendement, mise aux voix, est rejeté par le vote suivant:

## YEAS / POUR – 11

Wotherspoon	Vermette	Chartier	Belanger	Sproule
Forbes	Rancourt	Beck	McCall	Sarauer
Meili				

## NAYS / CONTRE – 48

Wall	Moe	Stewart	Wyant	Reiter
Morgan	Harpauer	Doherty	Duncan	Beaudry-Mellor
Hargrave	D’Autremont	Heppner	Cheveldayoff	Marit
Tell	Eyre	Merriman	Harrison	Ottenbreit
Ross	Weekes	Brkich	Hart	Kirsch
Bradshaw	Steinley	Makowsky	Phillips	Lawrence
Wilson	Campeau	Docherty	Michelson	Doke
Cox	Olauson	Steele	Young	Fiaz
Dennis	McMorris	Bonk	Carr	Nerlien
Lambert	Buckingham	Kaeding		

The question being put on second reading of Bill No. 40 – The Interpretation Amendment Act, 2016, it was agreed to on division.

La motion, mise aux voix, sur la deuxième lecture du projet de loi n° 40 – Loi modificative de 2016 sur l'interprétation, est adoptée sur vote.

The said bill was, accordingly, read a second time.

En conséquence, ledit projet de loi est lu une deuxième fois.

By designation of the Hon. Mr. Merriman, in accordance with Rule 85, Bill No. 40 – The Interpretation Amendment Act, 2016 was committed to the Standing Committee on Intergovernmental Affairs and Justice.

Selon la désignation de l'hon. M. Merriman, conformément au règlement 85, le projet de loi no 40 – Loi modificative de 2016 sur l'interprétation est renvoyé au Comité permanent des affaires intergouvernementales et de la justice.

### **Bill No. 43 – The Pipelines Amendment Act, 2016**

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Duncan: That Bill No. 43 – The Pipelines Amendment Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. Meili, adjourned.

### **Bill No. 44 – The Water Security Agency Amendment Act, 2016**

The Assembly resumed the adjourned debate on the proposed motion of the Hon. Mr. Moe: That Bill No. 44 – The Water Security Agency Amendment Act, 2016 be now read a second time.

The debate continuing, it was on motion of Mr. McCall, adjourned.

On motion of the Hon. Mr. Merriman:

Ordered, That this Assembly do now adjourn.

---

The Assembly adjourned at 4:28 p.m. until Wednesday at 1:30 p.m.

*Hon. Corey Tochor  
Speaker*

## QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

**The following responses to written questions were tabled by the government:**

**Question no. 279 (Ms. Sarauer):**

To the Minister of Justice: (1) What are the names and case numbers of the three court cases referred to by the Minister of Justice and Attorney General on March 8, 2017, which can be found on page 1592 of the *Debates and Proceedings* of the First Session of the 28th Legislature of the Legislative Assembly of Saskatchewan? (2) For each case, what section or sections of the Criminal Code was the defendant charged under?

Answer:

The names, case numbers, and sections of the Criminal Code that the defendant was charged under are as follows:

*R. v. C.L.P.* (2016 SKPC 146) (Youth Criminal Justice Act matter) – two counts of sexual assault (s. 271)

*R. v. Park* (2016 SKPC 137) – Drinking and driving (s. 253(1)(a) and (b))

*R. v. Keller* (2016 SKQB 319) – Indecent Act (s. 173(1)(a))

## NOTICE OF WRITTEN QUESTIONS

**The following questions were given notice on day no. 59 and are to be answered by day no. 64:**

**Question no. 280 (Mr. Forbes):**

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) How many exemptions were given to employers required to pay overtime pursuant to section 6 of *The Labour Standards Act* in 2007? (2) 2008? (3) 2009? (4) 2010? (5) 2011? (6) 2012? (7) 2013? (8) 2014?

**Question no. 281 (Mr. Forbes):**

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) How many applications were received for modified work arrangements in 2014? (2) 2015? (3) 2016? (4) 2017 (to date)?

**Question no. 282 (Mr. Forbes):**

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) How many modified work arrangements were granted in 2014? (2) 2015? (3) 2016? (4) 2017 (to date)?

**Question no. 283 (Mr. Forbes):**

To the Minister of Labour Relations and Workplace Safety: (1) Which employers were granted modified work arrangements in 2014? (2) 2015? (3) 2016? (4) 2017 (to date)?